

**Drug Services Research Survey,
1990: [United States]**

*United States Department of Health and
Human Services. National Institute on
Drug Abuse*

Phase I -- Facility Telephone Interview
Codebook

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:

<http://datafiles.samhsa.gov/terms-use-nid3422>

Processor Notes
DSRS 1990

1. The Data File User's Manuals provided in the codebooks contain references to SAS databases originally created by the data producers. To provide data to users in a format that is neither system nor platform specific, the data files are in ASCII text format with SAS and SPSS data definition statements. Additionally, the number of variables found differ from the original number of variables cited by the data producers. The un-weighted frequencies provided in the codebooks correspond to the data files.
2. The units of observation in the Phase I - Telephone Facility Interview file are SERVICE UNITS. Some facilities had more than one service unit. In those cases, one service unit was treated as the "Master Facility record" and includes data for all facility level variables (e.g., facility ownership). Observations for secondary service units of that facility include data only on variables specific to the service unit (e.g, # of persons in outpatient drug free treatment). Data missing for this reason was coded -4 "Not Master Facility". Analysts wishing to impute these missing values should use the variables SEQ11 and OBSNUM. For more information please see Chapter 5 in the Data Collection Documentation included in this codebook.
3. The Phase I - Telephone Facility Interview includes 1985 of the original 1986 records. One service unit's record was deleted due to missing data on every variable.
4. The Phase I – Telephone Facility Interview file includes values that were imputed from other sources. Each imputation has a corresponding flag variable in the codebook which specifies how the value was imputed. Table 1 below summarizes these imputation codes. Analysts wishing to not use imputed values may recode based on these flag variables. For more information on imputations in the DSRS files, please see Appendix-D of the Data Collection Documentation included in this codebook.
5. Any variable that could specifically identify a facility or client was deleted from the file. These included any variables such as day of admission, date of birth, and identification numbers from the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (NDATUS).
6. The recodes for substance abuse and mental health disorders based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria were recoded from the raw DSM codes into groups that made this variable more analytically useful. Table 2 shows the recoded diagnostic categories.

Table 1: Summary of Imputation Codes

0	VARIABLE WAS NOT IMPUTED
1	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED USING THE HOT DECK METHOD WITH PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT BASED ON DONOR'S VALUES
2	VARIABLE WAS MANUALLY ASSIGNED BASED ON OTHER VARIABLES IN THE SAME RECORD
3	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED USING A STRAIGHT HOT DECK METHOD (I.E., PLUGGING IN THE ACTUAL VALUE FROM A DSRS DONOR OBSERVATION)
4	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS EITHER USING A FACTOR (AS IN B1 TOTAL ACTUAL PROPORTIONAL TO NDATAUS TOTAL ACTUAL AND D7A-D7L USING D_AMT1-D_AMT10 OR T_AMT1-T_AMT10) OR WITH A STRAIGHT HOT DECK (AS IN D6 USING D_AMT11 OR T_AMT11)
5	VARIABLE WAS ASSIGNED AS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A TOTAL AND THE SUM OF OTHER SUBTOTALS, WHEN IT WAS THE ONLY MISSING SUBTOTAL
6	A TOTAL OR SUBTOTAL WAS RECALCULATED BECAUSE THE SUM OF THE PARTS WAS GREATER THAN THE IMPUTED TOTAL
7	A TOTAL WAS ASSIGNED THE SUMMING OF ALL THE PARTS, IF THEY WERE ALL NONMISSING (SEX TOTALS IN B1 AND COLUMNS C-E TOTALS IN C1)
8	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM B1 TOTAL ACTUAL BASED ON THE PROPORTION OF THE VARIABLE IN QUESTION (B1 TOTAL CAPACITY OR C1 COLUMN B TOTAL) TO B1 TOTAL ACTUAL IN A HOTDECK DONOR OBSERVATION; ALSO D6 IMPUTED FROM TOTAL_D1 AND TOTAL_D1 IMPUTED FROM D6 USING PROPORTIONS OF A DONOR
9	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM C1 COLUMN A TOTAL BASED ON THE PROPORTION OF C1 COLUMN B TOTAL TO C1 COLUMN A TOTAL IN A HOTDECK DONOR OBSERVATION. (REFERS TO C1 COLUMN B TOTAL ONLY)

Table 2: Diagnosis recodes

<u>ORIGINAL CODES</u>	<u>RECODES</u>
0.00	0 No Diagnosis
291.00-291.99	1 Alcohol-induced Disorder
292.00-292.99	2 Substance-induced Disorder
303.00-303.89	3 Alcohol Intoxication
303.90-303.99	4 Alcohol Dependence
304.00-304.09	5 Opioid Dependence
304.20-304.29	6 Cocaine Dependence
304.30-304.39	7 Cannabis Dependence
304.10-304.19	8 Other Substance Dependence
304.40-304.99	
305.10-305.19	
305.00-305.09	9 Alcohol Abuse
305.20-305.29	10 Cannabis Abuse

(continued)

<u>ORIGINAL CODES</u>	<u>RECODES</u>
305.30-305.49 305.70-305.99	11 Other Substance Abuse
305.50-305.59	12 Opioid Abuse
305.60-305.69	13 Cocaine Abuse
293.89 300.00-300.02 300.21-300.23 300.29-300.39 308.30-308.39 309.81	14 Anxiety Disorders
296.20-296.39 300.40-300.49 311.00-311.09	15 Depressive Disorders
293.81-293.82 295.00-295.99 297.10-297.19 298.80-298.89 297.30-297.39 298.90-298.99	16 Schizophrenia/Other Psychotic Disorders
296.00-296.09 296.40-296.79 296.80, 296.89 301.13	17 Bipolar Disorders
312.80-312.81 312.90-312.99 313.81 314.00-314.01 314.90-314.99	18 Attention Deficit/Disruptive Behavior Disorders
All other codes	19 Other Mental Health Condition
.01-289.99 320-997.99 V- and E-codes	20 Other Condition
Missing	-9 Missing

DRUG SERVICES RESEARCH SURVEY (1990)

Data File Documentation

Prepared for
the
National Institute on Drug Abuse

Prepared
by
The Institute for Health Policy at Brandeis University
and
Westat, Inc.

November 19, 1992

**Drug Services Research Survey
Steering Group**

Ball, John C., Ph.D.
ARC, NIDA

Barkin, Amy C.
ONDCP
Executive Office of the President

Batten, Helen, Ph.D.
Institute for Health Policy
Heller School-Brandeis University

Butynski, William, Ph.D.
NASADAD

di Menza, Sam
SPG, NIDA

Fletcher, Bennett W., Ph.D.
DCR, NIDA

Eydt, Allison
OMB

Kaple, James M., Ph.D.
DAR, NIDA

Lewis, Anita Y.
DAR, NIDA

McLemore, Thomas
NCHS, CDC

Muszynski, Irvin L.
NAATP

Noble, John A.
DBE, NIAAA

Pearce, Nancy
DDP, OASH, DHHS

Sekscenski, Ted
BHP, HRSA

Schildhaus, Sam, Ph.D.
ONDCP
Executive Office of the President

Slay, Betsy A.
DEPR, NIDA

Thacker, Wayne
Richmond, VA

Besteman, Karst
Substance Abuse Center/IBR

Diesenhause, Herman
OTI, ADAMHA

Gustafson, John S.
Division of Substance Abuse Services
New York

Harwood, Rick
ONDCP
Executive Office of the President



Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and
Mental Health Administration
Rockville MD 20857

February 18, 1992

Dear DSRS User:

The enclosed documentation on the 1990 DSRS data is the result of a concerted effort on the part of our contractors (the Institute for Health Policy at Brandeis University and Westat, Inc.), the Project Officer, Ms. Anita Lewis, and the members of our Project Steering Committee. The data files and documentation are provided with the anticipation that health services researchers and policymakers will find useful this first-ever, detailed survey data on the nation's drug treatment system and the clients in that system. Subsequent related surveys dealing with client specific post-treatment behavior and new cohorts of providers and clients are planned to maintain and augment this data source. Hopefully these data will support analyses that continue to expand recognition of, and support for, the importance of health services research as a central factor in the nation's efforts to address drug abuse.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, which appears to read "James M. Kaple", is written over a circular stamp or mark.

James M. Kaple, Ph.D.
Associate Director for Services Research
Division of Applied Research
National Institute on Drug Abuse

Table of Contents

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	INTRODUCTION	v
1	STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY	1
2	DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS	9
3	DATA PREPARATION	13
4	READING THE CODEBOOK	17
5	SURVEY DATA FILES	21
6	U.S. CENSUS REGION CODE DEFINITIONS	29
7	CALCULATING ESTIMATES USING SAMPLING WEIGHTS	31

Appendix

A	Facility Weights	A-1
B	Administrator and Client Record Weights	B-1
C	Replicate Weights	C-1
D	Table of Imputation Methods	D-1
E	Codebook (applicable to physical sequential and SAS files) includes variable names (for SAS files), column numbers (for physical sequential files), variable descriptions (SAS file labels), code meanings, and frequency distributions	E-1

There are four parts to the codebook in Appendix E:

- (1) Facility Telephone Questionnaire (without imputed values)
- (2) On-Site Administrator Questionnaire
- (3) Client Record Abstract
- (4) Facility Telephone Questionnaire (with imputed values)

List of Exhibits

Exhibit

1	Sample questions from selected instruments	20
---	--	----

VARIABLES DROPPED IN DSRS PUBLIC-USE DATA FILES

The following variables listed in the code book have been dropped from the public-use files for confidentiality reasons:

Facility Telephone Questionnaire (Without Imputed Values):

NDATUSID - NDATUS ID number
FACID - Facility ID number
ZIP - Zip code
C15A - Number of clients HIV positive
C15B - Number of clients AIDS diagnosed
C15C - Number of clients suspected HIV positive

Administrator Questionnaire:

NDATUSID - NDATUS ID number
FACID - Facility ID number
ZIP - Zip code

Client Record Abstract:

NDATUSID - NDATUS ID number
FACID - Facility ID number
ZIP - Zip code
Q34 - HIV or AIDS status

Facility Telephone Questionnaire (With Imputed Values):

NDATUSID - NDATUS ID number
FACID - Facility ID number
ZIP - Zip code
C15A - Number of clients HIV positive
C15B - Number of clients AIDS diagnosed
C15C - Number of clients suspected HIV positive

LINKING DSRS RECORDS

The `OBS_NUM` variable (facility observation number), a sequential observation number which contains no facility or client identifying information, can be used to link the DSRS files as follows:

- to link records across the facility files; and
- to link client records in the client abstract file with the facility in which they were treated in the facility files.

Description and Use of the Multiple Records on the DSRS Phase I Facility Data Files

There are two DSRS Phase I facility data files:

- 1 - “qx_merge”, which is the raw Phase I facility data as collected, without imputation for missing data; and
- 2 - “imp_merge”, which is the main analytic imputed Phase I facility data file, containing imputations or estimates for selected missing data items. (See Appendix D of the DSRS Data File Documentation for a description of the imputation process and a list of the imputed variables.)

Below is a description of the construction and use of the Phase I data files. The same format and use applies to both the imputed and unimputed Phase I data files.

The Phase I facility files each contain data for 1,183 unique sampled facilities, designated by a separate OBS_NUM for each facility. Both Phase I data sets, however, contain 1,986 records, because some of the facilities have more than one modality of care, e.g., hospital inpatient care, residential care, outpatient care, etc. Therefore, there are multiple records for facilities with more than one type of care, i.e., a master facility record containing all the facility data and an additional record for each additional type of care. The master facility record contains data for the first listed modality of care, which for most facilities is the only modality of care.

For simplicity, all variables in the additional multiple records were set to missing except for the facility identification variables, the modality indicator, and the client demographic count variables for that modality, i.e., the variables of interest for the additional modalities. This left all variables intact at the master facility level, and kept only the facility ID and modality-specific data on the additional records.

The variable which indicates whether a record is a master facility or not is SEQ11. If SEQ11 equals 1, it is a master facility. Otherwise (SEQ=2, 3, etc.), the record represents additional modalities of care for the master facility. As stated above, those records with SEQ11 not equal to 1 have missing values for all variables other than the facility ID and the modality-specific client demographic counts. All facility-level data must be obtained from the master facility record with SEQ11=1. The variable SEQ11CNT indicates how many sequences or records there are for each facility.

INTRODUCTION

The Drug Services Research Survey (DSRS) was sponsored by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). The study was conducted for NIDA by the Institute for Health Policy at Brandeis University in Waltham, Massachusetts and by Westat, Inc. in Rockville, Maryland. The staff at the Institute for Health Policy supervised the study design and data collection, performed the data analysis, and wrote the final reports. The study instruments were designed by the Institute for Health Policy and Westat in consultation with NIDA. Westat staff designed the data collection plan, developed the sampling plans, and selected the samples of facilities and client records within facilities. Westat staff also collected the data, processed and edited the data, calculated the sampling weights, performed the data imputation, and created the data files. The quality control measures used to ensure data integrity were developed and applied by Westat staff, and Westat provided software for the data analysis.

DSRS data were collected from June through December of 1990 from a nationally representative sample of drug treatment facilities stratified by treatment modality. The objective of DSRS was to collect detailed information on the characteristics of drug treatment facilities and on clients discharged from those drug treatment facilities. DSRS was conducted in two phases; facility-level data were collected during Phase I, and client-level data were collected during Phase II.

Phase I involved a telephone interview to collect data from a national sample of 1,183 drug treatment facilities. The questionnaire included point prevalence data for March 30, 1990 and annual data for the most recent 12-month period for which data were available. The questionnaire was mailed to the facilities about 1 week before the facilities were contacted by telephone to collect the information. This allowed the facility staff the time necessary to obtain answers to the questions before being asked to provide the answers over the telephone. The **Drug Services Research Survey, Phase I Final Report: Non-Correctional Facilities** documents the methodology and presents descriptive results.

Phase II involved site visits to a sample of 120 of the facilities that participated in Phase I. The site visit included an in-person interview with the facility director or administrator, compilation of a sampling frame and selection of a sample of discharged client records, and

collection of client-level data from the sample of discharged client records at each facility. In total, client-level data were collected for 2,222 clients discharged from treatment during the 12-month period from September 1, 1989 through August 31, 1990. The **Drug Services Research Survey, Final Report: Phase II** documents the methodology and presents descriptive results.

1. STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

1.1 Sampling

1.1.1 The Sample Population

The National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) sponsors a periodic national survey of drug treatment facilities called the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (NDATUS). NIDA maintains a mailing list, the Substance Abuse Facility Identification System (SAFIS), for the NDATUS census survey. SAFIS contains all known facilities in the United States that offer prevention and/or treatment services for drug and/or alcohol abuse as submitted by state substance abuse agencies and other agencies sponsoring treatment programs. The sampling frame used for the Drug Services Research Survey (DSRS) began as the April 1990 version of this national list which contained 18,944 facilities. The 1989 NDATUS file at that time contained 8,534 facilities, but 4 facilities were excluded because they had duplicate NDATUS identification numbers. The two files were merged together and a series of exclusions were made. The reasons for the exclusions, and the number of facilities excluded for each reason, are shown below.

SAFIS and NDATUS files merged:	18,944
--------------------------------	--------

Facilities were excluded if they were:

On the NDATUS file but had no active clients in treatment <u>and</u> no capacity to treat clients:	1,744
--	-------

Located outside the 48 coterminous states on the SAFIS file:	390
--	-----

Classified as inactive on the SAFIS file:	6,075
---	-------

Not on the NDATUS file and recently classified as offering only prevention services on the SAFIS file:	89
--	----

Included in the pilot study:	93
------------------------------	----

Hospitals included in another NIDA study:	202
---	-----

The DSRS sampling frame contained the remaining facilities:	10,351
---	--------

1.1.2 Sample Design

1.1.2.1 Phase I Sample Design

The selection of facilities sampled in DSRS was based on a stratified sample design. Each of the facilities in the DSRS frame was assigned to one of six strata based on advance knowledge of the type of services provided by the facility.

Different sampling rates were applied across the strata to provide the required number of facilities of each type. Five of the strata represented facilities for which the type of treatment provided was known in advance. Four of the strata represented drug treatment facilities and were classified into four drug treatment modalities (i.e., hospital inpatient treatment, residential treatment, outpatient detoxification or maintenance treatment, and outpatient drug free treatment). The modality-specific stratum for a facility was determined by the largest modality of treatment based on prior NDATUS census information from a given facility. The fifth stratum represented facilities that only provided alcohol treatment. The sixth stratum represented facilities for which the type of services was unknown.

Two later stages of selection introduced further variations in the probabilities of selection. The second stage of selection occurred when facilities selected for another survey sponsored by NIDA that was being conducted by the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at the University of Michigan were subsampled at a rate of 1/2 to decrease the overlap between the two studies. The third and final stage of selection occurred when the resultant sample of facilities was randomly divided into two equal half-samples. Each half-sample was further subdivided into five waves of decreasing size. For the first half-sample, the first four waves were released. For the second half-sample, only the first wave was released.

1.1.2.2 Phase II Sample Design

A subsample of facilities selected for DSRS Phase I was selected for the Phase II site visit component of the survey. The subsample was selected to provide about 120 facilities with about equal samples from the 4 drug treatment modality strata, that is, 30 from each modality.

These facilities were sampled from the first four sampling strata, waves one through three of the first half-sample. The number of facilities to select in order to obtain the target of 120 site visits was based on nonresponse rates observed in a pilot study. The nonresponse rates for the main study were lower than those observed in the pilot study, however.

The subsample of facilities was therefore expected to produce many more than the 120 site visits required, so the subsample of facilities was split into sampling waves. Different waves were released for different strata depending on the response rate observed within each strata. Discharged client records were then selected within each of the subsampled facilities that participated in the site visits. Twenty-one discharge records (20 regular records plus 1 alternate) were selected at random within those facilities with more than 21 discharged clients during the 12-month period from September 1, 1989 through August 31, 1990. At facilities with fewer than 21 clients discharged during that 12-month period, all discharge client records within that time period were selected.

1.1.3 Weights

Sampling weights are computed for each case in order to produce unbiased estimates of statistics for the entire population or various subgroups. Sampling weights should be used for data analysis and to estimate population parameters. Sample weighting is done to accomplish the following objectives:

- Bring data up to the dimensions of the population totals;
- Adjust for unequal probabilities of selection for facilities sampled from different strata; and
- Minimize biases arising from the fact that nonrespondents may be different from those who cooperated.

Replicate case weights are produced in order to facilitate making estimates of variance for statistics. The replicate weighting process mirrors that used to develop the final full sample weights while withholding a portion of the sample in each replicate in order to estimate the variation due to sampling. Westat, Inc. has developed a SAS procedure, WESVAR, which computes basic survey estimates and their associated sampling errors using replicate weights.

Section 7 provides guidelines for the user in calculating estimates using the sampling weights and the replicate weights.

1.1.3.1 Phase I - Facility Weights

The facility weights were calculated as the product of the base weight for the facility and a nonresponse adjustment factor calculated within stratum. The base weight reflects the probability of selection of the facility at each stage of selection and is equal to the reciprocal of the product of these probabilities of selection. The nonresponse adjustment factor for each facility is determined by the stratum within which the facility was selected. The nonresponse adjustment factor for each stratum is the ratio of the sum of the base weights for all eligible facilities to the sum of the base weights for all responding facilities. The final nonresponse adjusted weight for the facility is equal to the product of the base weight for the facility and the nonresponse adjustment factor for the stratum within which the facility was selected. Appendix A provides a detailed description of the calculation of the facility weights.

1.1.3.2 Phase II - Administrator and Client Record Weights

The administrator weights were calculated using the base weight for a particular facility, the probability that the facility was selected for visitation, and a nonresponse adjustment factor. The base weight for the particular facility was the same as that calculated for the Phase I facility weights before nonresponse adjustment. This base weight was multiplied by the reciprocal of the probability that the facility was selected for visitation to obtain an administrator base weight. The nonresponse adjustment factor for each facility was determined by the stratum within which the facility was selected and was equal to the ratio of the sum of the administrator base weights for all facilities selected for visitation to the sum of the administrator base weights for all responding facilities. The final nonresponse adjusted administrator weight for each facility is equal to the product of the administrator base weight for the facility and the nonresponse adjustment factor for the stratum within which the facility was selected. Appendix B provides a detailed discussion of the calculation of the administrator weights.

The client record weights were calculated using the final nonresponse adjusted administrator weight, the probability that the client record was selected within the facility, and a nonresponse adjustment factor. The base weight for a particular client record is equal to the product of the final nonresponse adjusted administrator weight for the facility within which the client record was selected and the reciprocal of the probability that the client record was selected within the facility. Nonresponse adjustment factors were calculated by stratum and were equal to the ratio of the sum of the client record base weights for all client records selected within a stratum to the sum of the client record base weights for all client records within a stratum for which data were collected. The final nonresponse adjusted client record weight for each client record is equal to the product of the base weight for the client record and the nonresponse adjustment factor for the stratum within which the client record was selected. The final nonresponse adjusted client record weights were post-stratified to add to a control total of 2,222. The control total represents the actual number of client records selected and was applied because the records were selected from sampling strata 1 through 4 rather than from the entire targeted universe. This restriction on the selection of client records prohibits making unbiased national estimates from the client data. Appendix B also provides a detailed discussion of the calculation of the client record weights.

1.1.3.3 Replicate Weights

Replicate facility, administrator, and client record weights were produced to help estimate variance for statistics. For each weight the replicate weighting process mirrored that used to develop the final full sample weight. The facilities released for screening were sorted hierarchically by stratum, census region, ownership/sector, and size. Then they were split into 30 groups of equal size using a systematic selection on the sorted list. Thirty jackknife replicates were then defined by dropping one group (1..30) from the full sample for each replicate. In general, the jackknife replicate was defined by dropping the group from the sample. Final replicate facility, administrator, and client record weights were then computed for each replicate using the same weighting procedures as were used in calculating the final full sample facility, administrator, and client record weights. Appendix C provides a detailed description of the calculation of the replicate weights.

1.2 Imputation of Missing Data

The term "imputation" refers to the process of replacing missing data with non-missing values. Imputation can simplify analysis by providing a clean dataset and can improve estimates by accounting for differences in the estimate across various groups of nonrespondents.

In general, there are two commonly used approaches to the imputation of values for missing data, both of which affect the estimate of the mean and/or estimate of the sampling variance of the mean. One approach is to assign the mean of the nonmissing values to all missing cases for the variable in question; this leaves the mean unchanged both as calculated from the survey data and in expectation while attenuating the estimate of the sampling variance, thereby resulting in overestimates of precision.

Another approach is to assign to the missing case the value of a particular non-missing case, such as a donor selected randomly or through some other method from a set of similar cases, such as a donor pool, or the value from some alternative data source, such as NDATUS. This can change the mean as calculated from the survey data while inflating the estimate of the sampling variance in a fashion similar to that incurred with varying case weights.

The second approach is preferred when the donor pools are associated with different values of the variable being imputed. This is because it results in a less biased overall estimate, despite the increase in the estimate of the variance. Typically, the magnitude of the change in both the mean as calculated from the survey data and the estimate of the sampling variance is directly related to the proportion of values imputed.

It is also important to consider the domain of analysis (i.e., residential drug free institutions only or institutions with a specific mix of modalities instead of all institutions) associated with the estimate when evaluating the change in estimates due to imputation. When the domain of analysis for an estimate corresponds with the definition of cells used for imputation,

the magnitude of the change on the estimate within the domain will tend to be much smaller than when the domain cuts across several imputation cells. The methods used to impute missing data for DSRS fall into three broad categories:

1. Methods that employ a procedure that replaces missing data with nonmissing values based on the values present in the same field(s) of a donor record (a hot deck or nearest neighbor procedure);
2. Methods that use values from the 1989 or 1990 NDATUS file(s) to introduce a control total or function of a control total, with or without a subsequent hot deck or nearest neighbor procedure; and
3. Methods that employ data within the case itself to determine missing values based on summation, difference or logical consequences.

Conceptually, a "hot-deck" procedure sorts cases into several different groups of cases, where the groups are defined by a value or range of values on one or more selected variables. The selected variables are typically those that are expected or tested to be highly related to the variable being imputed. After sorting, cases with missing values are assigned values derived from a nonmissing case selected within the same group. The nonmissing case is called the donor.

A nearest neighbor procedure splits cases into several different groups of cases based on the values of one or more selected variables. After the splitting, the cases within each group are sorted by their value on one or more significant predictors of the variable to be imputed. The cases with missing values are then assigned values derived from the neighboring case in the sorted list. When multiple cases are nearest to the imputee based on their value of the predictor variable(s), one is selected at random. The selected neighbor is called the donor.

The 1989 and 1990 NDATUS files were used to obtain control totals for a case when the case was missing items which were reported in the NDATUS file. The corresponding figure from NDATUS was either entered directly into the missing field, multiplied by an adjustment factor determined by a hot-decking procedure, or averaged and then entered into the missing field.

Other methods used summation, difference, or logical consequences to determine missing values based on nonmissing data within the case itself. Such methods included the following:

- Assigning the difference between a total and the sum of nonmissing subtotals to the only missing subtotal;
- Assigning a total as the sum of the nonmissing subtotals when all subtotals were nonmissing; and
- Assigning a value to a missing field as a logical consequence of a different, non-missing field.

These methods were often used as a pre-editing step prior to calling on the other methods of imputation. Appendix D provides a detailed description of the imputation methods, along with a table that gives the name of each field imputed, the missing data rate, and several other important measures. Note that a few cases had to be left "unimputed" (left as is) owing to a lack of suitable donors or inability to link to useful NDATUS information. Particular attention should be paid to the "percent missing" column, which in most cases is exactly or very closely equal to the proportion of values imputed. Variables with high percentages of missing values and/or high proportions of imputed values should be used with caution because of potential nonresponse bias, which cannot always be adjusted by imputation. (Higher levels of nonresponse tend to reduce the likelihood that imputation can adjust for nonresponse bias.) Variables with much lower levels of nonresponse do not require the same level of caution. Such variables include grand totals that correspond to other, more detailed variables with much higher levels of nonresponse.

2. DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS

2.1 Phase I

2.1.1 The Telephone Screener

Before the project staff mailed the questionnaires to the facilities, they telephoned the facility and asked the contact there to respond to a brief set of screening questions listed on the **Telephone Screener**. The purpose of the screening interview was to ensure that the facilities were still in business and were providing drug treatment services for drugs other than alcohol.

If a facility was not in business, or if it was only providing treatment for alcohol abuse, it was classified as *ineligible and excluded from the study*. On the other hand, if a facility was considered eligible for the study, the mailing address was verified, and the name of the person to whom the questionnaire should be mailed was obtained.

2.1.2 The Facility Telephone Questionnaire

The **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** was divided into four sections, each of which corresponded with the following categories of data:

1. Facility Organizational Data,
2. Recent Facility Client Data,
3. 12-Month Facility Client Data, and
4. 12-Month Facility Financial Data.

2.1.2.1 Facility Organizational Data

This section of the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** requested facility data concerning ownership, management, licensing, treatment environment, treatment modality,

staffing, and geographic service area. These data were requested for a single day (March 30, 1990).

2.1.2.2 Recent Facility Client Data

This section of the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** requested facility data on client capacity, number of clients in treatment, utilization, waiting lists, admission priorities, referral sources, single versus multiple drug abuse, intravenous drug use (IVDU) clients, dual diagnosis clients, methadone treatment, and client characteristics (race/ethnicity, age, employment status, principal drug used, and expected payment source). These data were requested for a single day (March 30, 1990) and many of these were requested separately by sex, and by treatment environment and modality.

2.1.2.3 12-Month Facility Client Data

This section of the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** requested facility data on admissions, completion of treatment, discharges, reasons for discharge, length of treatment, number of pregnant clients, services for pregnant clients, pregnancy testing, number of HIV seropositive and/or AIDS clients, HIV testing, drug testing, and treatment services including special services for particular types of clients. These data were requested for the most recent 12-month reporting period, and some of these data were requested separately by treatment environment and modality.

2.1.2.4 12-Month Facility Financial Data

This section of the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** requested facility data on treatment costs, Medicaid certification, Medicaid support, treatment revenues or income, and sources of income. These data were requested for the most recent 12-month reporting period, and the treatment costs were requested separately by treatment environment and modality.

2.2 Phase II

2.2.1 The On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire

2.2.1.1 Administrative Data

The facility administrator or director was interviewed during the site visit to obtain additional information on the facility treatment protocols, waiting list policies, special programs, and the records system. This interview also made it possible to request copies of some materials and to determine if some of the client counts collected during the telephone interview had changed.

2.2.1.2 Discharged Client Listing

When the list of clients discharged from treatment from September 1, 1989 to August 31, 1990 was compiled, a series of questions was asked about the inclusion or exclusion of certain clients on the listing. The form on which these data were recorded was called the "Documentation Sheet for Discharged Client Listing Problems."

2.2.2 The Client Record Abstract

An attempt was made to select a random sample of 21 discharged client records from September 1, 1989 through August 31, 1990 at each facility. A **Client Record Abstract** was to be completed for 20 of the sampled discharged client records. One sampled record was randomly set aside as an alternate. In some cases, facilities had fewer than 21 discharged client records during the period of interest. At these facilities, all discharged client records for the period were selected.

Some sampled discharged client records that were requested could not be located. Of the sampled discharged client records that were located, some were found to be ineligible for the study because the client did not receive drug treatment, or the date of discharge was not within the 12-month period of interest. In these cases, the alternate discharged client record was used. In total, 2,222 discharged client records were abstracted and eligible for the study.

The **Client Record Abstract** was divided into eight sections, that is, one for each of the following categories of information:

- Admission and Demographic Information,
- Criminal Justice System Information,
- Medical Information,
- Drug History Information,
- Drug Testing Information,
- Drug Treatment History Information,
- Treatment Services Information, and
- Discharge Information.

3. DATA PREPARATION

3.1 Data Quality Control

The primary goal of data preparation and editing was to ensure high quality data. To achieve this end, a two-stage data cleaning process was applied to the data collected through these instruments:

- Telephone Screener,
- Facility Telephone Questionnaire,
- On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire, and
- Client Record Abstract.

3.2 Stage 1 Editing (Scan Edit and Coder Verification)

Stage I Editing was *scan editing*. Prior to data entry, each form was scanned for completeness and readability, and checked for accuracy in critical items. Forms that passed the scan edit procedure were batched, coded, and sent to data entry.

3.2.1 The Telephone Screener and the Facility Telephone Questionnaire

Forms that failed the scan edit were submitted for telephone data retrieval. These forms usually contained missing values or showed internal inconsistencies on one or more critical items. Problems pertaining to these critical items were identified and resolved during data retrieval activities before the forms were sent through the data entry procedure.

3.2.2 The On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire and the Client Record Abstract

Forms that failed the scan edit were discussed with the interviewer/abstractor to resolve internal inconsistencies and missing values. When necessary, the interviewer/abstractor telephoned or revisited the facility to resolve problems before data entry.

All coders were trained on the appropriate coding procedures for each form before coding actually started. A supervisor answered questions and monitored the coding process. To ensure the accuracy of coding, coded values were 100 percent verified. Occupational and medical coding was performed by individuals who have knowledge of these unique coding schemes. The results of these activities were also 100 percent verified. All data entry was double-keyed and verified before the machine edit process began.

3.3 Stage II Editing (Machine Editing)

Following data entry, a computer-assisted editing system was used to check data for two general types of errors:

- *Out-of-range* checks, and
- *Logic* checks (which included "skip pattern" checks).

During this *machine editing* process, a trained staff member made all the necessary corrections while referring to the original form.

3.3.1 Out-of-Range Checks

Reasonable ranges for data field values were defined and *out-of-range* values were identified. All out-of-range values identified were checked against the original forms to ensure the accuracy of data entry.

3.3.2 Logic Checks

Despite the constraints of the project schedule, some advanced logic checks were done to resolve inconsistencies across data fields. For each of these logic checks, discrepant cases were identified and errors were resolved by referring to the original survey forms and applying rules that ensured consistent responses. However, due to the fact that respondents were allowed to provide estimates, some percentages may not add to exactly 100 percent, and some totals may not exactly agree with the sum of their components.

3.3.2.1 Skip Pattern Logic Checks

A "skip pattern" logic check began with a *trigger* question. Depending on the response to this trigger question, the respondent may have been required to skip over some questions in the questionnaire. *Errors in responses to skip patterns could result from one of the two following situations:*

- The respondent failed to give a legitimate response to a trigger question based on subsequent responses within the skip; or
- The respondent did give a legitimate response to the trigger question, but failed to follow the skip pattern.

4. READING THE CODEBOOK

4.1 Contents of the Codebook

The **Drug Services Research Survey Codebook**, contained in Appendix E, constitutes the major documentation for the survey files. Codebook entries document both the SAS and physical sequential (flat) files. For each survey and constructed variable, the codebook provides the following information:

- Variable name,
- Variable label (an abbreviated version of the question),
- Column position and record number in keyed file,
- Meaning of assigned codes,
- Logical skip patterns, and
- Frequency counts associated with each variable.

4.2 Example

To help explain the codebook conventions, selected examples from the codebook (Appendix E) are presented in Exhibit 1 (see page 20). The items numbered on the exhibit correspond to the explanations listed here:

1. **Title:** name of study, name of instrument.
2. **Record Number:** This item tells the user which record is referenced in the physical sequential data file.
3. **Variable Name:** Each question on each instrument is represented by a variable in the data file, with the variable name in most cases being a mnemonic composed from the instrument numbering scheme of the corresponding instrument. This name is also the SAS variable name on the corresponding SAS file.
4. **Column Numbers:** The column numbers represent the starting and ending positions of the variable on the physical sequential data file.

5. **SAS Label:** This is the SAS variable label, or description of the variable, as found on the SAS file. It is also an abbreviated statement of the question on the instrument.
6. **Logical Skip Patterns:** Not all variables have coded responses for all respondents. Some questions on the instrument are skipped, depending on responses to prior questions.
7. **Frequency:** The frequency shows the distribution of the values the variable contains. The first column contains the response codes. The codes listed in this entry are the actual values contained in the data file.

The frequency distribution shows the actual range of values (the minimum and maximum) assigned to that variable. Frequency distributions for alphanumeric variables are based on an ASCII sort order sequence.

Valid skips are coded "blank." In the SAS file, a "." indicates blank numeric values.

Missing code values are assigned a specific code according to the reason they are missing. The coding scheme is based on a single digit code that follows a sequence of one or more 9's depending on the size of the field. The coding scheme is used to indicate "refusal," "don't know," or "not ascertained," as follows:

- If the last digit in the sequence is 7, that is, a 7 preceded by one or more 9's (e.g., 99997), the response was a "refusal." Examples include the following:
 - 99997
 - 9997
 - 99999997
- If the last digit in the sequence is 8, that is, an 8 preceded by one or more 9's (e.g., 99998), the response was "don't know." Examples include the following:
 - 99998
 - 9998
 - 99999998
- If the last digit in the sequence is 9, that is, a 9 preceded by one or more 9's (e.g., 99999), the response was "not ascertained." Examples include the following:

- 99999
 - 9999999
 - 999999999
8. **Acceptable Range:** This lists the acceptable range of values for the variable or question, and explains the meanings of these values. The range may list '000000,' but the SAS frequency lists a value of '0'. The physical sequential file will have a value of '000000' which corresponds with the SAS numeric value of '0' represented in the frequency.
 9. **Code Labels:** This column lists the value labels associated with response codes. Value labels provide text for each value presented for the variable.
 10. **Actual Range:** Continuous variables have the actual minimum and maximum non-missing values listed as the range of actual values within the frequencies. Minimum and maximum nonmissing values for alphanumeric variables are based on an ASCII sort order sequence.
 11. **Blanks:** Pluses (+ + +) indicate that the variable in the physical sequential file was keyed as blanks.
 - 11a. **Numeric Missing:** If the variable is defined as numeric in the SAS file, it is listed on the frequency as ".".
 - 11b. **Character Missing:** If the variable is defined as character in the SAS file, it is listed on the frequency as blank.
 12. **Type of Variable:** The variable type for the SAS file is stated implicitly in the acceptable range. If the range listed contains characters, the variable is implicitly defined as character in the SAS file. Likewise, if the range listed does *not* contain character options, the variable is implicitly defined as numeric in the SAS file.
 13. **Page Number:** The page number appears at the bottom of each page. The first number indicates the instrument.
 - 1 = Facility Telephone Questionnaire (without imputed values)
 - 2 = On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire
 - 3 = Client Record Abstract
 - 4 = Facility Telephone Questionnaire (with imputed values)

If there is a letter after the first number, it indicates the section of the respective instrument where the question is found. The second number represents the consecutive page number of the codebook for that instrument.

Exhibit 1. Sample questions from selected instruments
 1 --> DRUG SERVICES RESEARCH SURVEY

SAMPLE QUESTIONS FROM SELECTED INSTRUMENTS

2 ---> Record 01

Question Name	Column Number(s)																																		
3 ---> Q7_COR	027 <--- 4	<u>7. # OF CLIENTS IN TREATMENT CORRECT?</u>	<--- 5																																
		6 { <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * 1 = YES * 2 = NO * 8 = DON'T KNOW * 9 = NOT ASCERTAINED * SKIP Q7A (CODE AS INAPPLICABLE-BLANK) 																																	
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q7_COR</th> <th>FREQUENCY</th> <th>PERCENT</th> <th>CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY</th> <th>CUMULATIVE PERCENT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>7 { 1</td> <td>101</td> <td>84.2</td> <td>101</td> <td>84.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>16</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>117</td> <td>97.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>3</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>120</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q7_COR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT	7 { 1	101	84.2	101	84.2	2	16	13.3	117	97.5	8	3	2.5	120	100.0											
Q7_COR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT																															
7 { 1	101	84.2	101	84.2																															
2	16	13.3	117	97.5																															
8	3	2.5	120	100.0																															
Q8	032-035	<u>8. # OF CLIENTS IN TREATMENT TODAY</u>																																	
		8 { <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * 0000 = NONE * 0001-5000 = NUMBER OF CLIENTS * 9998 = DON'T KNOW * 9999 = NOT ASCERTAINED * SKIP Q9 (CODE AS INAPPLICABLE-BLANK) 	9																																
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q8</th> <th>FREQUENCY</th> <th>PERCENT</th> <th>CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY</th> <th>CUMULATIVE PERCENT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>11a --> .</td> <td>1</td> <td>.</td> <td>.</td> <td>.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 --> 0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 - 630</td> <td>115</td> <td>96.8</td> <td>116</td> <td>97.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9998</td> <td>2</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>118</td> <td>99.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9999</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>119</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q8	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT	11a --> .	1	.	.	.	10 --> 0	1	0.8	1	0.8	4 - 630	115	96.8	116	97.5	9998	2	1.7	118	99.2	9999	1	0.8	119	100.0	
Q8	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT																															
11a --> .	1	.	.	.																															
10 --> 0	1	0.8	1	0.8																															
4 - 630	115	96.8	116	97.5																															
9998	2	1.7	118	99.2																															
9999	1	0.8	119	100.0																															
Q29A_NCI	16-21	<u>29A. 1st DUAL DX (ICD-9-CM CODE) @ ADM</u>																																	
		NOTE: THIS DIAGNOSIS IS CODED FROM VERBATIM RESPONSES LISTED IN Q29A.																																	
		11 --> + + + + + = INAPPLICABLE-BLANK/CODED + OR 9 IN Q29A 12 --> 001.OX-999.9X = ICD-9-CM CODE V01.OX-V82.9X = ICD-9-CM CODE E80.00-E99.9X = ICD-9-CM CODE																																	
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q29A_NCI</th> <th>FREQUENCY</th> <th>PERCENT</th> <th>CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY</th> <th>CUMULATIVE PERCENT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>11b ---> 291.OX-V40.9X</td> <td>247</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>247</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q29A_NCI	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT	11b ---> 291.OX-V40.9X	247	100.0	247	100.0																					
Q29A_NCI	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT																															
11b ---> 291.OX-V40.9X	247	100.0	247	100.0																															
				13 ---> [1A-5]																															

5. SURVEY DATA FILES

5.1 File Types

Four survey data files were produced for the Drug Services Research Survey:

1. Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (without imputed values).
2. On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire File.
3. Client Record Abstract File.
4. Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (with imputed values).

Each of these four file types was written as both a physical sequential data file and as a SAS file.

5.2 Contents of the Survey Files

The survey files contain survey data, derived data, and information from the sampling frame for all facilities. The four types of variables in the survey files are:

1. *Survey variables*, which contain direct responses to the survey questions;
2. *Derived variables*, which are constructed for analytic purposes from information collected during the interview;
3. *Operational variables* which were used to sample facilities to be included in the survey; and
4. *Other variables* used to weight the data to the target populations.

Most survey variable names contain the survey question numbers. Names for the constructed and operational variables are mnemonics related to the variable's purpose. Weight variables display the letters "WGT" or "WT" in their variable names.

5.2.1 Missing Values

All survey missing values that are a part of a legitimate skip are given SAS values of "." for numeric variables and are left blank for alpha variables. On the physical sequential file, all legitimate skips are left blank. On both file formats (SAS and physical sequential), missing values that are not part of a legitimate skip are coded with a 7 (refusal), 8 (don't know), or 9 (not ascertained) attached to the end of the sequence which consists of one or more numeric characters (9's). Refer to Section 4.2 for examples, and refer to the codebook (Appendix E) for more details.

In the codebook, the symbol "+" is used to denote a blank. There are no "+"s in the data files; these symbols are *only* used in the codebook. The number of "+"s used to denote a blank for a particular variable corresponds to the number of characters in the field.

5.3 Descriptions of Specific Files

5.3.1 The Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (without imputed values)

See insert on next page.

5.3.2 The On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire File

The **On-site Facility Administrator Questionnaire File** contains data on the 120 facilities selected for the interview in which the **On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire** was employed. The file includes the survey data, operational variables, and the weight variables.

(Insert at paragraph 5.3.1 on page 22)

5.3.1 The Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (without imputed values)

This Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (without imputed values) contains data on the 1,183 facilities for which the Facility Telephone Questionnaire was administered. The file includes the survey data, derived variables, operational variables, and the weight variables.

5.3.1.1 File Organization

The Facility Telephone Questionnaire File consists of 19 records per facility, with a logical record length of 271 bytes. Datasets representing the 11th and 12th records may have more than one record per facility and are not in one-to-one correspondence with the other datasets.

On each record is the variable OBS_NUM which is the four-character "study ID" that may be used as a link to the On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire, and with the client number to the Client Record Abstract.

The variable NFINWT0 is the final selection weight. The 30 replicate weights for estimating replicate variances are called RPWT1-RPWT30.

In general, the order of the variables in the file corresponds to the order of the questions in the questionnaire. Derived variables were added to the end of records containing the variables from which the derived variables were derived.

5.3.1.2 Sort Order

This file is in ascending sort order by the facility observation number, which appears as the first variable.

5.3.1.3 Frequency Distributions

The frequencies reported in this codebook are "unweighted" frequencies and are presented only for the purpose of explaining the content and structure of the file. These frequencies should not be used for estimation or analysis purposes.

5.3.2.1 File Organization

The **On-site Facility Administrator Questionnaire File** consists of three records for each facility, with a logical record length of 271 bytes for each record. On each record is the variable OBS_NUM which is the four-character "study ID" that may be used as a link to the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** and, together with the client number, as a link to the **Client Record Abstract**.

The variable VWGHT is the final nonresponse adjusted weight. The 30 replicate weights for estimating replicate variances are called VWT1-VWT30.

In general, the order of the variables in the file corresponds to the order of the questions in the questionnaire.

5.3.2.2 Sort Order

This file is in ascending sort order by the *facility observation number*, which appears as the first variable.

5.3.2.3 Frequency Distributions

The frequency distributions in the codebook were produced using SAS version 5.18 running on a VAX computer. The alphanumeric variable frequencies are based on the ASCII sort order sequence. Frequency distributions produced on an IBM system will be sorted using the EBCDIC collating sequence and the frequency distributions for alphanumeric variables will be displayed in a different order.

The frequencies reported in the codebook are "unweighted" frequencies and are presented only for the purpose of explaining the content and structure of the file. These frequencies should *not* be used for estimation or analysis purposes (see Section 7).

5.3.3 The Client Record Abstract File

The **Client Record Abstract File** contains data on the 2,222 clients who had their client records abstracted during an on-site visit. The file includes the abstract data, NIDA derived variables, operational variables, and the weight variables. Estimates based on the client data are subject to restrictions. Refer to Section 1.1.3.2 and Appendix B for details.

5.3.3.1 File Organization

The **Client Record Abstract File** consists of five records for each client, with a logical record length of 271 bytes for each record. On each record is the variable `OBS_NUM` which is the four-character "study ID" that may be used as a link to the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** and the **On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire**. The Observation Number (`OBS_NUM`) and the Client Number (`CLIENTNO`) combine to create a unique identification for each client.

The variable `CWGHT` is the final selection weight. The 30 replicate weights for estimating replicate variances are called `CWT1-CWT30`.

In general, the order of the variables in the file corresponds to the order of the questions in the abstract. Derived variables were added at the end of records that contain the variables from which these variables were created.

5.3.3.2 Sort Order

This file is in ascending sort order by the *facility observation number*, with the *client number* appearing as the first variable.

5.3.3.3 Frequency Distributions

The frequency distributions in the codebook were produced using SAS version 5.18 running on a VAX computer. The alphanumeric variable frequencies are based on the ASCII sort

order sequence. Frequency distributions produced on an IBM system will be sorted using the EBCDIC collating sequence and the frequency distributions for alphanumeric variables will be displayed in a different order.

The frequencies reported in the codebook are "unweighted" frequencies and are presented only for the purpose of explaining the content and structure of the file. These frequencies should *not* be used for estimation or analysis purposes (see Section 7).

5.3.4 The Facility Telephone Questionnaire File (with imputed values)

The **Facility Telephone Questionnaire File** (with imputed values) contains data on 1,183 facilities that completed the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire**. The file includes the survey data, derived variables, operational variables, and the weight variables.

This file also contains imputed data. Item imputation was performed for selected items from the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** to aid in the analysis of the data from this questionnaire. (Imputation is the process of replacing invalid or missing data with valid values to enhance the analysis.) See Section 1.2 for the methods of imputation used in this survey.

This file also contains the variable IMPFLAG, which was created for every facility. If no variables were imputed for a facility, IMPFLAG is equal to zero (0). If a facility had any variables imputed, IMPFLAG was set to one (1).

Each individual imputed variable is also associated with an imputation flag with codes giving imputation information and the imputation method. These codes are defined in the codebook for the **Facility Telephone Questionnaire** (with imputed values). On the physical sequential file, the imputation flags are located at the end of the records that contain the imputed variables.

5.3.4.1 File Organization

The **Facility Telephone Questionnaire File** (with imputed values) consists of 19 records for each facility, with a logical record length of 271 bytes for each record. Datasets representing the 11th and 12th records have more than one record per facility and are not in one-to-one correspondence with the other datasets.

On each record is the variable **OBS_NUM** which is the four-character "study ID" that may be used as a link to the **On-Site Facility Administrator Questionnaire** and, together with the client number, as a link to the **Client Record Abstract**.

The variable **NFINWT0** is the final selection weight. The 30 replicate weights for estimating replicate variances are called **RPWT1-RPWT30**.

In general, the order of the variables in the file corresponds to the order of the questions in the questionnaire. Derived variables were added to the end of records that contain the variables from which these variables were created.

5.3.4.2 Sort Order

This file is in ascending sort order by the *facility observation number*, which appears as the first variable.

5.3.4.3 Frequency Distributions

The frequency distributions in the codebook were produced using SAS version 5.18 running on a VAX computer. The alphanumeric variable frequencies are based on the ASCII sort order sequence. Frequency distributions produced on an IBM system will be sorted using the EBCDIC collating sequence and the frequency distributions for alphanumeric variables will be displayed in a different order.

The frequencies reported in the codebook are "unweighted" frequencies and are presented only for the purpose of explaining the content and structure of the file. These frequencies should *not* be used for estimation or analysis purposes (see Section 7).

6. U.S. CENSUS REGION CODE DEFINITIONS

Codes indicating the U.S. Census Regions in which the facilities are located appear on each file and are defined in the codebook as Northeast, North Central, South and West. The individual states within each U.S. Census region are provided in this section.

6.1 Northeast Region

The nine states within the Northeast U.S. Census Region (region code = 1) are listed below in alphabetical order:

- Connecticut,
- Maine,
- Massachusetts,
- New Hampshire,
- New Jersey,
- New York,
- Pennsylvania,
- Rhode Island, and
- Vermont

6.2 North Central Region

The 12 states within the North Central U.S. Census Region (region code = 2) are listed below in alphabetical order:

- Illinois,
- Indiana,
- Iowa,
- Kansas,
- Michigan,
- Minnesota,
- Missouri,
- Nebraska,
- North Dakota,
- Ohio,
- South Dakota, and
- Wisconsin

6.3 South Region

The 16 states and 1 district within the South U.S. Census Region (region code = 3) are listed below in alphabetical order:

- Alabama,
- Arkansas,
- Delaware,
- District of Columbia (Washington, DC),
- Florida,
- Georgia,
- Kentucky,
- Louisiana,
- Maryland,
- Mississippi,
- North Carolina,
- Oklahoma,
- South Carolina,
- Tennessee,
- Texas,
- Virginia, and
- West Virginia

6.4 West Region

The 13 states within the West U.S. Census Region (region code = 4) are listed below in alphabetical order:

- Alaska,
- Arizona,
- California,
- Colorado,
- Hawaii,
- Idaho,
- Montana,
- Nevada,
- New Mexico,
- Oregon,
- Utah,
- Washington, and
- Wyoming

7. CALCULATING ESTIMATES USING SAMPLING WEIGHTS

Data collected as part of a complex sample survey require the use of sampling weights for calculating unbiased or relatively unbiased estimates of population parameters and estimates of their associated variances. Unbiased estimates of population parameters such as totals, means and proportions can be made through the proper use of the final full-sample weights, i.e., the final non-response adjusted facility weight (NFINWT0), administrator weight (VWGHT) or client record weight (CWGHT).

For estimating totals, the following equation should be used:

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i$$

where w_i = the appropriate final, nonresponse adjusted weight for record i ,

y_i = the observed value of y for record i , and

n = the number of records in the file.

For estimating ratio means and proportions, the following equation should be used:

$$\hat{\bar{Y}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

where w_i = the appropriate final, non-response adjusted weight for record i ,

y_i = the observed value of y for record i (if y_i is an indicator variable, i.e. $y_i = 1$ or 0 , then the resulting quantity is an estimate of a population proportion), and

n = the number of records in the file.

For estimating other ratio statistics, where the denominator is the weighted total for some other variable, the following equation should be used:

$$\hat{R} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}$$

where w_i = the appropriate final, non-response adjusted weight for record i

y_i = the observed value of y for record i

x_i = the observed value of x for record i

n = the number of records in the file

Variances of descriptive statistics such as totals, means and proportions which are estimated using standard statistical packages are typically too small and result in overestimates of precision. A class of techniques, called replicated estimates of variance, has been developed to provide a general method of estimating variances for the types of sample designs, weighting procedures and estimates usually encountered in practice. The basic idea behind the replication approaches is to repeatedly select portions of the sample to calculate the estimate of interest and then use the variability among these calculated quantities to estimate the variance of the full sample statistics. Balanced repeated replication (BRR) and jackknife replication are two general approaches to making such replicate estimates of variance. (For a more detailed explanation of replication techniques, see K. M. Wolter, *Introduction to Variance Estimation*, Springer-Verlag, 1985 or consult a sample survey statistician.) A particular version of jackknife replication, JK1, was chosen for DSRS based on the number of sampling strata used in the sample design.

Variances for any of the parameters discussed above can be calculated using the following formula:

$$v(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{G-1}{G} \sum_{k=1}^G (\hat{\theta}_k - \hat{\theta})^2$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ = the full sample estimate of the parameter of interest

$\hat{\theta}_k$ = the k-th replicate estimate of the parameter of interest,
calculated using the k-th replicate weight

G = the number of replicate groups formed, in this case 30.

Thirty replicate weights were attached to each record in each file. The appropriate weight should be used to obtain correct estimates of variance for different types of estimates (i.e., use RPWT1 - RPWT30 for estimates based on the facility data, VWT1 - VWT30 for estimates based on the administrator data and CWT1 - CWT30 for estimates based on the client data).

The above formula must be modified if one or more of the replicate estimates is undefined due to a total lack of records in a replicate group with data to contribute to the estimate. The estimate of variance can be calculated using G' in place of G in the formula, where G' is the number of replicates for which the estimate of interest is defined.

FREQUENCIES

CASE IDENTIFICATION VARIABLES**CASEID CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER**

1,985 cases (Range of valid codes: 1-1985)

Data type: numeric
Columns: 1-8

OBS_NUM FACILITY OBSERVATION NUMBER

Facility Observation Number (Uniquely identifies each facility in the sample)

1,985 cases (Range of valid codes: 1-1183)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 9-12

KEYBATCH BATCH NUMBER

1,985 cases (Range of valid codes: 1-65)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1514-1515

SECTION A - ORGANIZATIONAL DATA

CRP_MO1

CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - FROM MONTH

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?

.. Month 1

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
21.8	12.8	255	1	JANUARY
0.4	0.3	5	2	FEBRUARY
13.6	8.0	159	3	MARCH
8.3	4.9	97	4	APRIL
4.4	2.6	51	5	MAY
12.2	7.2	143	6	JUNE
30.1	17.8	353	7	JULY
0.5	0.3	6	8	AUGUST
2.0	1.2	24	9	SEPTEMBER
5.5	3.2	64	10	OCTOBER
0.9	0.5	10	11	NOVEMBER
0.4	0.3	5	12	DECEMBER
	0.4	8	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 13-14

CRP_DA1	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - FROM DAY
----------------	--

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?

.. Month 1

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
81.2	48.0	952	1	
0.5	0.3	6	2	
0.2	0.1	2	3	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
0.3	0.2	3	9	
0.3	0.2	4	12	
0.2	0.1	2	13	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.8	0.5	9	15	
0.2	0.1	2	16	
0.3	0.2	3	18	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.1	0.1	1	23	
0.2	0.1	2	25	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
0.4	0.3	5	28	
11.9	7.1	140	30	
2.6	1.5	30	31	
	0.4	8	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 15-16

CRP_YR1	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - FROM YEAR
----------------	---

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?

... Year 1 (19__)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.3	0.2	3	87	
18.3	10.8	214	88	
80.8	47.7	946	89	
0.7	0.4	8	90	
	0.5	9	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 17-18

CRP_MO2	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - TO MONTH
----------------	--

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?

.. Month 2

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.5	2.1	41	1	JANUARY
1.4	0.8	16	2	FEBRUARY
19.4	11.4	227	3	MARCH
2.6	1.5	30	4	APRIL
7.9	4.7	93	5	MAY
34.8	20.5	407	6	JUNE
4.4	2.6	52	7	JULY
1.4	0.8	16	8	AUGUST
5.2	3.1	61	9	SEPTEMBER
0.7	0.4	8	10	OCTOBER
0.3	0.2	4	11	NOVEMBER
18.4	10.9	216	12	DECEMBER
	0.5	9	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 19-20

CRP_DA2	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - TO DAY
----------------	--------------------------------------

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?

.. Day 2

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
14.5	8.6	170	1	
0.4	0.3	5	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.3	0.2	3	7	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.4	0.3	5	12	
0.2	0.1	2	13	
0.2	0.1	2	14	
0.7	0.4	8	15	
0.3	0.2	3	18	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.1	0.1	1	23	
0.1	0.1	1	24	
0.1	0.1	1	25	
0.1	0.1	1	26	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
1.5	0.9	18	28	
0.6	0.4	7	29	
51.1	30.1	598	30	
28.7	16.9	336	31	
	0.5	9	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 21-22

CRP_YR2	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - TO YEAR
----------------	---------------------------------------

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on clients?
... Year 2 (19__)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.6	0.4	7	88	
35.4	20.9	415	89	
64.0	37.7	749	90	
	0.5	9	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 23-24

CLENGTH	CLIENT REPORT PERIOD - LENGTH (IN MONTHS)
----------------	--

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE...

Client Reporting Period Length (in months).

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.1	0.1	1	1	
0.2	0.1	2	3	
0.3	0.2	3	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.3	0.2	3	6	
0.3	0.2	4	7	
0.3	0.2	4	8	
0.2	0.1	2	9	
0.3	0.2	3	10	
0.6	0.4	7	11	
95.6	56.4	1,120	12	
1.2	0.7	14	13	
0.3	0.2	4	14	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.2	0.1	2	24	
	0.5	9	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 25-26

FRP_MO1	FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - FROM MONTH
----------------	---

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Month 1

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
22.3	12.3	245	1	JANUARY
0.3	0.2	3	2	FEBRUARY
8.0	4.4	88	3	MARCH
5.2	2.9	57	4	APRIL
2.9	1.6	32	5	MAY
8.8	4.9	97	6	JUNE
40.3	22.3	443	7	JULY
0.5	0.3	6	8	AUGUST
2.3	1.3	25	9	SEPTEMBER
8.0	4.4	88	10	OCTOBER
0.8	0.5	9	11	NOVEMBER
0.5	0.3	6	12	DECEMBER
	0.7	14	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	53	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 27-28

FRP_DA1

FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - FROM DAY

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Day 1

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.6	48.0	952	1	
0.3	0.2	3	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.2	0.1	2	9	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.8	0.5	9	15	
0.1	0.1	1	16	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.2	0.1	2	22	
0.2	0.1	2	25	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
0.1	0.1	1	28	
8.6	4.7	94	30	
1.9	1.1	21	31	
	0.7	14	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	53	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 29-30

FRP_YR1	FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - FROM YEAR
----------------	--

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Year 1 (19__)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.1	0.1	1	87	
26.2	14.5	288	88	
72.9	40.4	801	89	
0.8	0.5	9	90	
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	54	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 31-32

FRP_MO2

FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - TO MONTH

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Month 2

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
2.9	1.6	32	1	JANUARY
0.8	0.5	9	2	FEBRUARY
11.9	6.6	131	3	MARCH
2.1	1.2	23	4	APRIL
4.5	2.5	49	5	MAY
42.9	23.8	472	6	JUNE
5.0	2.8	55	7	JULY
1.4	0.8	15	8	AUGUST
7.6	4.2	84	9	SEPTEMBER
1.0	0.6	11	10	OCTOBER
0.4	0.2	4	11	NOVEMBER
19.5	10.8	214	12	DECEMBER
	0.7	14	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	53	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 33-34

FRP_DA2	FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - TO DAY
----------------	---

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Day 2

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
12.3	6.8	135	1	
0.3	0.2	3	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.2	0.1	2	14	
0.6	0.4	7	15	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.1	0.1	1	24	
0.1	0.1	1	25	
0.1	0.1	1	26	
0.8	0.5	9	28	
0.5	0.3	5	29	
56.4	31.2	620	30	
27.5	15.2	302	31	
	0.7	14	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	53	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 35-36

FRP_YR2

FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - TO YEAR

What are the dates of your most recent complete 12-month reporting period on finances/costs?

.. Year 2

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.5	0.3	5	88	
44.2	24.5	486	89	
55.3	30.6	608	90	
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	54	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 37-38

FLENGTH	FINANCIAL REPORT PERIOD - LENGTH (IN MONTHS)
----------------	---

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE...
Financial/Cost Reporting Period Length (in months).

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.2	0.1	2	1	
0.2	0.1	2	3	
0.1	0.1	1	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.4	0.2	4	7	
0.3	0.2	3	8	
0.5	0.3	5	9	
0.4	0.2	4	10	
0.6	0.4	7	11	
95.9	53.1	1,054	12	
0.8	0.5	9	13	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.1	0.1	1	24	
0.1	0.1	1	36	
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	2.7	54	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.8	16	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 39-40

A1_NO	A1. DRUG TREATMENT OFFERED-NUMBER
--------------	--

As of March 30, 1990, for how many months or years had this facility offered a drug treatment program?

Min	=	1	Mean	=	25.319
Max	=	350	Std Dev	=	39.337
Median	=	15	Variance	=	1,547.412

(Based on 1,175 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 41-43

A1_UNIT**A1. DRUG TREATMENT OFFERED-UNIT**

As of March 30, 1990, for how many months or years had this facility offered a drug treatment program?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
26.3	15.6	309	1	MONTHS
73.7	43.6	866	2	YEARS
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 44-45

A2A**A2A. OWNED - PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility owned by:
...A private for-profit organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
17.8	10.6	210	1	YES
82.2	49.0	972	2	NO
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 46-47

A2B **A2B. OWNED - PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility owned by:
...A private not-for-profit organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
62.9	37.4	743	1	YES
37.1	22.1	439	2	NO
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 48-49

A2C **A2C. OWNED - PUBLIC CITY/COUNTY**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility owned by:
...A public city or county organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
9.8	5.8	116	1	YES
90.2	53.7	1,066	2	NO
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 50-51

A2D. OWNED - PUBLIC STATE

On March 30, 1990, was this facility owned by:
...A public state organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
7.3	4.3	86	1	YES
92.7	55.2	1,096	2	NO
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 52-53

A2E. OWNED - PUBLIC FEDERAL

On March 30, 1990, was this facility owned by:
...A public federal organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.1	1.9	37	1	YES
96.9	57.7	1,145	2	NO
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 54-55

A3A **A3A. MANAGED - PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility managed by:
...A private for-profit organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
18.0	10.7	212	1	YES
82.0	48.7	967	2	NO
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 56-57

A3B **A3B. MANAGED - PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility managed by:
...A private not-for-profit organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
63.2	37.6	746	1	YES
36.8	21.9	434	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 58-59

A3C**A3C. MANAGED - PUBLIC CITY/COUNTY**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility managed by:
...A public city or county organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
8.8	5.2	103	1	YES
91.2	54.1	1,074	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 60-61

A3D**A3D. MANAGED - PUBLIC STATE**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility managed by:
...A public state organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
7.7	4.6	91	1	YES
92.3	54.8	1,087	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 62-63

A3E **A3E. MANAGED - PUBLIC FEDERAL**

On March 30, 1990, was this facility managed by:
...A public federal organization?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.2	1.9	38	1	YES
96.8	57.4	1,140	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 64-65

A4A **A4A. LICENSING - STATE**

On March 30, 1990 did this facility have
licensing/certification from:
...A State agency or office?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.5	53.9	1,070	1	YES
8.5	5.0	99	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	11	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 66-67

A4B**A4B. LICENSING - COUNTY**

On March 30, 1990 did this facility have
licensing/certification from:
...A county agency or office?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
9.1	5.3	106	1	YES
90.9	53.4	1,059	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.8	15	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 68-69

A4C**A4C. LICENSING - CITY**

On March 30, 1990 did this facility have
licensing/certification from:
...A city agency or office?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
4.9	2.9	57	1	YES
95.1	55.9	1,110	2	NO
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	12	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 70-71

A4F**A4F. LICENSING - OTHER**

On March 30, 1990 did this facility have
licensing/certification from:
...Any other organization (SPECIFY)?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
12.8	7.5	149	1	YES
87.2	51.3	1,018	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.7	13	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 76-77

A5A**A5A. ACCREDITATION - HEALTH CARE**

On March 30, 1990 did this facility have accreditation
from:
...The Joint Commission on the Accreditation of
Health Care Organizations?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
33.3	19.4	386	1	YES
66.7	38.9	773	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 78-79

HIDM_A6**A6A2. HOSP INPT - DRUG MAINTENANCE**

Please indicate whether on March 30, 1990, your facility offered each of the following modalities of service:
...Hospital Inpatient Drug Maintenance

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.7	59.3	1,178	0	NO
0.3	0.2	3	1	YES
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 84-85

HIDF_A6**A6A3. HOSP INPT - DRUG FREE**

Please indicate whether on March 30, 1990, your facility offered each of the following modalities of service:
...Hospital Inpatient Drug Free

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
85.9	51.1	1,015	0	NO
14.1	8.4	167	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 86-87

RSDF_A6**A6B3. RESIDENTIAL - DRUG FREE**

Please indicate whether on March 30, 1990, your facility offered each of the following modalities of service:
...Residential Drug Free

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
70.6	42.1	835	0	NO
29.4	17.5	347	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 92-93

OPDD_A6**A6C1. OUTPATIENT - DRUG DETOX**

Please indicate whether on March 30, 1990, your facility offered each of the following modalities of service:
...Outpatient Drug Detoxification

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.2	54.3	1,078	0	NO
8.8	5.2	104	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 94-95

ALC_A6**A6D1. ALCOHOL TREATMENT**

Please indicate whether on March 30, 1990, your facility offered each of the following modalities of service:
...Alcohol Treatment at all modalities and environments

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
19.8	11.8	234	0	NO
80.2	47.8	948	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 100-101

CORRFAC**CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES**

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
0 IS FURTHER DEFINED AS FACILITY NOT CLASSIFIED AS A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON NDATUS FILE, OR A FACILITY THAT HAS NO NDATUS RECORD.
1 IS FURTHER DEFINED AS FACILITY CLASSIFIED AS A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON NDATUS FILE OR BY THE NIDA PROJECT OFFICER.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.7	58.8	1,167	0	NO
1.3	0.8	15	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 102-103

ALCHONLY	ALCOHOL ONLY FACILITIES
-----------------	--------------------------------

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
 0 IS DEFINED AS A FACILITY THAT PROVIDED PRIMARY DRUG ABUSE TREATMENT AS DETERMINED THROUGH DISCUSSIONS OVER THE SURVEY ASSISTANCE HOTLINE WHICH WAS BASED AT BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY. DRUG TREATMENT MAY BE PROVIDED IN COMBINATION WITH ALCOHOL TREATMENT.

1 IS DEFINED AS A FACILITY THAT PROVIDES PRIMARILY ALCOHOL TREATMENT. DRUG ABUSE TREATMENT IS ALSO PROVIDED INCIDENTAL TO THE ALCOHOLISM FOCUS, AS DETERMINED THROUGH DISCUSSIONS OVER THE SURVEY ASSISTANCE HOTLINE BASED AT BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.1	56.6	1,124	0	NO
4.9	2.9	58	1	YES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 104-105

SEQ11CNT	NUMBER OF RECORD 11S A FACILITY HAS
-----------------	--

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
 THIS VARIABLE COUNTS THE NUMBER OF RECORDS FOR EACH FACILITY IN RECORD 11 REPORTING DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ON CLIENTS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
51.2	30.5	605	1	
33.8	20.2	400	2	
11.4	6.8	135	3	
3.0	1.8	35	4	
0.6	0.4	7	5	
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 106-107

SEQ12CNT	NUMBER OF RECORD 12S A FACILITY HAS
-----------------	--

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
THIS VARIABLE COUNTS THE NUMBER OF RECORDS FOR EACH FACILITY
IN RECORD 12 REPORTING DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ON CLIENTS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
51.2	30.5	605	1	
33.8	20.2	400	2	
11.4	6.8	135	3	
3.0	1.8	35	4	
0.6	0.4	7	5	
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 108-109

NUMMOD	NUMBER OF YES RESPONSES TO A6 VARIABLES
---------------	--

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
THIS VARIABLE COUNTS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF MODALITIES REPORTED
BY FACILITIES IN QUESTION A6. IT WAS CREATED USING THE
VARIABLES HIDD_A6, HIDM_A6, HIDF_A6, RSDD_A6, RSDM_A6,
OPDD_A6, OPDM_A6, OPDF_A6, AND ALC_A6.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
14.9	8.9	176	1	
54.1	32.2	640	2	
19.1	11.4	226	3	
8.5	5.1	101	4	
2.0	1.2	24	5	
1.2	0.7	14	6	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 110-111

MOD	MODALITY OF TREATMENT
------------	------------------------------

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
 THIS VARIABLE SPECIFIES THE MODALITY OF TREATMENT FOR EACH FACILITY AND WAS CREATED USING THE FOLLOWING VARIABLES: HIDD_A6, HIDM_A6, HIDF_A6, RSDD_A6, RSDM_A6, OPDD_A6, OPDM_A6, OPDF_A6, AND ALC_A6. THE MODALITY OF FACILITIES WHICH REPORTED TWO MODALITIES OF TREATMENT, WHERE ONE OF THEM WAS THE ALCOHOL MODALITY, WERE CODED AS A SINGLE MODALITY DRUG TREATMENT FACILITY. FACILITIES WHICH HAD MORE THAN ONE DRUG MODALITY WERE DESIGNATED AS MULTIPLE MODALITY FACILITIES.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
2.0	1.2	24	1	HOSPITAL INPATIENT DRUG DETOX
1.4	0.8	16	2	HOSPITAL INPATIENT DRUG FREE
1.1	0.7	13	3	RESIDENTIAL DRUG DETOX
15.7	9.3	185	4	RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE
0.1	0.1	1	5	OUTPATIENT DRUG DETOX
1.6	1.0	19	6	OUTPATIENT DRUG MAINTENANCE
42.0	25.0	497	7	OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE
1.6	1.0	19	8	ALCOHOL ONLY
34.5	20.6	408	9	COMBINED MODALITY
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 112-113

OWN	OWNERSHIP STATUS
------------	-------------------------

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE.
 THIS VARIABLE SPECIFIES THE OWNERSHIP OF EACH FACILITY:
 PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT, PRIVATE NON-PROFIT, STATE OR LOCAL
 GOVERNMENT, OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. ONE FACILITY WAS GIVEN A
 CODE OF 5 TO DESIGNATE A PUBLIC NON-PROFIT FACILITY. THE
 OWNERSHIP OF TWO FACILITIES IN THE SAMPLE COULD NOT BE
 DETERMINED AND WERE GIVEN A CODE OF -9 (OTHER, UNKNOWN). THE
 VARIABLES A2A, A2B, A2C, A2D, AND A2E WERE USED TO CREATE
 OWN.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
17.7	10.5	209	1	PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT
62.8	37.3	741	2	PRIVATE NON-PROFIT
16.4	9.7	193	3	STATE OR LOCAL PUBLIC
3.1	1.8	36	4	FEDERAL PUBLIC
0.1	0.1	1	5	PUBLIC NON-PROFIT - PUBLIC FACILITY
	0.1	2	-9	UNKNOWN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 114-115

ENV**ENVIRONMENT OF TREATMENT**

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
THIS VARIABLE SPECIFIES THE ENVIRONMENT OF TREATMENT OF EACH
FACILITY: HOSPITAL INPATIENT, RESIDENTIAL, OUTPATIENT,
ALCOHOL ONLY, OR COMBINATION. IT WAS CREATED USING THE
DERIVED VARIABLE MOD.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	1	HOSPITAL INPATIENT
16.8	10.0	198	2	RESIDENTIAL
43.7	26.0	517	3	OUTPATIENT
1.6	1.0	19	8	ALCOHOL ONLY
34.5	20.6	408	9	COMBINED MODALITY
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 116-117

XENV**EXPANDED ENVIRONMENT**

THIS IS A DERIVED VARIABLE
 THIS VARIABLE WAS CREATED USING THE DERIVED VARIABLES MOD
 AND ENV. IT SPECIFIES THE ENVIRONMENT OF TREATMENT FOR
 HOSPITAL INPATIENT, RESIDENTIAL, ALCOHOL ONLY, AND MULTIPLE
 MODALITY FACILITIES, AND THE MODALITY OF TREATMENT FOR
 OUTPATIENT FACILITIES (I.E., DRUG DETOXIFICATION, DRUG
 MAINTANENCE, OR DRUG FREE.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	1	HOSPITAL INPATIENT
16.8	10.0	198	2	RESIDENTIAL
0.1	0.1	1	3	OUTPATIENT DRUG DETOX
1.6	1.0	19	4	OUTPATIENT DRUG MAINTENANCE
42.0	25.0	497	5	OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE
1.6	1.0	19	8	ALCOHOL ONLY
34.5	20.6	408	9	COMBINED MODALITY
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 118-119

STRATUM	STRATUM - SAMPLING FRAME
----------------	---------------------------------

THIS VARIABLE WAS USED FOR TREATMENT TYPE STRATIFICATION. IT INDICATES WHICH OF THE SIX TREATMENT/MODALITY GROUPS WAS INITIALLY ASSIGNED TO THE FACILITY.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
11.7	7.0	138	1	HOSPITAL IN-PATIENT DRUG TREATMENT
15.7	9.3	185	2	RESIDENTIAL DRUG TREATMENT
6.8	4.0	80	3	OUT-PATIENT DRUG DETOXIFICATION MAINTENANCE
31.5	18.7	372	4	OUT-PATIENT DRUG-FREE TREATMENT
7.7	4.6	91	5	ALCOHOL TREATMENT ONLY
26.7	15.9	316	6	UNKNOWN TREATMENT TYPE
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 120-121

REGION	REGION - CENSUS REGION
---------------	-------------------------------

THIS VARIABLE WAS USED FOR GEOGRAPHIC STRATIFICATION. IT INDICATES IN WHICH OF THE FOUR CENSUS REGIONS THE FACILITY WAS LOCATED.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
22.0	13.1	260	1	NORTHEAST
29.8	17.7	352	2	NORTH CENTRAL
27.2	16.2	322	3	SOUTH
21.0	12.5	248	4	WEST
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 122-123

IMPFLAG	IMPUTATION FLAG 0/1 = NO/YES
----------------	-------------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
22.7	13.5	268	0	NO VARIABLES WERE IMPUTED FOR THIS FACILITY
77.3	46.0	914	1	AT LEAST ONE VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 124-125

A7_BOX	A7. ALCOHOL ONLY BOX CHECKED
---------------	-------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990? Please exclude Alcohol Only treatment staff. (If respondent cannot exclude Alcohol Only treatment staff, check here .)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
57.0	34.0	674	0	BLANK, IMPLICITLY ZERO
43.0	25.6	508	1	BOX CHECKED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 126-127

A7A_PT**A7A. PSYCHIATRISTS - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychiatrists part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
80.5	47.9	950	0	
14.9	8.9	176	1	
3.1	1.8	36	2	
0.8	0.5	9	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 130-131

A7A_CON	A7A. PSYCHIATRISTS - CONTRACT
----------------	--------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychiatrists on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
79.2	47.1	934	0	
16.5	9.8	194	1	
2.0	1.2	24	2	
0.6	0.4	7	3	
0.9	0.6	11	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
0.1	0.1	1	25	
0.1	0.1	1	30	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 132-133

A7A_CERT**A7A. PSYCHIATRISTS - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychiatrists certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
89.5	52.5	1,043	0	
8.4	4.9	98	1	
1.5	0.9	17	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.3	0.2	4	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
	0.8	16	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 134-135

A7B_PT**A7B. OTHER PHYSICIANS - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Physicians (MD's/DO's) (SPECIFY) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
83.4	49.5	983	0	
11.5	6.9	136	1	
2.6	1.6	31	2	
1.3	0.8	15	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.3	0.2	3	6	
0.2	0.1	2	7	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 138-139

A7B_CON	A7B. OTHER PHYSICIANS - CONTRACT
----------------	---

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Physicians (MD's/DO's) (SPECIFY) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
75.8	44.8	890	0	
18.2	10.8	214	1	
2.4	1.4	28	2	
1.4	0.9	17	3	
0.9	0.6	11	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.2	0.1	2	7	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
0.1	0.1	1	17	
	0.4	8	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 140-141

A7B_CERT**A7B. OTHER PHYSICIANS - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Physicians (MD's/DO's) (SPECIFY) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.0	51.4	1,021	0	
9.8	5.7	114	1	
1.1	0.7	13	2	
0.4	0.3	5	3	
0.3	0.2	4	4	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
	1.1	22	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 142-143

A7C_FT	A7C. RN'S - FULL-TIME
---------------	------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Registered Nurses (RN's) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
68.5	40.7	807	0	
9.8	5.8	115	1	
3.6	2.1	42	2	
3.9	2.3	46	3	
3.8	2.3	45	4	
2.2	1.3	26	5	
2.0	1.2	23	6	
1.7	1.0	20	7	
1.3	0.8	15	8	
0.5	0.3	6	9	
0.8	0.5	9	10	
0.4	0.3	5	11	
0.3	0.2	3	12	
0.3	0.2	3	13	
0.4	0.3	5	14	
0.2	0.1	2	15	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
0.1	0.1	1	42	
0.1	0.1	1	50	
0.1	0.1	1	103	
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 144-146

A7C_PT**A7C. RN'S - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Registered Nurses (RN's) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
78.4	46.5	923	0	
8.8	5.2	103	1	
3.9	2.3	46	2	
3.1	1.8	36	3	
1.5	0.9	18	4	
1.3	0.8	15	5	
1.4	0.9	17	6	
0.6	0.4	7	7	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.3	0.2	3	9	
0.3	0.2	4	10	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 147-148

A7C_CON	A7C. RN'S - CONTRACT
----------------	-----------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Registered Nurses (RN's) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.5	56.7	1,126	0	
2.7	1.6	32	1	
0.8	0.5	9	2	
0.4	0.3	5	3	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
0.2	0.1	2	9	
0.1	0.1	1	30	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 149-150

A7C_CERT**A7C. RN'S - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Registered Nurses (RN's) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.7	51.0	1,013	0	
7.6	4.5	89	1	
2.4	1.4	28	2	
1.0	0.6	12	3	
0.7	0.4	8	4	
0.6	0.4	7	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.3	0.2	3	8	
0.2	0.1	2	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.1	0.1	1	21	
	0.7	13	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 151-152

A7D_FT	A7D. LPN'S/LVN'S - FULL-TIME
---------------	-------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Licensed Nurses (LPN's/LVN's) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
77.6	46.1	915	0	
7.0	4.1	82	1	
5.2	3.1	61	2	
4.0	2.4	47	3	
2.3	1.4	27	4	
1.5	0.9	18	5	
1.0	0.6	12	6	
0.3	0.2	4	7	
0.7	0.4	8	8	
0.2	0.1	2	9	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.1	0.1	1	23	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 153-154

A7D_PT**A7D. LPN'S/LVN'S - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Licensed Nurses (LPN's/LVN's) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.2	52.4	1,041	0	
5.2	3.1	61	1	
3.1	1.9	37	2	
1.7	1.0	20	3	
0.9	0.6	11	4	
0.3	0.2	4	5	
0.3	0.2	3	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 155-156

A7D_CERT**A7D. LPN'S/LVN'S - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Licensed Nurses (LPN's/LVN's) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.5	56.4	1,120	0	
2.6	1.5	30	1	
1.1	0.7	13	2	
0.4	0.3	5	3	
0.2	0.1	2	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
	0.5	9	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 159-160

A7E_FT	A7E. OTHER MEDICAL - FULL-TIME
---------------	---------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
... All Other Medical Personnel full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
89.6	53.1	1,054	0	
2.9	1.7	34	1	
2.1	1.3	25	2	
0.6	0.4	7	3	
1.1	0.7	13	4	
0.6	0.4	7	5	
0.7	0.4	8	6	
0.3	0.2	4	7	
0.3	0.2	4	8	
0.4	0.3	5	9	
0.3	0.2	4	10	
0.3	0.2	4	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.2	0.1	2	14	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
0.1	0.1	1	35	
0.1	0.1	1	38	
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 161-162

A7E_PT**A7E. OTHER MEDICAL - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
... All Other Medical Personnel part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.2	54.7	1,086	0	
3.7	2.2	43	1	
1.4	0.8	16	2	
0.9	0.6	11	3	
0.7	0.4	8	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.3	0.2	4	6	
0.2	0.1	2	7	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 163-164

A7E_CON	A7E. OTHER MEDICAL - CONTRACT
----------------	--------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
... All Other Medical Personnel on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.0	57.5	1,141	0	
2.0	1.2	23	1	
0.7	0.4	8	2	
0.3	0.2	4	3	
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 165-166

A7E_CERT**A7E. OTHER MEDICAL - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
... All Other Medical Personnel certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.1	57.8	1,148	0	
1.1	0.7	13	1	
0.3	0.2	3	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.1	0.1	1	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
	0.6	12	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 167-168

A7F_FT	A7F. PSYCHOLOGISTS - FULL-TIME
---------------	---------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
... Psychologists (MS and above) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
79.8	47.4	941	0	
12.8	7.6	151	1	
4.1	2.4	48	2	
1.8	1.1	21	3	
0.7	0.4	8	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.2	0.1	2	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 169-170

A7F_PT**A7F. PSYCHOLOGISTS - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychologists (MS and above) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
84.7	50.3	999	0	
11.6	6.9	137	1	
2.2	1.3	26	2	
0.7	0.4	8	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 171-172

A7F_CON	A7F. PSYCHOLOGISTS - CONTRACT
----------------	--------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychologists (MS and above) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
78.1	46.3	920	0	
17.2	10.2	203	1	
2.4	1.4	28	2	
1.0	0.6	12	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.3	0.2	3	5	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 173-174

A7F_CERT**A7F. PSYCHOLOGISTS - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Psychologists (MS and above) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
85.5	50.3	999	0	
11.0	6.4	128	1	
2.4	1.4	28	2	
0.6	0.4	7	3	
0.3	0.2	4	4	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
	0.7	14	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 175-176

A7G_FT	A7G. SOCIAL WORKERS - FULL-TIME
---------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Social Workers (MSW and above) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
66.8	39.6	787	0	
18.9	11.2	223	1	
5.7	3.4	67	2	
4.1	2.4	48	3	
1.9	1.1	22	4	
0.9	0.6	11	5	
0.4	0.3	5	6	
0.6	0.4	7	7	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.1	0.1	1	30	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 177-178

A7G_PT**A7G. SOCIAL WORKERS - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Social Workers (MSW and above) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.2	51.2	1,017	0	
10.0	5.9	118	1	
1.9	1.2	23	2	
0.7	0.4	8	3	
0.6	0.4	7	4	
0.3	0.2	3	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 179-180

A7G_CON	A7G. SOCIAL WORKERS - CONTRACT
----------------	---------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Social Workers (MSW and above) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.9	54.7	1,085	0	
4.7	2.8	55	1	
1.2	0.7	14	2	
0.8	0.5	10	3	
0.3	0.2	4	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	45	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 181-182

A7G_CERT**A7G. SOCIAL WORKERS - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Social Workers (MSW and above) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
80.5	47.5	943	0	
13.1	7.8	154	1	
3.5	2.1	41	2	
1.4	0.8	16	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.5	0.3	6	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.3	0.2	3	7	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	42	
	0.5	10	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 183-184

A7H_FT	A7H. FAMILY THERAPISTS - FULL-TIME
---------------	---

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Family Therapists (MS and above) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
79.6	47.3	938	0	
12.7	7.6	150	1	
4.2	2.5	49	2	
1.5	0.9	18	3	
0.6	0.4	7	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.5	0.3	6	6	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	26	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 185-186

A7H_PT**A7H. FAMILY THERAPISTS - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Family Therapists (MS and above) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.5	54.4	1,080	0	
6.2	3.7	73	1	
1.4	0.8	16	2	
0.5	0.3	6	3	
0.2	0.1	2	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 187-188

A7H_CON	A7H. FAMILY THERAPISTS - CONTRACT
----------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Family Therapists (MS and above) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.8	57.0	1,131	0	
2.5	1.5	30	1	
0.7	0.4	8	2	
0.4	0.3	5	3	
0.2	0.1	2	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 189-190

A7H_CERT**A7H. FAMILY THERAPISTS - CERTIFIED**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Family Therapists (MS and above) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.7	51.2	1,017	0	
9.0	5.3	105	1	
2.0	1.2	23	2	
1.2	0.7	14	3	
0.6	0.4	7	4	
0.3	0.2	3	5	
0.3	0.2	3	6	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
	0.5	9	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 191-192

A7I_PT**A7I. VOCATIONAL REHAB SPEC - PART-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Vocational Rehabilitation Specialists (BA and above) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.4	57.8	1,148	0	
2.1	1.3	25	1	
0.3	0.2	4	2	
0.1	0.1	1	3	
0.1	0.1	1	4	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 195-196

A7I_CON**A7I. VOCATIONAL REHAB SPEC - CONTRACT**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Vocational Rehabilitation Specialists (BA and above) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.7	58.0	1,152	0	
1.9	1.1	22	1	
0.1	0.1	1	2	
0.3	0.2	4	3	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 197-198

A7J_FT	A7J. DEGREED COUNSELORS - FULL-TIME
---------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?...Other Degreed Counselors (BA and above) (SPECIFY) full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
35.0	20.8	413	0	
19.3	11.5	228	1	
14.2	8.5	168	2	
9.8	5.8	116	3	
5.1	3.0	60	4	
4.6	2.7	54	5	
3.3	2.0	39	6	
1.9	1.2	23	7	
1.0	0.6	12	8	
1.1	0.7	13	9	
0.7	0.4	8	10	
0.3	0.2	3	11	
0.7	0.4	8	12	
0.3	0.2	3	13	
0.3	0.2	4	14	
0.3	0.2	3	15	
0.2	0.1	2	16	
0.1	0.1	1	17	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.2	0.1	2	19	
0.3	0.2	4	20	
0.2	0.1	2	21	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.2	0.1	2	25	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
0.1	0.1	1	30	
0.1	0.1	1	31	
0.2	0.1	2	34	
0.2	0.1	2	35	
0.1	0.1	1	40	
0.1	0.1	1	74	
0.1	0.1	1	90	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 201-202

A7J_PT	A7J. DEGREED COUNSELORS - PART-TIME
---------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
 ...Other Degreed Counselors (BA and above)
 (SPECIFY) part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
80.1	47.6	944	0	
11.5	6.9	136	1	
3.8	2.3	45	2	
2.0	1.2	23	3	
0.8	0.5	9	4	
0.6	0.4	7	5	
0.6	0.4	7	6	
0.3	0.2	3	7	
0.3	0.2	3	10	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 203-204

A7J_CON**A7J. DEGREED COUNSELORS - CONTRACT**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Other Degreed Counselors (BA and above)
(SPECIFY) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.3	54.3	1,077	0	
4.2	2.5	50	1	
2.0	1.2	24	2	
0.8	0.5	10	3	
0.4	0.3	5	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.2	0.1	2	7	
0.4	0.3	5	8	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 205-206

A7J_CERT	A7J. DEGREED COUNSELORS - CERTIFIED
-----------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
 ...Other Degreed Counselors (BA and above)
 (SPECIFY) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
51.1	30.2	600	0	
20.6	12.2	242	1	
11.7	6.9	137	2	
7.1	4.2	84	3	
3.2	1.9	38	4	
1.9	1.1	22	5	
1.0	0.6	12	6	
0.5	0.3	6	7	
0.6	0.4	7	8	
0.7	0.4	8	9	
0.3	0.2	3	10	
0.5	0.3	6	12	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
0.1	0.1	1	16	
0.1	0.1	1	17	
0.1	0.1	1	18	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
0.1	0.1	1	31	
0.1	0.1	1	35	
	0.4	7	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 207-208

A7K_CON**A7K. NON-DEGREED COUNSELORS - CONTRACT**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Non-Degreed Counselors (SPECIFY) on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.6	56.8	1,128	0	
2.4	1.4	28	1	
0.9	0.6	11	2	
0.3	0.2	3	3	
0.2	0.1	2	4	
0.1	0.1	1	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	8	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.1	0.1	1	200	
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 213-215

A7K_CERT	A7K. NON-DEGREED COUNSELORS - CERTIFIED
-----------------	--

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Non-Degreed Counselors (SPECIFY) certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
64.5	38.2	759	0	
15.0	8.9	176	1	
8.5	5.0	100	2	
4.4	2.6	52	3	
2.3	1.4	27	4	
2.1	1.3	25	5	
0.8	0.5	10	6	
0.3	0.2	4	7	
0.7	0.4	8	8	
0.6	0.4	7	9	
0.2	0.1	2	10	
0.2	0.1	2	11	
0.2	0.1	2	12	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
0.1	0.1	1	15	
0.1	0.1	1	20	
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 216-217

A7L_FT**A7L. SUPPORT STAFF - FULL-TIME**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Administrative or Support Staff full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
23.6	14.0	278	0	
24.8	14.7	292	1	
15.4	9.2	182	2	
11.3	6.7	133	3	
5.9	3.5	69	4	
4.7	2.8	55	5	
3.6	2.1	42	6	
1.5	0.9	18	7	
2.0	1.2	23	8	
1.1	0.7	13	9	
1.5	0.9	18	10	
0.6	0.4	7	11	
0.8	0.5	9	12	
0.2	0.1	2	13	
0.3	0.2	3	14	
0.7	0.4	8	15	
0.2	0.1	2	16	
0.3	0.2	3	17	
0.1	0.1	1	19	
0.3	0.2	3	20	
0.2	0.1	2	21	
0.1	0.1	1	22	
0.2	0.1	2	24	
0.1	0.1	1	25	
0.1	0.1	1	27	
0.1	0.1	1	33	
0.1	0.1	1	34	
0.2	0.1	2	35	
0.2	0.1	2	41	
0.1	0.1	1	42	
0.1	0.1	1	43	
0.1	0.1	1	61	
0.1	0.1	1	94	
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 218-219

A7L_CON**A7L. SUPPORT STAFF - CONTRACT**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Administrative or Support Staff on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.3	56.6	1,123	0	
2.4	1.4	28	1	
1.1	0.7	13	2	
0.5	0.3	6	3	
0.3	0.2	3	4	
0.2	0.1	2	5	
0.1	0.1	1	6	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	16	
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 222-223

A7L_CERT	A7L. SUPPORT STAFF - CERTIFIED
-----------------	---------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...Administrative or Support Staff certified in substance abuse treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
78.5	46.4	921	0	
15.5	9.2	182	1	
3.2	1.9	37	2	
1.8	1.1	21	3	
0.5	0.3	6	4	
0.4	0.3	5	5	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
	0.5	9	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 224-225

A7M_FT	A7M. OTHER STAFF - FULL-TIME
---------------	-------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?...All Other Staff full-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
66.0	39.1	776	0	
10.4	6.1	122	1	
6.5	3.8	76	2	
2.8	1.7	33	3	
2.9	1.7	34	4	
1.7	1.0	20	5	
2.0	1.2	23	6	
1.0	0.6	12	7	
1.0	0.6	12	8	
0.4	0.3	5	9	
0.9	0.6	11	10	
0.2	0.1	2	11	
0.8	0.5	9	12	
0.3	0.2	4	13	
0.1	0.1	1	14	
0.3	0.2	4	15	
0.3	0.2	3	16	
0.1	0.1	1	17	
0.4	0.3	5	18	
0.2	0.1	2	19	
0.2	0.1	2	20	
0.2	0.1	2	21	
0.2	0.1	2	24	
0.1	0.1	1	25	
0.1	0.1	1	28	
0.1	0.1	1	32	
0.3	0.2	3	35	
0.1	0.1	1	36	
0.1	0.1	1	37	
0.1	0.1	1	45	
0.1	0.1	1	46	
0.1	0.1	1	52	
0.1	0.1	1	60	
0.1	0.1	1	62	
0.1	0.1	1	100	
0.1	0.1	1	150	
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 226-228

A7M_PT	A7M. OTHER STAFF - PART-TIME
---------------	-------------------------------------

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...All Other Staff part-time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
81.1	48.1	954	0	
6.7	4.0	79	1	
4.6	2.7	54	2	
2.3	1.4	27	3	
1.5	0.9	18	4	
1.1	0.7	13	5	
0.3	0.2	4	6	
0.5	0.3	6	7	
0.4	0.3	5	8	
0.1	0.1	1	9	
0.1	0.1	1	10	
0.3	0.2	3	11	
0.1	0.1	1	12	
0.2	0.1	2	14	
0.2	0.1	2	16	
0.3	0.2	3	20	
0.1	0.1	1	21	
0.1	0.1	1	23	
0.1	0.1	1	84	
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 229-230

A7M_CON**A7M. OTHER STAFF - CONTRACT**

For any drug treatment programs coded "YES" in question A6, how many staff members worked in each of the following positions on March 30, 1990?
...All Other Staff on contract

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.4	56.5	1,122	0	
2.3	1.4	27	1	
1.2	0.7	14	2	
0.4	0.3	5	3	
0.3	0.2	3	5	
0.1	0.1	1	7	
0.2	0.1	2	8	
0.1	0.1	1	11	
0.1	0.1	1	13	
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 231-232

A9**A9. CLIENT % FROM CITY/TOWN**

What percentage do you estimate came from your city or town?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	62.165
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	33.799
Median	=	70	Variance	=	1,142.355

(Based on 1,169 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 238-240

A10**A10. CLIENT % FROM OUTSIDE CITY**

What percentage do you estimate came from outside your city but within your state?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	34.607
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	32.313
Median	=	25	Variance	=	1,044.148

(Based on 1,166 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 241-243

A11**A11. CLIENT % FROM OUTSIDE STATE**

What percentage do you estimate came from outside your state?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.271
Max	=	96	Std Dev	=	9.989
Median	=	0	Variance	=	99.781

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 244-245

SECTION B - RECENT FACILITY CLIENT DATA

B1_BOX B1. DATA NOT DIFFERENTIATED BY GENDER

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day. (If data are not differentiated by gender, check here and enter data only in the "Total" column.)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
54.7	32.6	647	0	BLANK - IMPLICITLY ZERO
45.3	27.0	535	1	BOX CHECKED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 246-247

HIDDMCAP B1A. HSP INPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-MALE

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.435
Max	=	48	Std Dev	=	10.761
Median	=	4	Variance	=	115.802

(Based on 23 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 248-249

HIDDFCAP**B1B. HSP INPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
 ...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity
 female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.625
Max	=	16	Std Dev	=	3.512
Median	=	2.5	Variance	=	12.332

(Based on 24 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 250-251

HIDDTCAP**B1C. HSP INPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
 ...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity
 total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	10.174
Max	=	148	Std Dev	=	15.537
Median	=	5	Variance	=	241.413

(Based on 195 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 252-254

HIDDMACT**B1D. HSP INPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.299
Max	=	54	Std Dev	=	8.023
Median	=	1	Variance	=	64.376

(Based on 194 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 255-256

HIDDFACT**B1E. HSP INPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.559
Max	=	48	Std Dev	=	4.415
Median	=	0	Variance	=	19.495

(Based on 195 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 257-258

HIDDTACT**B1F. HSP INPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.861
Max	=	64	Std Dev	=	9.863
Median	=	2	Variance	=	97.271

(Based on 194 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 259-260

HIDFMCAP**B1A. HSP INPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free capacity male

Min	=	2	Mean	=	9.333
Max	=	20	Std Dev	=	5.460
Median	=	9	Variance	=	29.810

(Based on 15 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 261-262

HIDFFCAP**B1B. HSP INPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.750
Max	=	10	Std Dev	=	3.751
Median	=	3	Variance	=	14.067

(Based on 16 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 263-264

HIDFTCAP**B1C. HSP INPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	18.831
Max	=	161	Std Dev	=	20.966
Median	=	14	Variance	=	439.559

(Based on 166 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 265-267

HIDFMACT**B1D. HSP INPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.560
Max	=	142	Std Dev	=	14.874
Median	=	6	Variance	=	221.242

(Based on 166 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 268-270

HIDFFACT**B1E. HSP INPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.741
Max	=	37	Std Dev	=	4.633
Median	=	1	Variance	=	21.466

(Based on 166 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 271-272

HIDFTACT**B1F. HSP INPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free actual total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	12.301
Max	=	147	Std Dev	=	17.135
Median	=	8	Variance	=	293.606

(Based on 166 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 273-275

RSDDMCAP**B1A. RESIDNTL DRG DETOX CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.038
Max	=	46	Std Dev	=	9.031
Median	=	3.5	Variance	=	81.558

(Based on 26 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 276-277

RSDDFCAP**B1B. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification capacity female

Min	= 0	Mean	= 2.607
Max	= 8	Std Dev	= 2.378
Median	= 2	Variance	= 5.655

(Based on 28 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 278-279

RSDDTCAP**B1C. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification capacity total

Min	= 0	Mean	= 11.602
Max	= 126	Std Dev	= 15.985
Median	= 9.5	Variance	= 255.507

(Based on 88 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 280-282

RSDDMACT**B1D. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.830
Max	=	22	Std Dev	=	4.732
Median	=	2	Variance	=	22.396

(Based on 88 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 283-284

RSDDFACT**B1E. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.352
Max	=	22	Std Dev	=	2.897
Median	=	0	Variance	=	8.392

(Based on 88 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 285-286

RSDDTACT**B1F. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification actual total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.182
Max	=	44	Std Dev	=	6.822
Median	=	3	Variance	=	46.541

(Based on 88 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 287-288

RSDFMCAP**B1A. RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	19.031
Max	=	335	Std Dev	=	42.819
Median	=	12	Variance	=	1,833.437

(Based on 129 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 289-291

RSDFFCAP**B1B. RESIDNTL DRG FREE CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	7.135
Max	=	85	Std Dev	=	13.383
Median	=	0	Variance	=	179.105

(Based on 155 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 292-293

RSDFTCAP**B1C. RESIDNTL DRG FREE CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	30.302
Max	=	417	Std Dev	=	48.137
Median	=	17	Variance	=	2,317.191

(Based on 334 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 294-296

RSDFMACT**B1D. RESIDNTL DRG FREE ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	17.559
Max	=	335	Std Dev	=	32.865
Median	=	10	Variance	=	1,080.088

(Based on 340 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 297-299

RSDFFACT**B1E. RESIDNTL DRG FREE ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.135
Max	=	76	Std Dev	=	10.534
Median	=	2	Variance	=	110.955

(Based on 342 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 300-301

FLAG4_B	HOSP INP DRUG DETOX ACTUAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.0	55.4	1,099	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.2	3.7	73	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.7	0.4	8	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 307-308

FLAG4_C	HOSP INP DRUG DETOX ACTUAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.6	55.7	1,106	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.2	3.7	73	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 309-310

FLAG4_D	HOSP INP DRUG DETOX ACTUAL # TOTAL IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.8	55.9	1,109	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.2	3.1	62	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.9	0.6	11	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 311-312

FLAG4_E	HOSP INP DRUG-FREE TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
89.3	53.2	1,056	0	NOT IMPUTED
9.0	5.3	106	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.7	1.0	20	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 313-314

FLAG4_F	HOSP INP DRUG-FREE ACTUAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG				
---------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.0	54.8	1,087	0	NOT IMPUTED
7.5	4.5	89	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.4	0.3	5	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 315-316

FLAG4_G	HOSP INP DRUG-FREE ACTUAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG				
---------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.4	55.0	1,092	0	NOT IMPUTED
7.4	4.4	88	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 317-318

FLAG4_H	HOSP INP DRUG-FREE ACTUAL # TOTAL IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.5	55.1	1,093	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.6	3.3	66	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.9	1.2	23	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 319-320

FLAG4_I	RES DRUG DETOX TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.9	57.7	1,145	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.3	1.4	27	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.8	0.5	10	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 321-322

FLAG4_J	RES	DRUG	DETOX	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	MEN	IMPUTATION	FLAG
---------	-----	------	-------	-------	--------	---	-----	------------	------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.5	58.0	1,152	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.3	1.4	27	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 323-324

FLAG4_K	RES	DRUG	DETOX	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	WOMEN	IMPUTATION	FLAG
---------	-----	------	-------	-------	--------	---	-------	------------	------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.7	58.2	1,155	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.3	1.4	27	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 325-326

FLAG4_L	RES	DRUG	DETOX	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	CLIENTS	IMPUTATION	FLAG
---------	-----	------	-------	-------	--------	---	---------	------------	------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.9	58.3	1,157	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.4	0.9	17	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.7	0.4	8	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 327-328

FLAG4_M	RES	DRUG-FREE	TOTAL	CAPACITY	IMPUTATION	FLAG
---------	-----	-----------	-------	----------	------------	------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
85.6	51.0	1,012	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.7	3.4	67	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
8.7	5.2	103	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 329-330

FLAG4_N		RES	DRUG-FREE	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	MEN	IMPUTATION	FLAG
PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL					
VALID	ALL								
88.4	52.6	1,045	0	NOT IMPUTED					
9.4	5.6	111	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT					
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC					
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION					
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS					
2.2	1.3	26	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC					
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION					
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS					
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN					
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN					
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY					
-----	-----	-----							
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases						
Data type: numeric									
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1									
Columns: 331-332									

FLAG4_O		RES	DRUG-FREE	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	WOMEN	IMPUTATION	FLAG
PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL					
VALID	ALL								
90.1	53.7	1,065	0	NOT IMPUTED					
9.4	5.6	111	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT					
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC					
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION					
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS					
0.5	0.3	6	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC					
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION					
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS					
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN					
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN					
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY					
-----	-----	-----							
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases						
Data type: numeric									
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1									
Columns: 333-334									

FLAG4_P	RES DRUG-FREE TOTAL ACTUAL # CLIENTS IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
89.0	53.0	1,052	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.1	2.4	48	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATA5
6.9	4.1	82	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 335-336

OPDDMCAP	B1A. OUTPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-MALE
-----------------	---

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
 ...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	12.083
Max	=	55	Std Dev	=	16.795
Median	=	4	Variance	=	282.083

(Based on 12 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 337-338

OPDDFCAP**B1B. OUTPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.583
Max	=	60	Std Dev	=	17.032
Median	=	1	Variance	=	290.083

(Based on 12 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 339-340

OPDDTCAP**B1C. OUTPT DRG DETOX CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	49.458
Max	=	1,681	Std Dev	=	177.745
Median	=	10	Variance	=	31,593.135

(Based on 96 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 341-344

OPDDMACT**B1D. OUTPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	11.588
Max	=	310	Std Dev	=	33.507
Median	=	3	Variance	=	1,122.720

(Based on 102 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 345-347

OPDDFACT**B1E. OUTPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.069
Max	=	92	Std Dev	=	14.831
Median	=	0	Variance	=	219.946

(Based on 102 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 348-349

OPDDTACT**B1F. OUTPT DRG DETOX ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification actual total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	17.657
Max	=	387	Std Dev	=	45.996
Median	=	4	Variance	=	2,115.594

(Based on 102 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 350-352

OPDMMCAP**B1A. OUTPT DRG MAINT CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance capacity male

Min	=	4	Mean	=	117.923
Max	=	573	Std Dev	=	166.558
Median	=	46	Variance	=	27,741.410

(Based on 13 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 353-355

OPDMFCAP

B1B. OUTPT DRG MAINT CAPACITY-FEMALE

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	90.769
Max	=	395	Std Dev	=	127.123
Median	=	50	Variance	=	16,160.359

(Based on 13 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 356-358

OPDMTCAP

B1C. OUTPT DRG MAINT CAPACITY-TOTAL

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance capacity total

Min	=	1	Mean	=	272.055
Max	=	7,000	Std Dev	=	747.265
Median	=	150	Variance	=	558,404.275

(Based on 91 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 359-362

OPDMMACT**B1D. OUTPT DRG MAINT ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance actual male

Min	=	1	Mean	=	181.237
Max	=	5,611	Std Dev	=	593.054
Median	=	78	Variance	=	351,712.922

(Based on 93 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 363-366

OPDMFACT**B1E. OUTPT DRG MAINT ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	82.613
Max	=	2,497	Std Dev	=	265.934
Median	=	35	Variance	=	70,721.131

(Based on 93 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 367-370

OPDMTACT**B1F. OUTPT DRG MAINT ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance actual total

Min	=	1	Mean	=	263.849
Max	=	8,108	Std Dev	=	854.157
Median	=	117	Variance	=	729,584.564

(Based on 93 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 371-374

OPDFMCAP**B1A. OUTPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Free capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	50.544
Max	=	750	Std Dev	=	122.890
Median	=	12	Variance	=	15,101.839

(Based on 103 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 375-377

OPDFFCAP**B1B. OUTPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	25.871
Max	=	315	Std Dev	=	49.747
Median	=	10	Variance	=	2,474.733

(Based on 101 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 378-380

OPDFTCAP**B1C. OUTPT DRG FREE CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	80.548
Max	=	1,825	Std Dev	=	151.017
Median	=	32	Variance	=	22,806.243

(Based on 772 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 381-384

OPDFMACT**B1D. OUTPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	42.325
Max	=	965	Std Dev	=	94.233
Median	=	14	Variance	=	8,879.797

(Based on 781 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 385-387

OPDFFACT**B1E. OUTPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	20.352
Max	=	1,045	Std Dev	=	57.845
Median	=	5	Variance	=	3,346.082

(Based on 781 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 388-391

OPDFTACT B1F. OUTPT DRG FREE ACTUAL-TOTAL

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Free actual total

Min = 0 Mean = 62.848
Max = 2,010 Std Dev = 138.323
Median = 23 Variance = 19,133.142

(Based on 783 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 392-395

FLAG5_A OUT-PX DRUG-DETOX TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.6	56.9	1,130	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.0	2.4	47	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.4	0.3	5	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 396-397

FLAG5_B	OUT-PX DRUG-DETOX ACTUAL TOTAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
96.9	57.7	1,145	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.1	1.9	37	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 398-399

FLAG5_C	OUT-PX DRUG-DETOX ACTUAL TOTAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
96.9	57.7	1,145	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.1	1.9	37	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 400-401

FLAG5_D	OUT-PX DRUG-DETOX ACTUAL TOTAL # CLIENTS IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.9	1.2	23	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 402-403

FLAG5_E	OUT-PX DRUG-MAINTENANCE TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG				
----------------	---	--	--	--	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.4	58.0	1,151	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.5	1.5	30	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 404-405

FLAG5_F	OUT-PX DRUG-MAINTENANCE ACTUAL TOTAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.4	58.0	1,151	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.5	1.5	30	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 406-407

FLAG5_G	OUT-PX DRUG-MAINTENANCE ACTUAL TOTAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.5	58.1	1,153	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.5	1.5	29	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 408-409

FLAG5_H OUT-PX DRUG-MAINTENANCE ACTUAL TOTAL # CLIENTS IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.2	58.5	1,161	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.6	1.0	19	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 410-411

FLAG5_I OPDF TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
59.6	35.5	704	0	NOT IMPUTED
11.3	6.8	134	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
29.1	17.3	344	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 412-413

FLAG5_J	OPDF TOTAL ACTUAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
65.4	38.9	773	0	NOT IMPUTED
33.5	19.9	396	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.4	0.3	5	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.7	0.4	8	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 414-415

FLAG5_K	OPDF TOTAL ACTUAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
65.9	39.2	779	0	NOT IMPUTED
33.4	19.9	395	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.3	0.2	4	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	4	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 416-417

FLAG5_L	OPDF	TOTAL	ACTUAL	#	CLIENTS	IMPUTATION	FLAG
---------	------	-------	--------	---	---------	------------	------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
73.8	43.9	872	0	NOT IMPUTED
7.6	4.5	90	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATA5
18.5	11.0	219	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 418-419

ALCMCAP	B1A. ALCHOL TREATMENT CAPACITY-MALE
---------	-------------------------------------

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	38.260
Max	=	750	Std Dev	=	93.245
Median	=	11	Variance	=	8,694.653

(Based on 123 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 420-422

ALCFCAP**B1B. ALCHOL TREATMENT CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	10.918
Max	=	282	Std Dev	=	28.767
Median	=	2	Variance	=	827.552

(Based on 146 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 423-425

ALCTCAP**B1C. ALCHOL TREATMENT CAPACITY-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	49.826
Max	=	1,452	Std Dev	=	120.169
Median	=	14	Variance	=	14,440.522

(Based on 904 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 426-429

ALCMACT**B1D. ALCHOL TREATMENT ACTUAL-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	27.923
Max	=	594	Std Dev	=	58.700
Median	=	8	Variance	=	3,445.632

(Based on 920 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 430-432

ALCFACT**B1E. ALCHOL TREATMENT ACTUAL-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	10.841
Max	=	447	Std Dev	=	32.629
Median	=	2	Variance	=	1,064.664

(Based on 922 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 433-435

ALCTACT**B1F. ALCHOL TREATMENT ACTUAL-TOTAL**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...ALCOHOL TREATMENT (All environments and modalities) actual total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	40.016
Max	=	884	Std Dev	=	84.701
Median	=	11	Variance	=	7,174.178

(Based on 925 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 436-438

TOTMCAP**B1A. TOTAL CAPACITY-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...TOTALS capacity male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	58.525
Max	=	1,500	Std Dev	=	129.576
Median	=	21	Variance	=	16,789.874

(Based on 379 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 439-442

TOTFCAP**B1B. TOTAL CAPACITY-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...TOTALS capacity female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	25.921
Max	=	458	Std Dev	=	54.775
Median	=	10	Variance	=	3,000.247

(Based on 380 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 443-445

TOTALCAP**B1C. TOTAL CAPACITY**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.
...TOTALS capacity total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	133.183
Max	=	7,000	Std Dev	=	299.278
Median	=	50	Variance	=	89,567.382

(Based on 1,163 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 446-449

TOTMACT**B1D. TOTAL ACTUAL CLIENTS-MALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...TOTALS actual male

Min	=	0	Mean	=	84.323
Max	=	11,257	Std Dev	=	386.444
Median	=	26	Variance	=	149,339.257

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 450-454

TOTFACT**B1E. TOTAL ACTUAL CLIENTS-FEMALE**

For each of the modalities of service offered by this facility (as you indicated in question A6), using data on March 30, 1990 please tell me your facility's capacity and the actual number of clients in treatment on that day.

...TOTALS actual female

Min	=	0	Mean	=	32.821
Max	=	2,497	Std Dev	=	102.237
Median	=	9	Variance	=	10,452.505

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 455-458

B3 **B3. MORE APPLICANTS THAN SLOTS**

Do you usually have more applicants for drug treatment services than you have drug treatment availability/slots?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
41.4	24.5	487	1	YES
58.6	34.7	689	2	NO
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 466-467

B4_NO **B4. WAIT TO ENTER TREATMENT-NUMBER**

If an individual had applied for drug treatment on March 30, 1990, how long would you estimate he or she would have to wait to enter treatment?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.210
Max	=	123	Std Dev	=	7.631
Median	=	2	Variance	=	58.227

(Based on 1,174 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 468-470

B4_UNIT**B4. WAIT TO ENTER TREATMENT-UNIT**

If an individual had applied for drug treatment on March 30, 1990, how long would you estimate he or she would have to wait to enter treatment?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
28.5	16.9	335	0	NO WAITING PERIOD
33.1	19.6	389	1	DAYS
29.9	17.7	351	2	WEEKS
8.4	5.0	99	3	MONTHS
0.0	0.0	0	4	YEARS
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	7	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 471-472

B5**B5. SYSTEM FOR PLACING ON WAIT-LIST**

On March 30, 1990, did this facility have a system for placing applicants for drug treatment on a waiting list?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
59.2	35.1	697	1	YES
40.8	24.2	480	2	NO
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 473-474

B6 **B6. PROCEDURE FOR SCREENING**

On March 30, 1990, did this facility have a procedure for screening individuals for eligibility before they are put on a waiting list?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.4	32.7	650	1	YES
6.6	2.3	46	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	24.2	480	-5	INAP - NO WAIT-LIST
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 475-476

B7 **B7. PROCEDURE TO SEE IF PEOPLE WAITING**

On March 30, 1990, did this facility have a procedure for determining if people on the waiting list were still waiting for treatment?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.6	31.1	617	1	YES
11.4	4.0	79	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	24.2	480	-5	INAP - NO WAIT-LIST
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 477-478

B8**B8. APPLICANT CONTACT FACILITY**

Must the applicant on the waiting list contact the facility on a regular basis to remain eligible?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
44.4	15.5	308	1	YES
55.6	19.4	386	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	24.2	480	-5	INAP - NO WAIT-LIST
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 479-480

B9A**B9A. WAIT-LIST CONTAIN NAME**

On March 30, 1990, did the waiting list contain:
...The name of the client?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.3	33.4	662	1	YES
4.7	1.7	33	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	24.2	480	-5	INAP - NO WAIT-LIST
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 481-482

FLAG6_A **ALC TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
43.5	25.9	514	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.2	0.1	2	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
54.7	32.5	646	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.7	1.0	20	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 491-492

FLAG6_B **ALC TOTAL ACTUAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
54.6	32.5	645	0	NOT IMPUTED
41.9	24.9	495	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.4	0.3	5	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
3.1	1.9	37	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 493-494

FLAG6_C	ALC TOTAL ACTUAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
56.8	33.8	671	0	NOT IMPUTED
41.9	24.9	495	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.5	0.3	6	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.8	0.5	10	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 495-496

FLAG6_D	ALC TOTAL ACTUAL # CLIENTS IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
60.7	36.2	718	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.1	0.1	1	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
38.6	23.0	456	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.6	0.4	7	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 497-498

FLAG6_E	TOTAL CAPACITY IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	---------------------------------------

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
85.5	50.9	1,011	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.9	17	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
12.9	7.7	153	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 499-500

FLAG6_F	TOT TOTAL # MEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	--

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
53.8	32.0	636	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
45.9	27.4	543	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 501-502

FLAG6_G	TOT TOTAL # WOMEN IMPUTATION FLAG
----------------	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
53.8	32.0	636	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
45.9	27.4	543	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 503-504

FLAG6_H	IMPUTATION FLAG - TOTAL ACTUAL #
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.2	57.9	1,149	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.3	0.2	3	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
1.8	1.1	21	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.8	0.5	9	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 505-506

B10_BOX**B10. ALCOHOL ONLY BOX CHECKED**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
... (If data includes Alcohol Only applicants, check here .)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
70.4	41.9	832	0	BLANK, BOX NOT CHECKED; IMPLICITLY ZERO
29.6	17.6	350	1	BOX CHECKED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 507-508

HIDD_A**B10A. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.976
Max	=	125	Std Dev	=	17.731
Median	=	0	Variance	=	314.380

(Based on 85 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 509-511

HIDD_B**B10B. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.059
Max	=	65	Std Dev	=	7.893
Median	=	0	Variance	=	62.294

(Based on 85 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 512-513

HIDD_C**B10C. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.023
Max	=	40	Std Dev	=	7.305
Median	=	0	Variance	=	53.364

(Based on 86 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 514-515

HIDF_A**B10A. HSP INPT DRG FREE-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.912
Max	=	162	Std Dev	=	20.077
Median	=	0	Variance	=	403.094

(Based on 80 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 520-522

HIDF_B**B10B. HSP INPT DRG FREE-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.137
Max	=	40	Std Dev	=	4.765
Median	=	0	Variance	=	22.702

(Based on 80 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 523-524

HIDF_C**B10C. HSP INPT DRG FREE-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.617
Max	=	116	Std Dev	=	13.361
Median	=	0	Variance	=	178.514

(Based on 81 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 525-527

HIDF_D**B10D. HSP INPT DRG FREE-3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free from more than 1 month up to 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.786
Max	=	26	Std Dev	=	3.290
Median	=	0	Variance	=	10.821

(Based on 84 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 528-529

RSDD_B**B10B. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.702
Max	=	10	Std Dev	=	2.113
Median	=	0	Variance	=	4.463

(Based on 57 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 534-535

RSDD_C**B10C. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.123
Max	=	71	Std Dev	=	9.787
Median	=	0	Variance	=	95.788

(Based on 57 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 536-537

RSDF_A**B10A. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	14.557
Max	=	200	Std Dev	=	25.015
Median	=	6	Variance	=	625.746

(Based on 264 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 542-544

RSDF_B**B10B. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.427
Max	=	70	Std Dev	=	6.375
Median	=	0	Variance	=	40.639

(Based on 260 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 545-546

RSDF_C**B10C. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.377
Max	=	150	Std Dev	=	12.430
Median	=	2	Variance	=	154.506

(Based on 260 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 547-549

RSDF_D**B10D. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE-3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free from more than 1 month up to 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.397
Max	=	127	Std Dev	=	12.605
Median	=	0	Variance	=	158.884

(Based on 262 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 550-552

RSDF_E**B10E. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE- >3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free for more than 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.095
Max	=	91	Std Dev	=	7.677
Median	=	0	Variance	=	58.930

(Based on 264 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 553-554

OPDD_A**B10A. OUTPT DRG DETOX-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.788
Max	=	26	Std Dev	=	6.889
Median	=	0	Variance	=	47.464

(Based on 52 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 555-556

OPDD_B**B10B. OUTPT DRG DETOX-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.768
Max	=	25	Std Dev	=	3.552
Median	=	0	Variance	=	12.618

(Based on 56 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 557-558

OPDD_C**B10C. OUTPT DRG DETOX-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.630
Max	=	22	Std Dev	=	4.854
Median	=	0	Variance	=	23.558

(Based on 54 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 559-560

OPDD_D B10D. OUTPT DRG DETOX-3 MONTHS

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification from more than 1 month up to 3 months

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.4	2.7	54	0	
3.6	0.1	2	6	
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	8	-8	DON'T KNOW
	2.0	39	-6	INAP - SRV UNIT DOES NOT HAVE A WAIT-LIST
	54.3	1,078	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DOES NOT PROVIDE OPDD
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 561-562

OPDD_E B10E. OUTPT DRG DETOX- >3 MONTHS

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification for more than 3 months

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.2	2.8	55	0	
1.8	0.1	1	2	
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	8	-8	DON'T KNOW
	2.0	39	-6	INAP - SRV UNIT DOES NOT HAVE A WAIT-LIST
	54.3	1,078	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DOES NOT PROVIDE OPDD
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 563-564

OPDM_A**B10A. OUTPT DRUG MAINT-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	29.860
Max	=	350	Std Dev	=	64.399
Median	=	6	Variance	=	4,147.266

(Based on 50 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 565-567

OPDM_B**B10B. OUTPT DRUG MAINT-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.241
Max	=	350	Std Dev	=	47.821
Median	=	0	Variance	=	2,286.828

(Based on 54 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 568-570

OPDM_C**B10C. OUTPT DRUG MAINT-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.863
Max	=	86	Std Dev	=	18.815
Median	=	0	Variance	=	354.001

(Based on 51 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 571-572

OPDM_D**B10D. OUTPT DRUG MAINT-3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance from more than 1 month up to 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.698
Max	=	60	Std Dev	=	11.362
Median	=	0	Variance	=	129.099

(Based on 53 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 573-574

OPDM_E**B10E. OUTPT DRUG MAINT- >3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance for more than 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.566
Max	=	206	Std Dev	=	28.298
Median	=	0	Variance	=	800.750

(Based on 53 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 575-577

OPDF_A**B10A. OUTPT DRUG FREE-TOTAL**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	15.723
Max	=	400	Std Dev	=	43.232
Median	=	0	Variance	=	1,868.963

(Based on 400 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 578-580

OPDF_B**B10B. OUTPT DRUG FREE-1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.829
Max	=	75	Std Dev	=	5.172
Median	=	0	Variance	=	26.747

(Based on 404 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 581-582

OPDF_C**B10C. OUTPT DRUG FREE-1 MONTH**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.183
Max	=	196	Std Dev	=	16.603
Median	=	0	Variance	=	275.663

(Based on 400 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 583-585

OPDF_D**B10D. OUTPT DRUG FREE-3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free from more than 1 month up to 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.988
Max	=	300	Std Dev	=	22.714
Median	=	0	Variance	=	515.905

(Based on 411 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 586-588

OPDF_E**B10E. OUTPT DRUG FREE- >3 MONTHS**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free for more than 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.422
Max	=	258	Std Dev	=	18.620
Median	=	0	Variance	=	346.707

(Based on 412 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 589-591

TOTAL_A**B10A. TOTAL ON WAIT-LIST**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...TOTALS total

Min	=	0	Mean	=	23.498
Max	=	2,476	Std Dev	=	104.098
Median	=	6	Variance	=	10,836.367

(Based on 669 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 592-595

TOTAL_B**B10B. TOTAL FOR LESS THAN 1 WEEK**

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.

...TOTALS for less than 1 week

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.958
Max	=	75	Std Dev	=	7.295
Median	=	0	Variance	=	53.223

(Based on 659 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 596-597

TOTAL_C	B10C. TOTAL FOR 1 WEEK-1 MONTH
----------------	---------------------------------------

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
...TOTALS from 1 week up to 1 month

Min	=	0	Mean	=	12.138
Max	=	2,476	Std Dev	=	97.879
Median	=	2	Variance	=	9,580.344

(Based on 660 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 598-601

TOTAL_D	B10D. TOTAL FOR 1-3 MONTHS
----------------	-----------------------------------

Using data for drug treatment applicants on March 30, 1990, please tell me how many were on the waiting list for each modality offered at that time.
...TOTALS from more than 1 month up to 3 months

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.627
Max	=	300	Std Dev	=	21.311
Median	=	0	Variance	=	454.144

(Based on 665 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 602-604

B12A **B12A. PRIORITY - IV DRUG USERS**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...IV drug users

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
6.6	3.9	78	1	DO NOT TREAT
73.4	43.5	864	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
20.0	11.8	235	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 610-611

B12B **B12B. PRIORITY - HIV POSITIVE**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...HIV positive

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
5.9	3.5	69	1	DO NOT TREAT
71.9	42.5	843	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
22.2	13.1	260	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	8	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 612-613

B12C**B12C. PRIORITY - PREGNANT WOMEN**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?

...Pregnant women

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
14.7	8.7	173	1	DO NOT TREAT
52.8	31.3	621	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
32.5	19.2	382	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 614-615

B12D**B12D. PRIORITY - POLYDRUG USERS**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?

...Polydrug users

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
1.5	0.9	18	1	DO NOT TREAT
85.9	50.8	1,009	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
12.5	7.4	147	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 616-617

B12E **B12E. PRIORITY - ADOLESCENTS**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...Adolescents

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
34.3	20.3	402	1	DO NOT TREAT
43.9	25.9	515	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
21.8	12.9	256	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 618-619

B12F **B12F. PRIORITY - DUAL DIAGNOSIS CLIENT**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...Dual diagnosis clients

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
12.0	7.1	141	1	DO NOT TREAT
68.0	40.3	800	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
20.0	11.8	235	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 620-621

B12G**B12G. PRIORITY - SELF PAY**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?

...Self pay

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
4.6	2.7	54	1	DO NOT TREAT
86.3	50.8	1,008	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
9.1	5.3	106	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.5	9	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 622-623

B12H**B12H. PRIORITY - PRIVATE INSURANCE**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?

...Private insurance pay

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
8.7	5.1	102	1	DO NOT TREAT
82.4	48.6	965	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
8.9	5.2	104	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	7	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 624-625

B12I **B12I. PRIORITY - MEDICAID PAY**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...Medicaid pay

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
24.5	14.4	286	1	DO NOT TREAT
70.6	41.5	823	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
4.9	2.9	57	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	12	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 626-627

B12J **B12J. PRIORITY - OTHER PUBLIC PAY**

On March 30, 1990, how much priority for admission was given to each of the following?
...Other public pay

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
11.6	6.8	135	1	DO NOT TREAT
80.0	47.1	934	2	NO SPECIAL PRIORITY
8.4	4.9	98	3	SOME PRIORITY
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	11	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 628-629

B13A **B13A. % REFERRED - SELF**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Self-referred

Min	=	0	Mean	=	25.879
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	26.474
Median	=	20	Variance	=	700.871

(Based on 1,178 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 630-632

B13B **B13B. % REFERRED - FAMILY**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Family

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.975
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	12.404
Median	=	5	Variance	=	153.869

(Based on 1,177 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 633-635

B13C **B13C. % REFERRED - EMPLOYER**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Employer

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.454
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	8.980
Median	=	0	Variance	=	80.637

(Based on 1,173 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 636-638

B13D. % REFERRED - EAP

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Employee Assistance Program (EAP)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.870
Max	=	99	Std Dev	=	9.333
Median	=	0	Variance	=	87.114

(Based on 1,172 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 639-640

B13E. % REFERRED - CRIMINAL JUSTICE

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Criminal justice system by court order

Min	=	0	Mean	=	27.822
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	29.343
Median	=	17	Variance	=	860.996

(Based on 1,180 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 641-643

B13F. % REFERRED - HEALTH PROFESSIONAL

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Private physician/Community mental health center/Other health professional or provider

Min	=	0	Mean	=	16.765
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	23.702
Median	=	6	Variance	=	561.788

(Based on 1,180 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 644-646

B13G. % REFERRED - SCHOOLS

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Schools

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.773
Max	=	95	Std Dev	=	7.345
Median	=	0	Variance	=	53.955

(Based on 1,179 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 647-648

B13H. % REFERRED - CLERGY

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Clergy

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.558
Max	=	52	Std Dev	=	2.491
Median	=	0	Variance	=	6.206

(Based on 1,179 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 649-650

B13I. % REFERRED - OTHER

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time had been referred by each of the following sources?
...Other (SPECIFY)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	10.012
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	20.945
Median	=	0	Variance	=	438.692

(Based on 1,180 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 651-653

B14 **METHOD USED TO COMPLETE B13**

What method did you use to complete question B13?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
33.2	19.5	387	1	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
43.5	25.5	506	2	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
12.4	7.3	144	3	BEST GUESS
5.9	3.5	69	4	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
4.0	2.3	46	5	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
1.0	0.6	12	6	OTHER (SPECIFY)
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.7	13	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 654-655

B15A **B15A. % SERVICES - ALCOHOL ABUSE**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time were receiving services for each of the following?
...No drug abuse (alcohol abuse only)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	27.705
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	26.788
Median	=	20	Variance	=	717.606

(Based on 1,177 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 656-658

B15B**B15B. % SERVICES - SINGLE DRUG ABUSE**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time were receiving services for each of the following?
...Single drug abuse (no alcohol abuse)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.896
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	17.311
Median	=	3	Variance	=	299.679

(Based on 1,179 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 659-661

B15C**B15C. % SERVICES - SINGLE DRUG/ALCOHOL**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time were receiving services for each of the following?
...Single drug abuse (with alcohol abuse)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	21.088
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	21.877
Median	=	15	Variance	=	478.603

(Based on 1,177 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 662-664

B15D**B15D. % SERVICES - ABUSE 2 OR MORE DRGS**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time were receiving services for each of the following?
...Abuse of 2 or more drugs (no alcohol abuse)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.048
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	14.779
Median	=	1	Variance	=	218.418

(Based on 1,179 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 665-667

B15E**B15E. % SERVICES - 2 OR MORE DRGS/ALC**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients at that time were receiving services for each of the following?
...Abuse of 2 or more drugs (with alcohol abuse)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	32.937
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	30.667
Median	=	25	Variance	=	940.480

(Based on 1,178 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 668-670

B16**B16. % IVDU'S**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients were intravenous drug users (IVDU's)?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	15.764
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	23.997
Median	=	5	Variance	=	575.875

(Based on 1,174 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 671-673

B17**B17. % DUAL DIAGNOSIS**

On March 30, 1990, what percentage of your clients had a dual diagnosis of substance abuse and mental illness?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	13.621
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	21.197
Median	=	5	Variance	=	449.298

(Based on 1,178 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 674-676

B18**METHOD USED TO COMPLETE B15-B17**

What method did you use to complete questions B15-B17?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
30.6	17.9	356	1	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
44.3	26.0	516	2	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
15.9	9.3	185	3	BEST GUESS
3.8	2.2	44	4	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
4.0	2.4	47	5	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
1.4	0.8	16	6	OTHER (SPECIFY)
	0.3	6	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	12	-8	DON'T KNOW
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 677-678

FLAG9_A**IMPUTATION FLAG - % SELF REFERRED**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	10	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.8	16	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATA5
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 679-680

FLAG9_D **IMPUTATION FLAG - % EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM REFERRAL**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	10	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.8	16	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 685-686

FLAG9_E **IMPUTATION FLAG - % C.J. SYSTEM BY COURT ORDER REFERRAL**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.4	58.6	1,163	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.3	0.2	3	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.8	16	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 687-688

FLAG9_H **IMPUTATION FLAG - % CLERGY**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.4	58.6	1,163	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.3	0.2	3	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.8	16	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 693-694

FLAG9_I **IMPUTATION FLAG - % OTHER (SPECIFY)**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.6	58.7	1,165	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.1	0.1	1	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
1.4	0.8	16	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 695-696

FLAG9_J	IMPUTATION FLAG - % NO DRUG ABUSE (ALCOHOL ABUSE ONLY)
----------------	---

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
96.2	57.3	1,137	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.1	0.1	1	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
3.7	2.2	44	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 697-698

FLAG9_K	IMPUTATION FLAG - % SINGLE DRUG ABUSE (NO ALCOHOL ABUSE)
----------------	---

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
95.5	56.9	1,129	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	9	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
3.7	2.2	44	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 699-700

FLAG9_L IMPUTATION FLAG - % SINGLE DRUG ABUSE (WITH ALCOHOL ABUSE)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.2	56.7	1,125	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.1	0.7	13	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
3.7	2.2	44	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 701-702

FLAG9_M IMPUTATION FLAG - % ABUSE 2 OR MORE DRUGS (NO ALC ABUSE)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.2	56.7	1,125	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.1	0.7	13	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
3.7	2.2	44	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 703-704

FLAG9_N	IMPUTATION FLAG - % ABUSE 2 OR MORE DRUGS (WITH ALC ABUSE)
----------------	---

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.1	56.6	1,124	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.1	0.7	13	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
3.7	2.2	44	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 705-706

FLAG9_O	IMPUTATION FLAG - % INTERVIENOUS DRUG USERS
----------------	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.9	55.9	1,110	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.4	0.3	5	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
5.7	3.4	67	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 707-708

FLAG9_P	IMPUTATION FLAG - % CLIENTS WITH DUAL DIAGNOSIS
----------------	--

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
94.7	56.4	1,119	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.3	0.2	4	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
5.0	3.0	59	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 709-710

B19	B19. # CLIENTS RECEIVING METHADONE
------------	---

On March 30, 1990, how many drug treatment clients were receiving methadone?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	19.914
Max	=	7,970	Std Dev	=	243.776
Median	=	0	Variance	=	59,426.535

(Based on 1,181 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 711-714

B20A**B20A. # CLIENTS IN DETOXIFICATION**

Of the number of clients specified in B19, how many were considered to be in:
...Detoxification?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	14.411
Max	=	176	Std Dev	=	28.628
Median	=	2.5	Variance	=	819.548

(Based on 90 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 715-717

B20B**B20B. # CLIENTS IN MAINTENANCE**

Of the number of clients specified in B19, how many were considered to be in:
...Maintenance?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	246.800
Max	=	7,970	Std Dev	=	849.246
Median	=	119	Variance	=	721,218.544

(Based on 90 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 718-721

B21**B21. MAXIMUM DAILY DOSE OF METHADONE**

At that time, what was the maximum daily dosage (in milligrams) of methadone given to a single client on maintenance?

Min	=	45	Mean	=	88.362
Max	=	200	Std Dev	=	26.952
Median	=	80	Variance	=	726.386

(Based on 80 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 722-724

B22**B22. MINIMUM DAILY DOSE OF METHADONE**

At that time, what was the minimum daily dosage (in milligrams) of methadone given to a single client on maintenance?

Min	=	1	Mean	=	9.425
Max	=	40	Std Dev	=	8.736
Median	=	5.5	Variance	=	76.323

(Based on 80 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 725-726

B23**B23. AVERAGE DAILY DOSE OF METHADONE**

At that time, what was the average daily dosage (in milligrams) of methadone given to clients on maintenance?

Min	=	25	Mean	=	48.782
Max	=	80	Std Dev	=	12.114
Median	=	50	Variance	=	146.744

(Based on 78 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 727-728

B24A**B24A. # OF CLIENTS RECEIVED 1-19 MGS**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received the following daily dosages (in milligrams) of methadone?
...1-19 mgs.

Min	=	0	Mean	=	13.084
Max	=	340	Std Dev	=	37.568
Median	=	6	Variance	=	1,411.322

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 729-731

B24B**B24B. # OF CLIENTS RECEIVED 20-39 MGS**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received the following daily dosages (in milligrams) of methadone? ...20-39 mgs.

Min	=	1	Mean	=	43.145
Max	=	718	Std Dev	=	83.516
Median	=	26	Variance	=	6,974.881

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 732-734

B24C**B24C. # OF CLIENTS RECEIVED 40-54 MGS**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received the following daily dosages (in milligrams) of methadone? ...40-54 mgs.

Min	=	0	Mean	=	73.518
Max	=	1,894	Std Dev	=	216.895
Median	=	31	Variance	=	47,043.375

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 735-738

B24D**B24D. # OF CLIENTS RECEIVED 55-69 MGS**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received the following daily dosages (in milligrams) of methadone? ...55-69 mgs.

Min	=	0	Mean	=	55.205
Max	=	1,199	Std Dev	=	141.789
Median	=	23	Variance	=	20,104.092

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 739-742

B24E **B24E. # OF CLIENTS RECD 70 MGS OR MORE**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received the following daily dosages (in milligrams) of methadone? ...70 mgs. or more

Min	=	0	Mean	=	85.554
Max	=	3,819	Std Dev	=	420.502
Median	=	14	Variance	=	176,822.274

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 743-746

B25 **B25. NO TAKE HOME SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
6.0	0.3	5	0	BOX CHECKED, SKIP TO B26
94.0	4.0	79	1	BOX NOT CHECKED
	55.3	1,098	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 747-748

B25A**B25A. # OF CLIENTS RECD 1 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...1 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	45.827
Max	=	937	Std Dev	=	135.956
Median	=	8	Variance	=	18,484.091

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 749-751

B25B**B25B. # OF CLIENTS RECD 2 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...2 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	43.507
Max	=	806	Std Dev	=	123.988
Median	=	14	Variance	=	15,372.956

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 752-754

B25C**B25C. # OF CLIENTS RECD 3 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...3 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	31.147
Max	=	1,107	Std Dev	=	129.453
Median	=	6	Variance	=	16,758.100

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 755-758

B25D**B25D. # OF CLIENTS RECD 4 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...4 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	19.653
Max	=	640	Std Dev	=	75.658
Median	=	1	Variance	=	5,724.067

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 759-761

B25E**B25E. # OF CLIENTS RECD 5 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...5 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	50.560
Max	=	2,883	Std Dev	=	332.769
Median	=	0	Variance	=	110,734.925

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 762-765

B25F**B25F. # OF CLIENTS RECD 6 DAY SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...6 day supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	36.280
Max	=	1,465	Std Dev	=	176.716
Median	=	0	Variance	=	31,228.718

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 766-769

B25G**B25G. # CLIENTS RECD 7 DAY/MORE SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...7 day or more supply

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.216
Max	=	76	Std Dev	=	8.860
Median	=	0	Variance	=	78.501

(Based on 74 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 770-771

B25H**B25H. # OF CLIENTS RECD OTHER SUPPLY**

At that time, how many clients on maintenance received their take home supply of methadone in each of the following categories?

...Other (SPECIFY)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.080
Max	=	34	Std Dev	=	4.901
Median	=	0	Variance	=	24.021

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 772-773

B26_NO**B26. TYPICAL LENGTH METH MAINT-NUMBER**

At that time, what was this facility's typical length of time for a client to be maintained on methadone?

Min	=	1	Mean	=	7.440
Max	=	53	Std Dev	=	9.127
Median	=	4	Variance	=	83.304

(Based on 75 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 774-775

B26_UNIT	B26. TYPICAL LENGTH METH MAINT-UNIT
-----------------	--

At that time, what was this facility's typical length of time for a client to be maintained on methadone?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
4.0	0.2	3	1	WEEKS
33.3	1.3	25	2	MONTHS
62.7	2.4	47	3	YEARS
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.5	10	-8	DON'T KNOW
	55.2	1,096	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 776-777

B27_NO	B27. MAXIMUM LENGTH METH MAINT-NUMBER
---------------	--

At that time, what was the maximum length of time a client could be maintained on methadone at this facility?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.173
Max	=	30	Std Dev	=	4.644
Median	=	0	Variance	=	21.570

(Based on 81 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 778-779

B27_UNIT**B27. MAXIMUM LENGTH METH MAINT-UNIT**

At that time, what was the maximum length of time a client could be maintained on methadone at this facility?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.9	3.6	72	0	INDEFINATE, NO MAXIMUM
0.0	0.0	0	1	WEEKS
6.2	0.3	5	2	MONTHS
4.9	0.2	4	3	YEARS
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	55.2	1,096	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 780-781

B28A**B28A. DETERMINED MAX TIME - STATE**

Which of the following determined this maximum length of time?

...State regulations

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
30.0	0.2	3	1	YES
70.0	0.4	7	2	NO
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	3.6	72	-6	INAP - NO MAX LENGTH
	55.3	1,098	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 782-783

B28B **B28B. DETERMINED MAX TIME - POLICY**

Which of the following determined this maximum length of time?

...Facility policy

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
60.0	0.3	6	1	YES
40.0	0.2	4	2	NO
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	3.6	72	-6	INAP - NO MAX LENGTH
	55.3	1,098	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 784-785

B28C **B28C. DETERMINED MAX TIME - NEED**

Which of the following determined this maximum length of time?

...Clinical need

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
60.0	0.3	6	1	YES
40.0	0.2	4	2	NO
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	3.6	72	-6	INAP - NO MAX LENGTH
	55.3	1,098	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 786-787

B28D**B28D. DETERMINED MAX TIME - OTHER**

Which of the following determined this maximum length
of time?

...Other (SPECIFY)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
33.3	0.2	3	1	YES
66.7	0.3	6	2	NO
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	3.6	72	-6	INAP - NO MAX LENGTH
	55.3	1,098	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT HAVE MTHD CLIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 788-789

FLAG10_A**B19 IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.8	59.4	1,180	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 790-791

FLAG10_B	B20A IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
100.0	59.5	1,182	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 792-793

FLAG10_C	B20B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
100.0	59.5	1,182	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 794-795

FLAG10_D B24A IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.4	59.2	1,175	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.6	0.4	7	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 796-797

FLAG10_E B24B IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.4	59.2	1,175	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.6	0.4	7	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 798-799

FLAG10_F	B24C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.2	59.1	1,173	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	9	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 800-801

FLAG10_G	B24D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.2	59.1	1,173	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	9	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 802-803

FLAG10_H B24E IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.2	59.1	1,173	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.8	0.5	9	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 804-805

FLAG10_I B28A IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.8	59.4	1,180	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 806-807

FLAG10_J	B28B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.8	59.4	1,180	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 808-809

FLAG10_K	B28C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.8	59.4	1,180	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 810-811

FLAG10_L	B28D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	-----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.8	59.4	1,180	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 812-813

SEQ11	SEQUENCE NUMBER
--------------	------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
59.5	59.5	1,182	1	
29.1	29.1	577	2	
8.9	8.9	177	3	
2.1	2.1	42	4	
0.4	0.4	7	5	
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 814

HIDD_DEM	MODALITY-HSP INPT DRG DETOX
-----------------	------------------------------------

Facility offered
...hospital inpatient drug detoxification

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.7	91.7	1,821	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
8.3	8.3	164	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 815

HIDF_DEM	MODALITY-HSP INPT DRG FREE
-----------------	-----------------------------------

Facility offered
...hospital inpatient drug free

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.0	92.0	1,826	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
8.0	8.0	159	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 816

RSDD_DEM	MODALITY-RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX
-----------------	---------------------------------------

Facility offered
...residential detoxification

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.0	96.0	1,906	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
4.0	4.0	79	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 817

RSDF_DEM	MODALITY-RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE
-----------------	--------------------------------------

Facility offered
...residential drug free

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
82.7	82.7	1,641	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
17.3	17.3	344	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 818

OPDD_DEM	MODALITY-OUTPT DRG DETOX
-----------------	---------------------------------

Facility offered
...outpatient detoxification

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.2	96.2	1,909	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
3.8	3.8	76	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 819

OPDM_DEM	MODALITY-OUTPT DRG MAINT
-----------------	---------------------------------

Facility offered
...outpatient maintenance

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
95.2	95.2	1,890	0	NOT CHECKED, IMPLICITLY ZERO
4.8	4.8	95	1	BOX CHECKED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Column: 820

A_BLACK A. # CLIENTS - BLACK

The total number clients in this modality who were
...Black, not Hispanic

Min	=	0	Mean	=	18.089
Max	=	6,066	Std Dev	=	157.679
Median	=	2	Variance	=	24,862.813

(Based on 1,919 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 827-830

A_HISP A. # CLIENTS - HISPANIC

The total number clients in this modality who were
...Hispanic

Min	=	0	Mean	=	7.761
Max	=	2,732	Std Dev	=	73.829
Median	=	0	Variance	=	5,450.787

(Based on 1,919 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 831-834

A_ASIAN A. # CLIENTS - ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

The total number clients in this modality who were
...Asian or Pacific Islander

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.258
Max	=	68	Std Dev	=	2.219
Median	=	0	Variance	=	4.923

(Based on 1,921 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 835-836

A_INDIAN**A. # CLIENTS - AM INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE**

The total number clients in this modality who were
...American Indian or Alaskan Native

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.862
Max	=	56	Std Dev	=	3.942
Median	=	0	Variance	=	15.540

(Based on 1,922 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 837-838

A_OTHER**A. # CLIENTS - OTHER**

The total number clients in this modality who were
...Other

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.167
Max	=	28	Std Dev	=	1.221
Median	=	0	Variance	=	1.492

(Based on 1,922 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 839-840

B_14**B. # CLIENTS - UNDER 15 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...Under 15 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.169
Max	=	130	Std Dev	=	6.175
Median	=	0	Variance	=	38.133

(Based on 1,886 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 841-843

B_15**B. # CLIENTS - 15-17 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...15-17 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.546
Max	=	188	Std Dev	=	11.147
Median	=	0	Variance	=	124.257

(Based on 1,884 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 844-846

B_18**B. # CLIENTS - 18-24 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...18-24 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.843
Max	=	362	Std Dev	=	24.644
Median	=	3	Variance	=	607.339

(Based on 1,865 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 847-849

B_25**B. # CLIENTS - 25-34 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...25-34 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	20.183
Max	=	1,913	Std Dev	=	59.425
Median	=	6	Variance	=	3,531.298

(Based on 1,854 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 850-853

B_35**B. # CLIENTS - 35-44 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...35-44 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	16.407
Max	=	4,183	Std Dev	=	103.752
Median	=	3	Variance	=	10,764.486

(Based on 1,858 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 854-857

B_45**B. # CLIENTS - 45-64 YRS OLD**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...45-64 years old

Min	=	0	Mean	=	6.588
Max	=	1,764	Std Dev	=	43.607
Median	=	1	Variance	=	1,901.530

(Based on 1,867 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 858-861

B_65**B. # CLIENTS - 65 AND OLDER**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...65 and older

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.654
Max	=	79	Std Dev	=	2.977
Median	=	0	Variance	=	8.865

(Based on 1,880 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 862-863

C_EMP**C. # CLIENTS - EMPLOYED**

The number clients in this modality who at admission were:
...employed

Min	=	0	Mean	=	28.671
Max	=	3,568	Std Dev	=	102.198
Median	=	6	Variance	=	10,444.517

(Based on 1,867 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 864-867

C_NOTEMP**C. # CLIENTS - NOT EMPLOYED**

...not employed

Min	=	0	Mean	=	35.909
Max	=	12,132	Std Dev	=	305.999
Median	=	9	Variance	=	93,635.140

(Based on 1,866 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 868-872

SEQ12	SEQUENCE NUMBER
--------------	------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
59.5	59.5	1,182	1	
29.1	29.1	577	2	
8.9	8.9	177	3	
2.1	2.1	42	4	
0.4	0.4	7	5	

100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Column: 875

D_ HEROIN	D. # CLIENTS - HEROIN/OTHER OPIATES
------------------	--

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:
 ...Heroin/other opiates

Min	=	0	Mean	=	10.026
Max	=	1,188	Std Dev	=	51.167
Median	=	0	Variance	=	2,618.046

(Based on 1,830 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 876-879

D_ CRACK	D. # CLIENTS - CRACK
-----------------	-----------------------------

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:
 ...Crack (If unable to separate, combine with cocaine)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.462
Max	=	2,811	Std Dev	=	68.992
Median	=	0	Variance	=	4,759.898

(Based on 1,817 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 880-883

D_COCAIN D. # CLIENTS - COCAINE

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...Cocaine

Min	=	0	Mean	=	11.045
Max	=	623	Std Dev	=	38.892
Median	=	2	Variance	=	1,512.620

(Based on 1,822 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 884-886

D_BENZ D. # CLIENTS - BENZODIAZEPINES

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...Benzodiazepines

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.933
Max	=	140	Std Dev	=	4.653
Median	=	0	Variance	=	21.649

(Based on 1,828 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 887-889

D_BARB D. # CLIENTS - BARBITURATES

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...Barbiturates

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.551
Max	=	57	Std Dev	=	2.456
Median	=	0	Variance	=	6.033

(Based on 1,828 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 890-891

D_AMPH **D. # CLIENTS - AMPHETAMINES**

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...Amphetamines

Min	=	0	Mean	=	2.165
Max	=	300	Std Dev	=	13.374
Median	=	0	Variance	=	178.866

(Based on 1,827 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 892-894

D_MARIJ **D. # CLIENTS - MARIJUANA/HASHISH**

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...Marijuana/hashish

Min	=	0	Mean	=	7.666
Max	=	354	Std Dev	=	20.883
Median	=	1	Variance	=	436.089

(Based on 1,819 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 895-897

D_PCP **D. # CLIENTS - PCP/LSD**

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:

...PCP/LSD

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.508
Max	=	54	Std Dev	=	2.872
Median	=	0	Variance	=	8.246

(Based on 1,829 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 898-899

D_OTH**D. # CLIENTS - OTHER DRUGS**

The number clients in this modality who used the following principal drug other than alcohol:
...Other drugs (not alcohol)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.008
Max	=	124	Std Dev	=	5.995
Median	=	0	Variance	=	35.938

(Based on 1,827 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 900-902

E_NOPAY**E. # CLIENTS - NO PAYMENT**

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:
...No payment

Min	=	0	Mean	=	12.795
Max	=	3,463	Std Dev	=	90.802
Median	=	0	Variance	=	8,245.080

(Based on 1,903 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 903-906

E_SLFPAY**E. # CLIENTS - SELF PAYMENT**

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:
...Self payment

Min	=	0	Mean	=	18.235
Max	=	1,000	Std Dev	=	52.759
Median	=	1	Variance	=	2,783.555

(Based on 1,893 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 907-910

E_PRIVTE E. # CLIENTS - PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:

...Private health insurance

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.110
Max	=	1,064	Std Dev	=	37.674
Median	=	1	Variance	=	1,419.342

(Based on 1,894 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 911-914

E_MEDCD E. # CLIENTS - MEDICAID

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:

...Medicaid

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.561
Max	=	3,645	Std Dev	=	93.027
Median	=	0	Variance	=	8,653.974

(Based on 1,902 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 915-918

MEDCR_E E. # CLIENTS - MEDICARE

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:

...Medicare

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.592
Max	=	145	Std Dev	=	5.228
Median	=	0	Variance	=	27.331

(Based on 1,901 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 919-921

E_OTHER	E. # CLIENTS - OTHER PUBLIC PAYMENT
----------------	--

The number clients in this modality whose primary expected source of payment was:
...Other public payment

Min	=	0	Mean	=	16.045
Max	=	12,132	Std Dev	=	280.457
Median	=	0	Variance	=	78,656.313

(Based on 1,901 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 922-926

METHOD	METHOD USED TO COMPLETE TABLE
---------------	--------------------------------------

What method did you use to complete the table?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
40.6	39.6	786	1	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
35.8	34.9	693	2	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
10.8	10.5	209	3	BEST GUESS
7.0	6.8	135	4	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
4.4	4.3	86	5	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
1.5	1.5	29	6	OTHER (SPECIFY)
	1.0	19	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.3	26	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.1	2	-7	REFUSED
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 927-928

HIDD_C1B**C1B. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	229.187
Max	=	1,464	Std Dev	=	300.287
Median	=	110	Variance	=	90,172.444

(Based on 193 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 935-938

HIDD_C1C**C1C. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	189.229
Max	=	1,274	Std Dev	=	249.343
Median	=	90	Variance	=	62,171.811

(Based on 192 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 939-942

HIDD_C1D**C1D. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-LEFT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	33.724
Max	=	509	Std Dev	=	65.082
Median	=	10	Variance	=	4,235.730

(Based on 192 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 943-945

HIDD_C1E**C1E. HSP INPT DRG DETOX-LEFT (FACILITY)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.568
Max	=	130	Std Dev	=	12.619
Median	=	0	Variance	=	159.241

(Based on 192 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 946-948

HIDF_C1A**C1A. HSP INPT DRG FREE-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	243.384
Max	=	2,184	Std Dev	=	264.881
Median	=	178.5	Variance	=	70,161.956

(Based on 164 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 949-952

HIDF_C1B**C1B. HSP INPT DRG FREE-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	246.262
Max	=	2,136	Std Dev	=	281.850
Median	=	163	Variance	=	79,439.373

(Based on 164 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 953-956

HIDF_C1C**C1C. HSP INPT DRG FREE-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	198.543
Max	=	2,070	Std Dev	=	241.214
Median	=	134	Variance	=	58,184.287

(Based on 164 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 957-960

HIDF_C1D**C1D. HSP INPT DRG FREE-LEFT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	32.915
Max	=	338	Std Dev	=	50.660
Median	=	17	Variance	=	2,566.398

(Based on 164 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 961-963

HIDF_C1E**C1E. HSP INPT DRG FREE-LEFT (FACILITY)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	14.104
Max	=	143	Std Dev	=	20.957
Median	=	6	Variance	=	439.210

(Based on 164 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 964-966

RSDD_C1A**C1A. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	627.459
Max	=	10,662	Std Dev	=	1,294.128
Median	=	245	Variance	=	1,674,767.394

(Based on 85 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 967-971

RSDD_C1B**C1B. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	628.976
Max	=	10,650	Std Dev	=	1,330.974
Median	=	220	Variance	=	1,771,491.903

(Based on 84 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 972-976

RSDD_C1C**C1C. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	416.000
Max	=	3,082	Std Dev	=	610.879
Median	=	162	Variance	=	373,172.707

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 977-980

RSDD_C1D**C1D. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-LEFT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	195.000
Max	=	7,990	Std Dev	=	885.487
Median	=	27	Variance	=	784,088.098

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 981-984

RSDD_C1E**C1E. RESIDENTIAL DRG DETOX-LEFT (FACILITY)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	25.434
Max	=	586	Std Dev	=	85.082
Median	=	3	Variance	=	7,239.029

(Based on 83 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 985-987

RSDF_C1A**C1A. RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	192.437
Max	=	3,861	Std Dev	=	324.944
Median	=	102	Variance	=	105,588.429

(Based on 341 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 988-991

RSDF_C1B**C1B. RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	174.462
Max	=	3,241	Std Dev	=	289.943
Median	=	92	Variance	=	84,066.768

(Based on 340 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 992-995

RSDF_C1C**C1C. RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	115.849
Max	=	2,701	Std Dev	=	238.341
Median	=	43.5	Variance	=	56,806.419

(Based on 338 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 996-999

RSDF_C1D**C1D. RESIDENTIAL DRG FREE-LEFT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	38.369
Max	=	450	Std Dev	=	58.398
Median	=	19	Variance	=	3,410.317

(Based on 336 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1000-1002

RSDF_C1E C1E. RESIDNTL DRG FREE-LEFT (FACILITY)

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	19.664
Max	=	360	Std Dev	=	35.760
Median	=	10	Variance	=	1,278.803

(Based on 336 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1003-1005

FLAG13_A HIDD_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
92.9	55.3	1,098	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.5	3.9	77	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.6	0.4	7	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1006-1007

FLAG13_B HIDD_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.1	55.4	1,100	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.3	3.7	74	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.5	0.3	6	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.1	0.1	1	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.1	0.1	1	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1008-1009

FLAG13_C HIDD_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.1	55.5	1,101	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.7	2.8	55	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
2.2	1.3	26	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1010-1011

FLAG13_D	HIDD_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.2	55.5	1,102	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.6	3.3	66	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
1.2	0.7	14	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1012-1013

FLAG13_E	HIDD_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
94.1	56.0	1,112	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.9	2.9	58	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
1.0	0.6	12	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1014-1015

FLAG13_F HIDF_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.7	55.8	1,107	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.2	3.7	73	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1016-1017

FLAG13_G HIDF_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.6	55.7	1,106	0	NOT IMPUTED
6.2	3.7	73	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.1	0.1	1	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1018-1019

FLAG13_H	HIDF_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.7	55.8	1,107	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.5	3.3	65	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.8	0.5	10	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1020-1021

FLAG13_I	HIDF_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.7	55.8	1,108	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.8	3.5	69	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.4	0.3	5	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1022-1023

FLAG13_J HIDE_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
94.3	56.2	1,115	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	4	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1024-1025

FLAG13_K RSDD_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.6	58.7	1,166	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.3	0.8	15	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1026-1027

FLAG13_L	RSDD_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.7	58.8	1,167	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.3	0.8	15	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1028-1029

FLAG13_M	RSDD_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.6	58.7	1,166	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.3	0.8	15	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1030-1031

FLAG13_N	RSDD_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.6	58.7	1,165	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.4	0.9	17	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1032-1033

FLAG13_O	RSDD_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.6	58.7	1,165	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.4	0.9	17	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1034-1035

FLAG13_P	RSDF_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.1	57.8	1,148	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.2	1.3	26	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.7	0.4	8	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1036-1037

FLAG13_Q	RSDF_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.4	58.0	1,151	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.1	1.3	25	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.5	0.3	6	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1038-1039

FLAG13_R **RSDF_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.0	57.7	1,146	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.8	1.7	33	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1040-1041

FLAG13_S **RSDF_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.7	57.6	1,143	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.2	1.9	38	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1042-1043

FLAG13_T	RSDF_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.7	57.6	1,143	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.2	1.9	38	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1044-1045

OPDD_C1A	C1A. OUTPT DRG DETOX-ADMITTED
-----------------	--------------------------------------

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	126.265
Max	=	1,510	Std Dev	=	280.543
Median	=	8.5	Variance	=	78,704.137

(Based on 102 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1046-1049

OPDD_C1B**C1B. OUTPT DRG DETOX-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	99.604
Max	=	1,200	Std Dev	=	232.158
Median	=	11	Variance	=	53,897.222

(Based on 101 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1050-1053

OPDD_C1C**C1C. OUTPT DRG DETOX-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	48.772
Max	=	850	Std Dev	=	113.666
Median	=	5	Variance	=	12,920.058

(Based on 101 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1054-1056

OPDD_C1D C1D. OUTPT DRG DETOX-LEFT (OWN)

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	37.618
Max	=	1,054	Std Dev	=	119.392
Median	=	0	Variance	=	14,254.555

(Based on 102 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1057-1060

OPDD_C1E C1E. OUTPT DRG DETOX-LEFT (FACILITY)

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	12.842
Max	=	660	Std Dev	=	68.510
Median	=	0	Variance	=	4,693.595

(Based on 101 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1061-1063

OPDM_C1A**C1A. OUTPT DRG MAINT-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	183.688
Max	=	2,605	Std Dev	=	364.586
Median	=	82	Variance	=	132,923.173

(Based on 93 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1064-1067

OPDM_C1B**C1B. OUTPT DRG MAINT-ENDED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	129.391
Max	=	1,638	Std Dev	=	254.323
Median	=	50.5	Variance	=	64,680.351

(Based on 92 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1068-1071

OPDM_C1C**C1C. OUTPT DRG MAINT-COMPLETED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	22.511
Max	=	455	Std Dev	=	59.437
Median	=	7	Variance	=	3,532.736

(Based on 92 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1072-1074

OPDM_C1D**C1D. OUTPT DRG MAINT-LEFT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	68.097
Max	=	1,450	Std Dev	=	165.181
Median	=	22	Variance	=	27,284.675

(Based on 93 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1075-1078

OPDM_C1E**C1E. OUTPT DRG MAINT-LEFT (FACILITY)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	37.304
Max	=	1,071	Std Dev	=	121.608
Median	=	5.5	Variance	=	14,788.412

(Based on 92 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1079-1082

OPDF_C1A**C1A. OUTPT DRG FREE-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	245.856
Max	=	23,000	Std Dev	=	895.399
Median	=	100	Variance	=	801,738.602

(Based on 791 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1083-1087

OPDF_C1B C1B. OUTPT DRG FREE-ENDED

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	215.109
Max	=	19,638	Std Dev	=	827.116
Median	=	77	Variance	=	684,120.298

(Based on 789 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1088-1092

OPDF_C1C C1C. OUTPT DRG FREE-COMPLETED

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	131.091
Max	=	15,923	Std Dev	=	688.671
Median	=	39	Variance	=	474,267.200

(Based on 787 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1093-1097

OPDF_C1D C1D. OUTPT DRG FREE-LEFT (OWN)

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	64.604
Max	=	3,184	Std Dev	=	167.909
Median	=	18	Variance	=	28,193.508

(Based on 788 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1098-1101

OPDF_C1E C1E. OUTPT DRG FREE-LEFT (FACILITY)

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...OUTPATIENT Drug Free left before completing program (facility choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	18.141
Max	=	1,310	Std Dev	=	62.250
Median	=	3	Variance	=	3,875.069

(Based on 788 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1102-1105

TOT_C1A**C1A. TOTAL CLIENTS-ADMITTED**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...TOTALS # admitted during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	379.591
Max	=	23,000	Std Dev	=	980.468
Median	=	156	Variance	=	961,317.750

(Based on 1,175 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1106-1110

TOT_C1B**C1B. TOTAL CLIENTS-ENDED TRTMENT**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...TOTALS # ending treatment during above 12-month period

Min	=	0	Mean	=	346.309
Max	=	19,638	Std Dev	=	939.866
Median	=	128	Variance	=	883,347.260

(Based on 1,173 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1111-1115

TOT_C1C**C1C. TOTAL CLIENTS-COMPLETED TRTMENT**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...TOTALS completed drug treatment program

Min	=	0	Mean	=	226.109
Max	=	15,923	Std Dev	=	708.596
Median	=	65.5	Variance	=	502,107.775

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1116-1120

TOT_C1D**C1D. TOTAL CLIENTS-LEFT TRTMENT (OWN)**

You indicated earlier that your 12-month client reporting period was (SECTION C DATES FROM PAGE 1). For that period, please tell me the following information about clients admitted to and ending treatment at (SERVICE UNIT FROM RIS).

...TOTALS left before completing program (own choice)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	88.506
Max	=	8,283	Std Dev	=	296.252
Median	=	28	Variance	=	87,765.346

(Based on 1,165 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1121-1124

FLAG14_A **OPDD_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.4	57.4	1,140	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.2	1.9	38	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.3	0.2	4	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1131-1132

FLAG14_B **OPDD_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.5	57.5	1,141	0	NOT IMPUTED
3.2	1.9	38	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1133-1134

FLAG14_C	OPDD_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.5	57.5	1,141	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.7	1.0	20	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.8	1.1	21	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1135-1136

FLAG14_D	OPDD_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
96.4	57.4	1,140	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.1	1.3	25	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.4	0.9	17	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1137-1138

FLAG14_E **OPDD_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.0	57.7	1,146	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.9	1.2	23	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.1	0.7	13	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1139-1140

FLAG14_F **OPDM_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.0	1.2	24	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1141-1142

FLAG14_G	OPDM_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
2.0	1.2	24	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1143-1144

FLAG14_H	OPDM_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.9	58.3	1,157	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.9	1.1	22	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1145-1146

FLAG14_I **OPDM_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
97.8	58.2	1,156	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.9	1.2	23	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.3	0.2	3	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1147-1148

FLAG14_J **OPDM_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
98.1	58.4	1,159	0	NOT IMPUTED
1.8	1.1	21	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1149-1150

FLAG14_K	OPDF_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
89.8	53.5	1,061	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.9	2.9	58	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
5.3	3.2	63	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1151-1152

FLAG14_L	OPDF_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.3	52.6	1,044	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.2	3.1	62	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
6.4	3.8	76	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1153-1154

FLAG14_M OPDF_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.2	51.3	1,019	0	NOT IMPUTED
12.5	7.5	148	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
1.3	0.8	15	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1155-1156

FLAG14_N OPDF_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
85.6	51.0	1,012	0	NOT IMPUTED
13.6	8.1	161	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.8	0.5	9	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1157-1158

FLAG14_O	OPDF_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	---------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.5	51.5	1,023	0	NOT IMPUTED
12.9	7.7	152	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.6	0.4	7	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1159-1160

FLAG14_P	TOT_C1A IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	--------------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.0	55.4	1,099	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.6	0.4	7	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
5.9	3.5	70	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.5	0.3	6	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1161-1162

FLAG14_Q TOT_C1B IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.7	54.6	1,084	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.3	0.2	3	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.2	0.1	2	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
6.2	3.7	73	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
1.7	1.0	20	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1163-1164

FLAG14_R TOT_C1C IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
79.4	47.3	939	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
20.6	12.2	243	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1165-1166

FLAG14_S	TOT_C1D IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	--------------------------------

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
78.7	46.9	930	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
21.3	12.7	252	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1167-1168

FLAG14_T	TOT_C1E IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	--------------------------------

PCT VALID	PCT ALL	N	VALUE	LABEL
80.5	48.0	952	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
0.0	0.0	0	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.2	0.1	2	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
19.3	11.5	228	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1169-1170

HIDFANO C3A. HSPINPT DRG FREE-ACTL LNTH(NO)

During the 12-month time period indicated in "CLIENT REPORTING PERIOD" on page 1, what was the typical overall treatment planned for each modality (in days, weeks, months, or years) and what was the usual length of time clients actually remained in treatment?

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free typical length actual treatment

Min	=	1	Mean	=	20.993
Max	=	112	Std Dev	=	12.552
Median	=	21	Variance	=	157.556

(Based on 143 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1183-1185

HIDFAUNT C3 - HIDF ACTUAL LENGTH OF TX - UNIT

During the 12-month time period indicated in "CLIENT REPORTING PERIOD" on page 1, what was the typical overall treatment planned for each modality (in days, weeks, months, or years) and what was the usual length of time clients actually remained in treatment?

...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free unit time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
93.7	6.8	134	1	DAYS
4.2	0.3	6	2	WEEKS
2.1	0.2	3	3	MONTHS
0.0	0.0	0	4	YEARS
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	19	-8	DON'T KNOW
	51.1	1,015	-5	INAP - ZERO HIDF PATIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1186-1187

RSDFANO C3B. RSDNTL DRG FREE-ACTL LNGLTH(NO)

During the 12-month time period indicated in "CLIENT REPORTING PERIOD" on page 1, what was the typical overall treatment planned for each modality (in days, weeks, months, or years) and what was the usual length of time clients actually remained in treatment?

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free typical length actual treatment

Min	=	1	Mean	=	35.214
Max	=	330	Std Dev	=	41.604
Median	=	24	Variance	=	1,730.856

(Based on 327 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1201-1203

RSDFAUNT C3 - RSDF ACTUAL LENGTH OF TX - UNIT

During the 12-month time period indicated in "CLIENT REPORTING PERIOD" on page 1, what was the typical overall treatment planned for each modality (in days, weeks, months, or years) and what was the usual length of time clients actually remained in treatment?

...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free unit time

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
59.6	9.8	195	1	DAYS
4.0	0.7	13	2	WEEKS
35.5	5.8	116	3	MONTHS
0.9	0.2	3	4	YEARS
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.8	15	-8	DON'T KNOW
	42.1	836	-5	INAP - ZERO RSDF PATIENTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY

100.0 100.0 1,985 cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1204-1205

C4**METHOD USED TO COMPLETE QUESTION C3**

What method did you use to complete question C3?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
26.4	15.0	298	1	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
47.9	27.3	541	2	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM RECORDS
15.9	9.1	180	3	BEST GUESS
4.8	2.7	54	4	ACTUAL NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
3.6	2.1	41	5	ESTIMATED NUMBERS FROM AUTOMATED SYSTEM
1.4	0.8	16	6	OTHER (SPECIFY)
	1.0	19	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.5	30	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1236-1237

C5**C5. FACILITY TREAT PREGNANT FEMALES**

During the 12-month time period indicated in "CLIENT REPORTING PERIOD" on page 1, did this facility treat pregnant females?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
56.8	32.9	653	1	YES
43.2	25.0	497	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.8	16	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1238-1239

C6 **C6. HOW MANY PREGNANT FEMALES TREATED**

How many pregnant females were treated during that 12-month time period?

Min	=	1	Mean	=	6.926
Max	=	127	Std Dev	=	11.582
Median	=	3	Variance	=	134.154

(Based on 567 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1240-1242

C7A **C7A. SERVICES-FAMILY PLAN EDUC**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Family Planning Education

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
31.5	10.3	205	1	YES
68.5	22.5	446	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1243-1244

C7B**C7B. SERVICES-PRENATAL CARE**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility
offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Prenatal Care

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
28.8	9.4	187	1	YES
71.2	23.3	463	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1245-1246

C7C**C7C. SERVICES-PRENATAL EDUCATION**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility
offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Prenatal Education

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
34.7	11.4	226	1	YES
65.3	21.4	425	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1247-1248

C7D. SERVICES-AFTER CARE

During that 12-month time period, did this facility offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...After Care

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
43.5	14.3	283	1	YES
56.5	18.5	367	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1249-1250

C7E. SERVICES-BIRTHING

During that 12-month time period, did this facility offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Birthing

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
7.5	2.5	49	1	YES
92.5	30.3	601	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1251-1252

C7F**C7F. SERVICES-CHILD CARE**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility
offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Child Care for Client's Children

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
10.9	3.6	71	1	YES
89.1	29.3	581	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1253-1254

C7G**C7G. SERVICES-PARENTING EDUC**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility
offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Parenting Skills Education

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
43.3	14.2	282	1	YES
56.7	18.6	369	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1255-1256

C7J**C7J. SERVICES-TRANSPORTATION**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility offer the following services/programs to pregnant females?
...Transportation

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
32.2	10.6	210	1	YES
67.8	22.3	442	2	NO
	1.5	29	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	25.2	500	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1261-1262

C8A**C8A. REASON-NO PREGNANT FEMALES**

If your answer to C5 was "NO," please answer "YES" for each of the reasons which apply:
...No pregnant females requested treatment at this facility

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
76.0	18.9	376	1	YES
24.0	6.0	119	2	NO
	0.8	15	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.8	16	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	32.9	653	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1263-1264

C8B REASON-STAFF NOT TRAINED

If your answer to C5 was "NO," please answer "YES" for each of the reasons which apply:
...The staff at this facility are not trained to treat drug-addicted pregnant females

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
38.2	9.5	188	1	YES
61.8	15.3	304	2	NO
	0.8	16	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.9	18	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	32.9	653	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1265-1266

C8C REASON-NOT PHYSICALLY EQUIPPED

If your answer to C5 was "NO," please answer "YES" for each of the reasons which apply:
...This facility is not physically equipped to provide for the special needs of pregnant females

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
46.1	11.5	228	1	YES
53.9	13.5	267	2	NO
	0.8	16	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.8	15	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	32.9	653	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1267-1268

C8D**C8D. REASON-OTHER**

If your answer to C5 was "NO," please answer "YES" for each of the reasons which apply:
...Other (SPECIFY)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
22.7	5.7	113	1	YES
77.3	19.4	385	2	NO
	0.8	16	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.6	12	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	32.9	653	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID TX PREGNANT FEMALES
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1269-1270

C9**C9. REFER PREGNANT FEMALES**

During the 12-month time period indicated in "Client Reporting Period" on page 1, did this facility refer pregnant females to drug treatment programs at other drug treatment facilities?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
34.2	19.7	391	1	YES
65.8	37.8	751	2	NO
	0.7	14	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.2	23	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1271-1272

C10_REF	C10. # PREGNANT FEMALES REFERRED
----------------	---

How many pregnant females were referred to other drug treatment facilities during that 12-month time period?

Min	=	1	Mean	=	5.765
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	9.011
Median	=	3	Variance	=	81.199

(Based on 315 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1273-1275

C11_REF	C11. REFER TO PRENATAL CARE SERVICES
----------------	---

During that 12-month time period, did this facility refer pregnant female clients to prenatal care services outside the facility?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
49.6	28.5	566	1	YES
50.4	29.0	576	2	NO
	0.8	15	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	22	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1276-1277

C12_TST**C12. CONDUCT PREGNANCY TESTS**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility
conduct any pregnancy tests?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
26.3	15.4	305	1	YES
73.7	43.0	853	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	8	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1278-1279

C13**C13. # CLIENTS TESTED POSITIVE**

During that 12-month time period, how many female drug
treatment clients tested positive in the pregnancy tests?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	4.325
Max	=	89	Std Dev	=	9.675
Median	=	2	Variance	=	93.600

(Based on 243 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1280-1281

C14 **C14. CONDUCT HIV/AIDS TESTS**

During that 12-month time period, did this facility conduct HIV/AIDS tests on clients?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
32.0	18.7	371	1	YES
68.0	39.7	788	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	7	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1282-1283

C16 **C16. CONDUCT BLOOD ALCOHOL TESTS**

During that 12-month time, did this facility conduct blood alcohol concentration tests on clients?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
34.9	20.4	405	1	YES
65.1	38.0	754	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.4	7	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1284-1285

C17**C17. CONDUCT ANY DRUG TESTS**

During that 12-month time, did this facility conduct any drug tests (other than alcohol tests) on clients? (Include services contracted.)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
71.0	41.6	825	1	YES
29.0	17.0	337	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	4	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1286-1287

C18A**C18A. CONDUCT URINE TESTS**

During that 12-month time period, which type(s) of tests were used for testing drugs (other than alcohol)?
...Urine tests

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
99.2	41.2	817	1	YES
0.8	0.4	7	2	NO
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1288-1289

C19A**C19A. ANALYSIS DONE ON PREMISES**

During that 12-month time period, was the drug test analysis done:
...On the premises?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
26.1	10.8	214	1	YES
73.9	30.5	605	2	NO
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.3	5	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1294-1295

C19B**C19B. ANALYSIS CONTRACTED TO NIDA LAB**

During that 12-month time period, was the drug test analysis done:
...Contracted out to a laboratory certified by the National Institute on Drug Abuse?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
48.7	17.1	340	1	YES
51.3	18.0	358	2	NO
	6.4	127	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1296-1297

C20A_TRT**C20A. DURING TRTMNT - MARIJUANA/HASH**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Marijuana/Hashish during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.0	34.9	693	1	YES
14.0	5.7	113	2	NO
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.9	18	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1302-1303

C20A_DIS**C20A. DISCHARGE - MARIJUANA/HASHISH**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Marijuana/Hashish at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
18.3	7.4	147	1	YES
81.7	33.0	655	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	19	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1304-1305

C20B_ADM C20B. ADMISSION - COCAINE

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Cocaine at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
66.2	26.8	531	1	YES
33.8	13.7	271	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	19	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1306-1307

C20B_TRT C20B. DURING TRTMNT - COCAINE

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Cocaine during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
90.9	36.7	729	1	YES
9.1	3.7	73	2	NO
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1308-1309

C20B_DIS**C20B. DISCHARGE - COCAINE**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Cocaine at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
19.1	7.7	153	1	YES
80.9	32.6	647	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1310-1311

C20C_ADM**C20C. ADMISSION - HEROIN/OPIATES**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Heroin and Other Opiates at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
63.3	25.6	508	1	YES
36.7	14.9	295	2	NO
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	19	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1312-1313

C20C_TRT	C20C. DURING TRTMNT - HEROIN/OPIATES
-----------------	---

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Heroin and Other Opiates during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
82.3	33.3	661	1	YES
17.7	7.2	142	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1314-1315

C20C_DIS	C20C. DISCHARGE - HEROIN/OPIATES
-----------------	---

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Heroin and Other Opiates at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
17.0	6.9	136	1	YES
83.0	33.5	664	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1316-1317

C20D_ADM	C20D. ADMISSION - BARBITURATES
-----------------	---------------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Barbiturates at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
61.0	24.6	489	1	YES
39.0	15.7	312	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1318-1319

C20D_TRT	C20D. DURING TRTMNT - BARBITURATES
-----------------	---

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Barbiturates during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
80.7	32.6	647	1	YES
19.3	7.8	155	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1320-1321

C20D_DIS	C20D. DISCHARGE - BARBITURATES
-----------------	---------------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Barbiturates at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
15.7	6.3	125	1	YES
84.3	33.9	673	2	NO
	0.3	6	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1322-1323

C20E_ADM	C20E. ADMISSION - BENZODIAZEPINES
-----------------	--

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Benzodiazepines at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
60.6	24.4	485	1	YES
39.4	15.9	315	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1324-1325

C20E_TRT**C20E. DURING TRTMNT - BENZODIAZEPINES**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Benzodiazepines during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
78.0	31.4	623	1	YES
22.0	8.9	176	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.2	24	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1326-1327

C20E_DIS**C20E. DISCHARGE - BENZODIAZEPINES**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Benzodiazepines at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
15.8	6.3	126	1	YES
84.2	33.9	672	2	NO
	0.3	6	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1328-1329

C20F_ADM C20F. ADMISSION - AMPHETAMINES

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Amphetamines at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
61.7	24.9	494	1	YES
38.3	15.5	307	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	19	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1330-1331

C20F_TRT C20F. DURING TRTMNT - AMPHETAMINES

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Amphetamines during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
82.1	33.1	658	1	YES
17.9	7.2	143	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	22	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1332-1333

C20F_DIS**C20F. DISCHARGE - AMPHETAMINES**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Amphetamines at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
16.4	6.6	131	1	YES
83.6	33.7	668	2	NO
	0.3	6	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.0	20	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1334-1335

C20G_ADM**C20G. ADMISSION - PCP**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...PCP at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
45.4	18.2	361	1	YES
54.6	21.9	435	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.2	24	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1336-1337

C20G_TRT	C20G. DURING TRTMNT - PCP
-----------------	----------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...PCP during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
60.6	24.3	482	1	YES
39.4	15.8	313	2	NO
	0.2	3	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.4	27	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1338-1339

C20G_DIS	C20G. DISCHARGE - PCP
-----------------	------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...PCP at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
11.4	4.6	91	1	YES
88.6	35.5	705	2	NO
	0.4	7	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	22	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1340-1341

C20H_ADM**C20H. ADMISSION - LSD**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...LSD at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
36.9	14.8	293	1	YES
63.1	25.2	501	2	NO
	0.3	5	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.3	26	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1342-1343

C20H_TRT**C20H. DURING TRTMNT - LSD**

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...LSD during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
49.8	19.9	395	1	YES
50.2	20.1	398	2	NO
	0.1	2	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.5	30	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1344-1345

C20H_DIS	C20H. DISCHARGE - LSD
-----------------	------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...LSD at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
9.4	3.8	75	1	YES
90.6	36.4	723	2	NO
	0.3	6	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.1	21	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1346-1347

C20I_ADM	C20I. ADMISSION - OTHER
-----------------	--------------------------------

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified?
...Other (SPECIFY) at admission

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
24.6	9.8	195	1	YES
75.4	30.1	598	2	NO
	0.4	8	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.2	24	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1348-1349

C20I_TRT C20I. DURING TRTMNT - OTHER

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified? ...Other (SPECIFY) during treatment

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
32.7	13.1	260	1	YES
67.3	27.0	536	2	NO
	0.2	4	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.3	25	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1350-1351

C20I_DIS C20I. DISCHARGE - OTHER

During that 12-month time period, for which of the following drugs did you test at each of the times specified? ...Other (SPECIFY) at discharge

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
7.8	3.1	62	1	YES
92.2	36.9	732	2	NO
	0.4	7	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	1.2	24	-8	DON'T KNOW
	18.0	357	-5	INAP - SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1352-1353

C22_UNIT C22. FREQ/INTERVAL OF TESTING-UNIT

For clients tested during treatment, what was the usual frequency and interval?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
1.3	0.2	4	1	PER DAY
45.3	7.1	140	2	PER WEEK
50.8	7.9	157	3	PER MONTH
2.6	0.4	8	4	PER YEAR
	0.5	9	-8	DON'T KNOW
	43.5	864	-5	INAP-SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS DURING TX
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1358-1359

C23 C23. TESTING ADJUSTED FOR CLIENT NEED

For clients tested during treatment, was the interval of testing adjusted for client need?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
81.1	12.9	257	1	YES
18.9	3.0	60	2	NO
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	43.5	864	-5	INAP-SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS DURING TX
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1360-1361

C24 **C24. TESTING DONE RANDOMLY**

For clients tested during treatment, was the testing done randomly at a time unknown to the client?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
91.4	35.3	701	1	YES
8.6	3.3	66	2	NO
	0.3	6	-8	DON'T KNOW
	20.6	409	-5	INAP-SRV UNIT DID NOT CONDUCT TESTS DURING TX
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1362-1363

C25 **C25. OFFER PROGRAM FOR SPEC POPULTION**

During that 12-month time, other than for pregnant females, did this facility offer any specific programs for special populations? (E.g., programs for teens, IV drug users, polydrug users, crack users, etc.)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
53.8	31.5	626	1	YES
46.2	27.1	537	2	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1364-1365

C26**C26. PROGRAMS OFFERED SPECIFIED**

What programs for special populations were offered by this facility during that 12-month time period?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
100.0	31.5	625	1	PROGRAMS SPECIFIED
	0.1	1	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	28.0	556	-5	INAP - BLANK OR C25 NOT CODED 1
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1366-1367

C27A**C27A. OFFER MEDICAL CARE**

Does this facility offer any of the following services to treatment clients?

...Medical care (other than for substance abuse conditions)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
28.8	16.9	336	1	YES
52.0	30.6	607	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
19.2	11.3	224	3	NO
	0.6	11	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1368-1369

C27D**C27D. OFFER HOUSING SERVICES**

Does this facility offer any of the following services
to treatment clients?

...Housing services

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
11.4	6.7	133	1	YES
51.1	30.0	596	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
37.5	22.0	437	3	NO
	0.6	11	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	2	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1374-1375

C27E**C27E. OFFER VOCATIONAL EDUCATION**

Does this facility offer any of the following services
to treatment clients?

...Vocational education

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
19.9	11.7	233	1	YES
60.4	35.5	705	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
19.7	11.6	230	3	NO
	0.5	10	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1376-1377

C27H**C27H. OFFER FAMILY/FRIENDS COUNSELING**

Does this facility offer any of the following services
to treatment clients?

...Family/friends counseling

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.6	52.1	1,034	1	YES
7.2	4.2	84	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
4.2	2.5	49	3	NO
	0.6	11	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.1	1	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1382-1383

C27I**C27I. OFFER AFTER CARE**

Does this facility offer any of the following services
to treatment clients?

...After care

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
84.0	49.5	982	1	YES
11.5	6.8	135	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
4.4	2.6	52	3	NO
	0.5	10	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1384-1385

C27L**C27L. OFFER OTHER SERVICES**

Does this facility offer any of the following services
to treatment clients?

...Other (SPECIFY)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
23.3	13.6	269	1	YES
3.8	2.2	44	2	YES, BY REFERRAL
72.9	42.5	843	3	NO
	0.7	13	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	0.5	10	-8	DON'T KNOW
	0.2	3	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1390-1391

SECTION D - 12 MONTH FACILITY FINANCIAL DATA

D1_BOX D1. ALCOHOL ONLY BOX CHECKED

Do not include clients categorized as Alcohol Only.
(If respondent cannot exclude Alcohol Only clients, check here .)

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
47.1	28.1	557	0	BLANK, IMPLICITLY CAN EXCLUDE ALCOHOL CLIENTS
52.9	31.5	625	1	ALCOHOL ONLY CLIENTS COULD NOT BE EXCLUDED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1392-1393

HIDD_D1 D1A. HSP INPT DRG DETOX - COSTS

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Detoxification

Min	=	0	Mean	=	648,586.556
Max	=	3,214,133	Std Dev	=	776,424.031
Median	=	327,691.5	Variance	=	602,834,275,448.704

(Based on 54 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1394-1400

HIDF_D1**D1A. HSP INPT DRG FREE - COSTS**

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...HOSPITAL INPATIENT Drug Free

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1,591,007.000
Max	=	16,218,301	Std Dev	=	2,639,370.950
Median	=	915,188	Variance	=	6,966,279,010,694.789

(Based on 39 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1401-1408

RSDD_D1**D1B. RESIDENTIAL DRUG DETOX - COSTS**

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Detoxification

Min	=	1,700	Mean	=	318,593.038
Max	=	1,806,750	Std Dev	=	333,221.042
Median	=	219,480.5	Variance	=	111,036,262,967.410

(Based on 52 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1409-1415

RSDF_D1	D1B. RESIDENTIAL DRUG FREE - COSTS
----------------	---

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...RESIDENTIAL Drug Free

Min	=	80	Mean	=	584,678.723
Max	=	6,763,843	Std Dev	=	912,142.917
Median	=	313,751.5	Variance	=	832,004,701,255.349

(Based on 238 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1416-1422

OPDD_D1	D1C. OUTPT DRUG DETOX - COSTS
----------------	--------------------------------------

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...OUTPATIENT Drug Detoxification

Min	=	0	Mean	=	87,429.720
Max	=	319,736	Std Dev	=	93,040.330
Median	=	63,230	Variance	=	8,656,502,923.627

(Based on 25 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1423-1428

OPDM_D1**D1C. OUTPT DRUG MAINT - COSTS**

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...OUTPATIENT Drug Maintenance

Min	=	1,748	Mean	=	1,218,553.395
Max	=	23,000,000	Std Dev	=	3,550,708.892
Median	=	345,744	Variance	=	12,607,533,633,860.436

(Based on 43 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1429-1436

OPDF_D1**D1C. OUTPT DRUG FREE - COSTS**

What were your total drug treatment costs (i.e., how much did your facility spend to provide drug treatment) for each modality indicated in question A6 on page 2?
...OUTPATIENT Drug Free

Min	=	0	Mean	=	195,372.196
Max	=	3,523,071	Std Dev	=	284,197.300
Median	=	110,000	Variance	=	80,768,105,213.379

(Based on 489 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1437-1443

FLAG17_A **TOTAL_D1 IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
90.0	53.6	1,064	0	VARIABLE WAS NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED USING THE HOT DECK METHOD WITH
0.0	0.0	0	2	VARIABLE WAS MANUALLY ASSIGNED BASED ON OTHER
0.0	0.0	0	3	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED USING A STRAIGHT HOT DECK METHOD
0.0	0.0	0	4	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM NDATEUS EITHER USING A FACTOR
0.0	0.0	0	5	VARIABLE WAS ASSIGNED AS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A TOTAL AND
0.0	0.0	0	6	A TOTAL OR SUBTOTAL WAS RECALCULATED BECAUSE THE SUM OF
0.0	0.0	0	7	A TOTAL WAS ASSIGNED THE SUMMING OF ALL THE PARTS, IF THEY
10.0	5.9	118	8	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM B1 TOTAL ACTUAL BASED ON THE
0.0	0.0	0	9	VARIABLE WAS IMPUTED FROM C1 COLUMN A TOTAL BASED ON
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1455-1456

D3 **D3. PROGRAM CERTIFIED BY MEDICAID**

During the 12-month time period indicated in "FINANCIAL/COST REPORTING PERIOD" above, was this drug treatment program certified by Medicaid?

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
35.0	18.8	374	1	YES
65.0	35.1	696	2	NO
	0.8	16	-9	NOT ASCERTAINED
	3.5	70	-8	DON'T KNOW
	1.3	26	-7	REFUSED
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1457-1458

D4**D4. TOTAL \$ RECEIVED FROM MEDICAID**

During that 12-month time period, what was the total dollar amount this facility received from Medicaid for drug treatment?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	166,048.340
Max	=	12,000,000	Std Dev	=	780,907.035
Median	=	12,985	Variance	=	609,815,796,818.618

(Based on 291 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1459-1466

D5**D5. # CLIENTS REC'D \$ FROM MEDICAID**

During that 12-month time period, for how many drug treatment clients did the facility receive money from Medicaid?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	105.303
Max	=	4,000	Std Dev	=	321.628
Median	=	23	Variance	=	103,444.303

(Based on 221 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1467-1470

D6**D6. TOTAL REVENUES**

During that 12-month time period, what were the total drug treatment revenues or income for this facility?

Min	=	0	Mean	=	678,807.983
Max	=	82,981,230	Std Dev	=	2,865,424.940
Median	=	202,621	Variance	=	8,210,660,083,914.128

(Based on 1,057 valid cases)

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1471-1479

D7A**D7A. % INCOME FROM ADAMHA FUNDS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...ADAMHA Block Grant funds

Min	=	0	Mean	=	9.063
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	21.066
Median	=	0	Variance	=	443.760

(Based on 1,169 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1480-1482

D7B**D7B. % INCOME FROM OTH ST ALC/DRG FUNDS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Other State Alcohol - Drug Agency funds

Min	=	0	Mean	=	23.316
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	33.004
Median	=	0	Variance	=	1,089.250

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1483-1485

D7C**D7C. % INCOME FROM OTHER ST FUNDS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Other state funds (not Medicaid)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	7.041
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	19.757
Median	=	0	Variance	=	390.344

(Based on 1,170 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1486-1488

D7D**D7D. % INCOME FROM LOCAL FUNDS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Local funds

Min	=	0	Mean	=	7.655
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	18.032
Median	=	0	Variance	=	325.139

(Based on 1,171 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1489-1491

D7E**D7E. % INCOME FROM CLIENT FEES**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Client fees (self payment)

Min	=	0	Mean	=	15.336
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	22.265
Median	=	7	Variance	=	495.732

(Based on 1,168 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1492-1494

D7F**D7F. % INCOME FROM PREPAID PLANS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...HMO payments and other prepaid plans

Min	=	0	Mean	=	3.698
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	11.792
Median	=	0	Variance	=	139.045

(Based on 1,169 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1495-1497

D7G**D7G. % INCOME FROM OTHER PRIVATE INS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Other private health insurance

Min	=	0	Mean	=	16.891
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	26.626
Median	=	1	Variance	=	708.948

(Based on 1,167 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1498-1500

D7H**D7H. % INCOME FROM MEDICAID**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Medicaid

Min	=	0	Mean	=	5.080
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	14.228
Median	=	0	Variance	=	202.438

(Based on 1,171 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1501-1503

D7I**D7I. % INCOME FROM MEDICARE**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Medicare

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.702
Max	=	90	Std Dev	=	6.641
Median	=	0	Variance	=	44.107

(Based on 1,170 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1504-1505

D7J**D7J. % INCOME FROM CHAMPUS**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...CHAMPUS

Min	=	0	Mean	=	.523
Max	=	32	Std Dev	=	2.434
Median	=	0	Variance	=	5.923

(Based on 1,170 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1506-1507

D7K**D7K. % INCOME FROM PHILANTHROPY**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Philanthropy

Min	=	0	Mean	=	1.357
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	6.752
Median	=	0	Variance	=	45.592

(Based on 1,171 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1508-1510

D7L**D7L. % INCOME FROM OTHER SOURCES**

During that 12-month time period, what percent of this facility's drug treatment revenues or income came from the following sources?

...Other sources

Min	=	0	Mean	=	8.363
Max	=	100	Std Dev	=	22.541
Median	=	0	Variance	=	508.088

(Based on 1,171 valid cases)

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1511-1513

FLAG18_A **D6 IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.9	52.9	1,051	0	NOT IMPUTED
0.0	0.0	0	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.0	0.0	0	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
7.1	4.2	84	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
4.0	2.4	47	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1516-1517

FLAG18_B **D7A TOTAL IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
87.7	52.2	1,037	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.5	3.3	65	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.7	4.0	79	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1518-1519

FLAG18_C	D7B IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
87.6	52.1	1,035	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.9	4.1	82	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1520-1521

FLAG18_D	D7C IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.2	52.5	1,043	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.3	3.7	74	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1522-1523

FLAG18_E **D7D IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.3	52.6	1,044	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.4	3.2	64	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.2	3.7	73	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1524-1525

FLAG18_F **D7E IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.0	52.4	1,040	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.5	3.3	65	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.3	3.8	75	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1526-1527

FLAG18_G	D7F IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
87.4	52.0	1,033	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.5	3.3	65	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
7.0	4.2	83	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1528-1529

FLAG18_H	D7G IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
86.8	51.7	1,026	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.8	3.4	68	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
7.3	4.3	86	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1530-1531

FLAG18_I D7H IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.2	52.5	1,043	0	NOT IMPUTED
4.6	2.7	54	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
3.0	1.8	36	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
4.1	2.5	49	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1532-1533

FLAG18_J D7I IMPUTATION FLAG

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.2	52.5	1,042	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.3	3.7	74	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.1	0.1	1	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
Columns: 1534-1535

FLAG18_K	D7J IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.2	52.5	1,042	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.2	0.1	2	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
6.3	3.8	75	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1536-1537

FLAG18_L	D7K IMPUTATION FLAG
-----------------	----------------------------

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.8	52.9	1,050	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
5.8	3.4	68	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATAUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERVIDED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1
 Columns: 1538-1539

FLAG18_M**D7L IMPUTATION FLAG**

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
88.7	52.8	1,049	0	NOT IMPUTED
5.3	3.2	63	1	HOT DECK - PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNMENT
0.1	0.1	1	2	MANUAL RECALCULATION BASED ON VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	3	HOT DECK - TRADITIONAL SUBSTITUTION
5.8	3.5	69	4	IMPUTED FROM NDATUS
0.0	0.0	0	5	IMPUTED BASED ON OTHER VARS IN SAME REC
0.0	0.0	0	6	TOTAL/SUBTOTAL WAS RECALC B/C OF IMPUTATION
0.0	0.0	0	7	TOTAL WAS DERIVED FROM NON-MISSING SUB-PARTS
0.0	0.0	0	8	B1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
0.0	0.0	0	9	C1 RATIO IMPUTATION BASED ON DONOR PATTERN
	40.5	803	-4	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1

Columns: 1540-1541

SECTION E - WEIGHTS

NFINWT0 FIN NONRESPONS ADJUSTED SELECTION WGT

FACILITY WEIGHT; ADJUSTS SURVEY RESPONSES FOR SAMPLING RATES
USED FOR DIFFERENT STRATA.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.4	3.2	64	4.302233	
11.7	7.0	138	4.349694	
15.2	9.1	180	5.460817	
29.7	17.7	351	5.936851	
7.5	4.5	89	7.574532	
25.2	15.0	298	7.836736	
1.3	0.8	15	8.604466	
0.4	0.3	5	10.921634	
1.8	1.1	21	11.873702	
0.2	0.1	2	15.149065	
1.4	0.9	17	15.673471	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1542-1550

RPWT1

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 1

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.6	17.0	338	6.140250	
7.2	4.3	85	7.881801	
24.5	14.6	290	8.117739	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.7	1.0	20	12.280499	
0.2	0.1	2	15.763602	
1.4	0.9	17	16.235479	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1551-1559

RPWT2	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 2
--------------	-------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.469606	
11.3	6.7	133	4.518236	
14.6	8.7	172	5.657944	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.5	14.6	289	8.058631	
1.2	0.7	14	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.315888	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.117262	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1560-1568

RPWT3

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 3

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	35	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.3	3.2	63	4.420489	
11.3	6.8	134	4.454621	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.8	17.1	340	6.165284	
7.4	4.4	88	7.698813	
24.5	14.6	289	8.121753	
1.2	0.7	14	8.840978	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.7	1.0	20	12.330568	
0.2	0.1	2	15.397626	
1.4	0.9	17	16.243507	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1569-1577

RPWT4	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 4
--------------	-------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.3	3.2	63	4.455188	
11.3	6.8	134	4.484518	
14.6	8.7	172	5.657944	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.0	4.2	83	7.906429	
24.5	14.6	289	8.121753	
1.3	0.8	15	8.910375	
0.4	0.3	5	11.315888	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.2	0.1	2	15.812858	
1.4	0.9	17	16.243507	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1578-1586

RPWT5

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 5

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.2	1.9	38	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.416165	
11.3	6.8	134	4.514415	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.6	17.0	338	6.154663	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.5	14.6	290	8.054811	
1.3	0.8	15	8.832329	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.7	1.0	20	12.309327	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.109622	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1587-1595

RPWT6	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 6
--------------	-------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.8	2.3	45	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.6	17.0	338	6.165284	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
23.9	14.3	283	8.146367	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.8	1.1	21	12.330568	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.292734	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1596-1604

RPWT7

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 7

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	36	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.1	3.0	60	4.469606	
11.3	6.8	134	4.484518	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.9	17.2	342	6.129439	
7.4	4.4	87	7.858256	
24.5	14.6	289	8.108684	
1.3	0.8	15	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.8	1.1	21	12.258879	
0.2	0.1	2	15.716511	
1.4	0.8	16	16.217369	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1605-1613

RPWT9

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 9

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.2	1.9	38	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.3	3.2	63	4.411933	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.7	8.8	174	5.626056	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.0	4.2	83	7.906429	
24.5	14.6	290	8.012859	
1.3	0.8	15	8.823867	
0.4	0.3	5	11.252111	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.2	0.1	2	15.812858	
1.4	0.9	17	16.025718	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1623-1631

RPWT10	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 10
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.420489	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.9	8.9	176	5.626056	
28.8	17.1	340	6.150946	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.5	14.6	290	8.142200	
1.3	0.8	15	8.840978	
0.3	0.2	4	11.252111	
1.7	1.0	20	12.301892	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.2	0.7	14	16.284401	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1632-1640

RPWT11

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 11

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	36	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.474628	
11.3	6.8	134	4.484518	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.5	4.5	89	7.708575	
24.5	14.6	290	8.125792	
1.2	0.7	14	8.949255	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.1	0.1	1	15.417149	
1.4	0.8	16	16.251584	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1641-1649

RPWT12	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 12
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.1	1.9	37	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.420489	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.7	8.8	174	5.626056	
28.8	17.2	341	6.131218	
7.2	4.3	85	7.805279	
24.6	14.7	291	8.058631	
1.3	0.8	15	8.840978	
0.4	0.3	5	11.252111	
1.8	1.1	21	12.262435	
0.2	0.1	2	15.610557	
1.4	0.8	16	16.117262	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1650-1658

RPWT13

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 13

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.5	2.1	41	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.469606	
11.3	6.8	134	4.484518	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.8	17.2	341	6.134802	
7.3	4.3	86	7.794225	
24.2	14.4	286	8.146367	
1.2	0.7	14	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.7	1.0	20	12.269604	
0.2	0.1	2	15.588451	
1.4	0.8	16	16.292734	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1659-1667

RPWT14	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 14
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	35	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.6	6.9	137	4.503286	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.8	17.1	340	6.136608	
7.5	4.5	89	7.616030	
24.1	14.4	285	8.116756	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.7	1.0	20	12.273217	
0.2	0.1	2	15.232060	
1.4	0.9	17	16.233512	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1668-1676

RPWT15

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 15

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	36	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.479764	
11.3	6.7	133	4.518236	
14.8	8.8	175	5.625095	
29.0	17.3	343	6.120502	
7.4	4.4	87	7.858256	
24.4	14.5	288	8.125792	
1.1	0.7	13	8.959528	
0.4	0.3	5	11.250190	
1.6	1.0	19	12.241004	
0.2	0.1	2	15.716511	
1.4	0.9	17	16.251584	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1677-1685

RPWT16	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 16
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.6	2.2	43	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.372440	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.8	17.1	340	6.150946	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.0	14.3	284	8.142200	
1.3	0.8	15	8.744881	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.7	1.0	20	12.301892	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.284401	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1686-1694

RPWT17

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 17

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.2	1.9	38	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.469606	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.5	14.6	289	8.100713	
1.2	0.7	14	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.201425	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1695-1703

RPWT18	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 18
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.2	1.9	38	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.8	8.8	175	5.654546	
28.5	17.0	337	6.124049	
7.4	4.4	88	7.846867	
24.5	14.6	289	8.087513	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.309092	
1.8	1.1	21	12.248097	
0.2	0.1	2	15.693734	
1.4	0.8	16	16.175026	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1704-1712

RPWT19

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 19

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.6	2.2	43	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.4	3.2	64	4.424910	
11.3	6.8	134	4.454621	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.7	17.1	339	6.167176	
7.1	4.2	84	7.893975	
24.2	14.4	286	8.120830	
1.1	0.7	13	8.849819	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.7	1.0	20	12.334351	
0.2	0.1	2	15.787950	
1.4	0.8	16	16.241660	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1713-1721

RPWT20	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 20
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.6	2.1	42	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.3	3.2	63	4.464694	
11.3	6.7	133	4.518236	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.8	17.1	340	6.104480	
7.3	4.3	86	7.794225	
23.9	14.3	283	8.103491	
1.2	0.7	14	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.8	1.1	21	12.208959	
0.2	0.1	2	15.588451	
1.4	0.9	17	16.206983	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1722-1730

RPWT21

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 21

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
11.3	6.8	134	4.454621	
5.3	3.2	63	4.464694	
14.7	8.8	174	5.655666	
28.8	17.1	340	6.143930	
7.1	4.2	84	7.893975	
24.6	14.7	291	8.087513	
1.2	0.7	14	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.311333	
1.5	0.9	18	12.287860	
0.2	0.1	2	15.787950	
1.3	0.8	15	16.175026	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1731-1739

RPWT22	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 22
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.6	2.1	42	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.459889	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.8	8.8	175	5.654546	
28.6	17.0	338	6.140250	
7.1	4.2	84	7.893975	
24.4	14.5	288	8.125792	
1.3	0.8	15	8.919778	
0.4	0.3	5	11.309092	
1.7	1.0	20	12.280499	
0.2	0.1	2	15.787950	
1.4	0.9	17	16.251584	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1740-1748

RPWT23

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 23

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.3	2.0	39	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.8	134	4.514415	
15.0	8.9	177	5.627026	
28.5	17.0	337	6.138424	
7.4	4.4	87	7.783415	
24.4	14.5	288	8.048995	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.3	0.2	3	11.254053	
1.8	1.1	21	12.276849	
0.2	0.1	2	15.566830	
1.4	0.8	16	16.097991	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1749-1757

RPWT24	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 24
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.5	2.1	41	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.469606	
11.3	6.7	133	4.488115	
14.7	8.8	174	5.626056	
28.7	17.1	339	6.142085	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.4	14.5	288	8.125792	
1.2	0.7	14	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.252111	
1.6	1.0	19	12.284170	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.251584	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1758-1766

RPWT25

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 25

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.3	2.0	39	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.7	8.8	174	5.626056	
28.6	17.0	338	6.169077	
7.4	4.4	87	7.708575	
24.5	14.6	290	8.096763	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.252111	
1.7	1.0	20	12.338154	
0.2	0.1	2	15.417149	
1.4	0.9	17	16.193527	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1767-1775

RPWT26	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 26
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.4	2.0	40	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.8	134	4.514415	
14.7	8.8	174	5.657944	
28.6	17.0	338	6.154663	
7.2	4.3	85	7.881801	
24.6	14.7	291	8.079672	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.3	0.2	4	11.315888	
1.7	1.0	20	12.309327	
0.2	0.1	2	15.763602	
1.4	0.8	16	16.159343	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1776-1784

RPWT27

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 27

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.8	2.3	45	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	62	4.469606	
11.2	6.6	132	4.522116	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.7	17.1	339	6.134802	
7.3	4.3	86	7.893975	
24.2	14.4	286	8.120830	
1.2	0.7	14	8.939211	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.8	1.1	21	12.269604	
0.1	0.1	1	15.787950	
1.4	0.8	16	16.241660	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1785-1793

RPWT28	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 28
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	35	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.3	3.2	63	4.420489	
11.5	6.9	136	4.477484	
14.8	8.8	175	5.654546	
28.7	17.1	339	6.120502	
7.4	4.4	87	7.783415	
24.3	14.5	287	8.159364	
1.2	0.7	14	8.840978	
0.4	0.3	5	11.309092	
1.8	1.1	21	12.241004	
0.2	0.1	2	15.566830	
1.4	0.8	16	16.318728	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1794-1802

RPWT29

PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 29

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING
ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.6	2.2	43	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.2	3.1	61	4.464694	
11.3	6.8	134	4.514415	
14.6	8.7	173	5.656799	
28.7	17.1	339	6.142085	
7.3	4.3	86	7.869898	
24.2	14.4	286	8.091470	
1.3	0.8	15	8.929388	
0.4	0.3	5	11.313598	
1.6	1.0	19	12.284170	
0.2	0.1	2	15.739795	
1.4	0.9	17	16.182941	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric

Decimals: 6

Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000

Columns: 1803-1811

RPWT30	PHASE 1 - REPLICATE WEIGHT 30
---------------	--------------------------------------

REPLICATE FACILITY WEIGHT USED IN CALCULATING SAMPLING ERRORS.

PCT	PCT	N	VALUE	LABEL
VALID	ALL			
3.0	1.8	36	0.000000	
0.2	0.1	2	1.000000	
5.4	3.2	64	4.459889	
11.3	6.7	133	4.518236	
14.8	8.8	175	5.656799	
28.7	17.1	339	6.167176	
7.4	4.4	87	7.858256	
24.5	14.6	290	8.104686	
1.2	0.7	14	8.919778	
0.3	0.2	4	11.313598	
1.7	1.0	20	12.334351	
0.2	0.1	2	15.716511	
1.4	0.8	16	16.209372	
	40.5	803	-4.000000	NOT MASTER FACILITY
-----	-----	-----		
100.0	100.0	1,985	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Decimals: 6
 Missing-data codes: lowest thru -1.000000
 Columns: 1812-1820

APPENDIX A
PHASE I - FACILITY WEIGHTS

A1. Base Weights

Typically, the base weight attached to a sample unit from any sample design is the reciprocal of the probability of selection for that unit. The base weights were computed in three stages to account for the three stages of sample selection. The following three sections include discussions of the three stages of sample selection.

A.1.1 First Stage of Sample Selection

In the first stage of selection, facilities were sampled within each of six strata based on a set of pre-specified sampling rates. A sample of about 2,486 facilities was selected to provide about 1,000 eligible cooperating facilities.

The first stage weight for facility j in stratum i was calculated as the inverse of the probability of selection for that facility, and is denoted by:

$$W_{1ij} = \frac{1}{P_{ij}}$$

where

W_{1ij} = the first stage weight associated with the j th facility in the i th stratum

P_{ij} = the probability of selecting the j th facility in the i th stratum

i = 1, 2, ..., 6

j = 1, 2, ..., n_i

and

n_i = the number of facilities selected in the i th stratum.

Table A-1 shows the sampling rates used within each stratum and the number of facilities sampled prior to subsampling the facilities in common with the ISR survey. Note the addition of a seventh stratum. There were two facilities which warranted special attention given their extreme size. One was the largest treatment facility of its kind in the country, and the other was a reporting unit for a state prison system. After analyzing information in both DSRS and NDATUS, we determined that these two facilities were the only ones of their kind (in terms of type and size) and should therefore be self-representing. The two facilities were initially selected from strata 3 and 6, respectively, and were therefore initially assigned the weights associated with those strata. The weights were both changed to 1.0 to reflect self-representation, and the two facilities were assigned exclusively to a seventh stratum. The weights for facilities in strata 3 and 6 were adjusted accordingly.

Table A-1. Distribution of number of facilities selected (prior to subsampling those in common with the ISR Survey), sampling rates, and the first stage weights by strata.

Stratum	Sampling rate (P_{ij})	Number of facilities selected	First stage weights
1. Hospital Inpatient	0.340	239	2.941
2. Residential	0.250	293	4.000
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	0.339	158	2.953
4. Outpatient Drug Free	0.250	735	4.000
5. Alcohol Only	0.200	250	5.000
6. Unknown	0.199	809	5.004
7. Self Representing	1.000	2	1.000
Total		2,486	

A.1.2 Second Stage of Sample Selection

In the second stage, those facilities in common with the ISR survey were subsampled at a rate of 1/2 to reduce the overlap between the two surveys.

The second stage weight for facility j in stratum i was calculated as the product of the first stage weight and the inverse of the probability of selection as the result of subsampling due to the ISR survey, and is denoted by:

$$W_{2ij} = W_{1ij} * \frac{1}{(P_{oij} | P_{ij})}$$

where

- W_{2ij} = the second stage weight associated with the j th facility in the i th stratum
- $P_{oij} | P_{ij}$ = 1 if the j th facility in the i th stratum was not subsampled given that it was selected in the sample
- = 1/2 if the j th facility in the i th stratum was subsampled and retained given that it was selected in the sample
- = 0 if the j th facility in the i th stratum was subsampled and excluded given that it was selected in the sample

W_{1ij} , P_{ij} , i , and j are as defined in section 1.1.

Table A-2 shows the number of facilities that were retained in the sample after subsampling was carried out at this stage, and the second stage weights.

Table A-2. Distribution of the number of facilities in the NIDA sample by subsampling status within strata (after eliminating one half of the facilities in common with the ISR survey).

Stratum	Facilities not subsampled		Facilities subsampled (due to the ISR survey)		Total no. of facilities
	Frequency	2nd stage weight	Frequency	2nd stage weight	
1. Hospital Inpatient	233	2.941	3	5.882	236
2. Residential	277	4	8	8	285
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	112	2.953	23	5.906	135
4. Outpatient Drug Free	651	4	42	8	693
5. Alcohol Only	240	5	5	10	245
6. Unknown	747	5.004	31	10.008	778
7. Self Representing	2	1	0	-	2
Total	2,262		112		2,374

A.13 Third Stage of Sample Selection

The sample of 2,374 facilities (as given in Table A-2) was randomly divided into two equal half-samples. Each half-sample was further sub-divided into five waves consisting of about 665, 190, 140, 140, and 50 facilities. For the first half-sample, the first four waves were released. For the second half-sample, only the first wave was released. The selection probability for each unit depends on the number of waves which were released and worked in each half-sample. That is, the third stage of weighting involved adjusting the base weights to account for the number of waves released for each half-sample. The weight computed for the third stage of selection was equal to the base weight. A description of the base weights is given in the following section.

A.1.4 Base Weights

The base weight for facility j in stratum i was calculated as the product of the second stage weight and the weight computed for the third stage of sample selection, and is denoted by:

$$W_{Bij} = W_{1ij} * \frac{1}{h}$$

or

$$= \frac{1}{(P_{ij} \cdot P_{oij} | P_{ij}) (h)}$$

where

W_{Bij} = the base weight associated with the j th facility in the i th stratum

h = proportion of the sample that was worked in the half-samples based on the number of subsamples released

P_{ij} , $P_{oij} | P_{ij}$, i , and j are as defined in Section A.1.1.

A total of 1,803 facilities (out of 2,374) were released for screening. **Table A-3** shows the base weights for the facilities in the released sample.

Table A-3. Distribution of base weights for the screened facilities in the sample.

Stratum	Facilities not subsampled		Facilities subsampled (due to the ISR survey)		Total no. of facilities
	Frequency	Base weight	Frequency	Base weight	
1. Hospital Inpatient	177	3.873	2	7.745	179
2. Residential	210	5.267	6	10.534	216
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	84	3.889	18	7.777	102
4. Outpatient Drug Free	500	5.267	26	10.534	526
5. Alcohol Only	182	6.584	5	13.167	187
6. Unknown	568	6.590	23	13.180	591
7. Self Representing	2	1.000	0	-	2
Total	1,723		80		1,803

Some of the sampled facilities were determined to be ineligible for the survey during the screening process. Specifically, 1,531 facilities were screened as eligibles, 256 facilities were ineligible, and 16 facilities refused to complete the screener. The ineligible facilities were excluded from the remainder of the steps involved in the weighting process. The exclusion of the ineligibles resulted in the aggregate of the base weights for eligible facilities to be an estimate of the total number of eligible facilities in the target population (assuming that the refusals were also eligible for the survey). That is,

$$\sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij} = \sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij1} + \sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij2}$$

where

W_{Bij1} = the base weight for an eligible facility j in stratum i

W_{Bij2} = the base weight for an ineligible facility j in stratum i.

Note that

$\sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij1}$ = estimated total number of eligible facilities in the sampling frame

$\sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij2}$ = estimated total number of ineligible facilities in the sampling frame

and

$\sum_i \sum_j W_{Bij}$ = estimated total number of facilities in the sampling frame.

A.2 Final Weights

Nonresponse may vary by population subgroups and type of facility and thus, tends to distort the distribution of the sample. That is, survey estimates of means and proportions may be biased if facilities that were identified and did not cooperate are different with respect to the characteristics of interest from those that responded. Nonresponse adjustment compares the original sample selected with those that responded and tries to adjust for those that did not respond. Furthermore, estimates of total populations will be underestimated unless some allowance is made for nonrespondents. The allowance will be made by upward adjustment to the base weights for responding facilities to account for those facilities that did not respond.

The facilities in the sample were mainly divided into the following groups:

1. Facilities that were determined to be ineligible at the screening phase,
2. Facilities that completed the screener and were determined to be ineligible at the questionnaire phase,
3. Facilities that refused to participate in the survey at the screening phase,
4. Facilities that completed the screener but refused to respond to the questionnaire,
5. Facilities that were not reached even after the maximum number of contacts were made, and
6. Facilities that completed, or partially completed, the questionnaire.

The ineligible facilities, described in items (1) and (2) above, were excluded from the nonresponse adjustment computations. The eligibility status of the facilities in items (3), (4), and (5) were unknown at the conclusion of the survey. **Table A-4** shows the distribution of the sampled facilities by eligibility status.

Table A-4. Distribution of the eligible respondents, refusals, and "maximum contact" facilities by sampling strata

Stratum	Screener		Questionnaire			
	Eligible respondents	Refusals	Eligible respondents	Exclusions (ineligibles & duplicates)	Unknown eligibility	
					Refusals	Others
1. Hospital Inpatient	172	1	138	6	15	13
2. Residential	203	1	185	1	6	11
3. Outpatient Detox/ Maintenance	98	1	79	6	9	4
4. Outpatient Drug Free	467	4	372	18	45	32
5. Alcohol Only	135	2	91	21	12	11
6. Unknown	454	7	316	37	54	47
7. Self Representing	2	0	2	0	0	0
Total	1,531	16	1,183	89	141	118

For the production of nonresponse adjustments, we assumed that refusals, both at the screener and at the questionnaire phase, were eligible facilities. Those with unknown eligibility status were assumed to be ineligible for the survey. This approach was about the same as assuming an eligibility rate of about 55 percent among facilities with unknown eligibility status.

The final weight for facility j in stratum i was given by

$$W_{Fij} = W_{Bij} * \frac{\sum_{(Ai)} W_{Bij}}{\sum_{(Bi)} W_{Bij}}$$

where W_{Fij} = the final weight for facility j in stratum i , $\sum_{(Ai)}$ is the sum of all eligible facilities in stratum i , and $\sum_{(Bi)}$ is the sum over those facilities that responded in stratum i . **Table A-5** provides the nonresponse adjustments applied to the NIDA sample and **Table A-6** provides the final weights.

Table A-5. Distribution of nonresponse adjustments for the NIDA drug treatment sample.

Stratum	Eligible respondents		Expected eligibles in the sample		Nonresponse adjustment $\frac{\sum W_{Bij}}{\sum W_{Bij}}$
	Frequency	Total weights $\sum W_{Bij}$ (Bi)	Frequency	Total weights $\sum W_{Bij}$ (Ai)	
1. Hospital Inpatient	138	534.42	152	600.26	1.123
2. Residential	185	1000.69	192	1037.56	1.037
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	79	365.52	89	404.41	1.106
4. Outpatient Drug Free	372	2069.84	421	2333.18	1.127
5. Alcohol Only	91	612.26	105	704.43	1.151
6. Unknown	316	2194.46	377	2609.63	1.189
7. Self Representing	2	2.00	2	2.00	1.000
Total	1183	6784.00	1340	7695.69	

Table A-6. Distribution of final weights for the respondent facilities in the NIDA drug treatment sample.

Stratum	Facilities not subsampled		Facilities subsampled (due to the ISR survey)		Total no. of facilities
	Frequency	Final weight	Frequency	Final weight	
1. Hospital Inpatient	138	4.35	0	-	138
2. Residential	180	5.46	5	10.92	185
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	64	4.30	15	8.60	79
4. Outpatient Drug Free	351	5.94	21	11.87	372
5. Alcohol Only	89	7.57	2	15.15	91
6. Unknown	299	7.84	17	15.67	316
7. Self Representing	2	1.00	0	-	2
Total	1,123		60		1,183

APPENDIX B
PHASE II - ADMINISTRATOR AND CLIENT RECORD WEIGHTS

Phase II (site visits) of the NIDA drug treatment survey included data collection for two separate samples: 1) the facility administrator sample and 2) a sample of discharged client records selected within the visited facilities. We therefore produced two sets of weights, one set of weights for the estimation of characteristics of the visited facilities and another set for estimation of characteristics of discharged client records. Sampling weights were computed based on the specifications described in the following sections.

B.1. Administrator Weights

A subsample of facilities was preselected to provide about 120 visitation facilities with about equal samples from the four treatment modality strata, that is, 30 from each modality. **Table B-1** provides the number of preselected facilities for visitation, and the number of facilities that participated in Phase I of the survey. These facilities were sampled from the first four sampling strata, waves one through three of the first half-sample.

Table B-1. Number of preselected facilities for visitation sample and number of facilities that participated in Phase I of the survey.

Sampling Strata	No. of preselected facilities for the visitation sample	No. of facilities in the visitation sample
1. Hospital Inpatient	90	73
2. Residential	60	53
3. Outpatient Detox/Maint.	57	45
4. Outpatient Drug Free	87	62
5. Alcohol Only	0	0
6. Unknown	0	0

The sample facilities given in **Table B-1** were preselected to provide the required number of visitation facilities based on the nonresponse rates observed for the pilot study.

However, nonresponse rates for the main study were different than those observed in the pilot study. The study design required about 30 completed interviews within each of the four strata. With the main study response rates, it was expected that the above sample would produce many more than 30 completed interviews per stratum. Therefore, the sample of preselected facilities for visitation was divided into sampling waves (by introducing another stage of sampling) to achieve a sample that provided the required number of visitation facilities within each stratum. Different waves were released for different strata depending on the response rate observed within each strata.

The base weight for the j th administrator in the i th stratum was computed as

$$W_{v1ij} = W_{Bij} * \frac{1}{P_{vij}}$$

where

W_{Bij} = the base weight associated with the j th facility in the i th stratum

P_{vij} = the probability that the j th facility in the i th stratum was selected for visitation

P_{vij} includes the probability of selecting the j th facility from the main sample including the number of waves released for visitation.

The final administrator weights included nonresponse adjustments by stratum similar to the main facility sample. Adjustments were made for those facilities that responded to the main sample but did not participate in the administrator survey. The final nonresponse adjusted administrator weight was computed as

$$W_{v2ij} = W_{v1ij} * \frac{\sum_{(A'C)} W_{1vij}}{\sum_{(B'C)} W_{1vij}}$$

where Σ is the sum over those facilities that were selected for visitation (and part of the waves that were released for interview) and were eligible for the main sample, and Σ is the sum over those that responded to the administrator survey.

As noted earlier, the visitation facilities were preselected from sampling strata 1 through 4 to satisfy the tight time schedule planned for data collection. As a result, the total sampling weights for the visitation facilities is equal to an estimate of the total number of facilities in sampling strata 1 to 4, rather than the total number of eligible facilities in the targeted universe (including eligible facilities in sampling strata 5 and 6).

B.2 Sample Weights for Client Records

Note that the final sampling weights given in the above equation are at the facility level, that is, they can be used to estimate facility characteristics, rather than client record characteristics. Sample weights for client record statistics further adjusted for probabilities of selection of the client records and client record nonresponse. That is, within those facilities that responded to the administrator survey, adjustments were made for those eligible client records that were sampled but for which no information was collected.

The base weight for the k th client record in the j th visitation facility in the i th stratum was computed as

$$W_{c1ijk} = W_{v2ij} * \frac{1}{P_{cijk}}$$

where

W_{v2ij} = the final nonresponse adjusted administrator weight for the j th visitation facility in the i th stratum

P_{cijk} = the probability that the k th client record from the j th facility in the i th stratum was selected for visitation

The final client record included nonresponse adjustments, i.e., adjustments for the client records that were missing. The final nonresponse adjusted client record weight was computed as

$$W_{c2ijk} = W_{c1ijk} * \frac{\sum W_{c1ijk}}{\sum W_{c1ijk}} \frac{(A'C)}{(B'C)}$$

where $\sum_{(A'C)}$ is the sum over the eligible client records selected in the sample, and $\sum_{(B'C)}$ is the sum over those client records for which data were collected.

The client records in the sample were mainly divided into the following groups:

- (1) Client records that were determined to be ineligible at the screening time (includes duplicate cases),
- (2) Client records that were determined to be eligible and were abstracted, and
- (3) Client records with missing information.

Eligibility status could not be determined for those clients with missing records. We, therefore, assumed that the eligibility rate among clients with missing records was the same as those with known eligibility within each of the visited facilities. For example, we assumed an eligibility rate of 90 percent among those clients with missing data in a facility if 90 percent of client records with known eligibility were actually eligible within the facility.

The final nonresponse adjusted client record weights were poststratified so that the sum of the weights would add to a control total of 2222. The poststratified weight was computed as follows:

$$W_{c3ijk} = \frac{W_{c2ijk}}{\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k W_{c2ijk}} \times (2222)$$

where

W_{c2ijk} = The final nonresponse adjusted client record weight for the kth client in the jth visitation facility in the ith stratum

The client record weights were poststratified to this control count because, similar to the visitation facility sample, the client records were selected from sampling strata 1 to 4 rather than the entire targeted universe.

APPENDIX C
REPLICATE WEIGHTS

C.1 Phase I - Facility Weights

The following steps were taken to construct replicate facility weights:

1. The 1803 facilities that were released for screening were sorted hierarchically by stratum, census region, ownership/sector and size. Profit and not-for-profit facilities were combined to form the private sector while local, state and federal government facilities were combined to form the public sector. The facilities were split into thirty groups of equal size (within plus or minus 1) using a systematic selection as follows:

Position in File	Group	Position in Group
1	1	1
2	2	1
.	.	.
.	.	.
30	30	1
31	1	2
32	2	2
.	.	.
.	.	.

Thirty jackknife replicates were then defined by dropping one group (1..30) from the full sample for each replicate; in general, the jth jackknife replicate was defined by dropping the jth group from the sample.

2. Thirty replicate base weights were calculated for each facility as the product of the full sample base weight for the facility and a factor of either 30/29 or 0 depending on whether the facility was included in the replicate or not:

$$\text{rep_base_wgt}_j = (C_j) * \text{full_sample_base_wgt}$$

where

$$C_j = (30/29) \text{ if the facility was included in the } j\text{th replicate; } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$
$$(j = 1..30)$$

3. Thirty replicate specific nonresponse adjustment factors were calculated for each of the six different strata used in the sample selection. Within a given stratum, the nonresponse adjustment factor for a given replicate was calculated as the ratio of the sum of the replicate base weights for eligible facilities to the sum of the replicate base weights for facilities which completed or partially completed the questionnaire:

$$\text{rep_nr_adj_fact}_{ij} = \frac{\sum \text{rep_base_wgt}_{ij} \text{ eligibles}}{\sum \text{rep_base_wgt}_{ij} \text{ completes}}$$

where

$$i = \text{stratum } 1..6$$
$$j = \text{replicate } 1..30$$

4. Thirty replicate final weights were calculated for each facility as the product of the replicate base weight for the facility and the replicate specific nonresponse adjustment factor for the stratum within which the facility was selected:

$$\text{rep_final_wgt}_j = \text{rep_base_wgt}_j * \text{rep_nr_adj_fact}_{ij}$$

where

$$i = \text{stratum } 1..6$$
$$j = \text{replicate } 1..30$$

C.2 Phase II - Administrator and Client Record Weights

Steps 1 through 4 were repeated to produce two additional sets of replicate weights for the visited facilities and the sample of client records. The weighting, nonresponse adjustment and poststratification procedures applied to each set of replicate weights were the same as the corresponding steps used for calculating the final full sample nonresponse adjusted administrator and client record weights.

APPENDIX D
DETAILS OF THE IMPUTATION PROCESS

D.1 Introduction

Ten questions from the DSRS questionnaire representing fifty-nine (59) data items on the final DSRS imputed tape were selected for imputation. They were chosen principally for their importance in the types of analysis which are expected to occur with the dataset. Other questions (like costs and revenues) were seen as equally important, but models suitable for imputation could not be constructed in the course of the imputation work. **Table D-1** provides the names of the imputed items, the number of applicable cases, the number of cases with missing and nonmissing data for the items and counts of cases by the method of imputation used.

This section provides some of the details on the imputation methods used. Four principal techniques were used, with some interaction. The following section describes the items which were imputed and the methods which were used.

D.2 Question B1 - Facility Capacity and Actual Number of Clients in Treatment

Overview

The steps taken to impute values for missing data on actual number of clients in treatment and facility capacity were as follows:

- Impute grand total actual as a function of grand total capacity;
- Impute grand total capacity as a function of grand total actual;
- Impute grand total actual via 1989 or 1990 NDATUS and grand total capacity as a function of grand total actual where both grand totals were missing;
- Edit and adjust imputed grand totals based on the sum of the reported modality totals;
- Collapse the modality totals;

Table D-1. Variables imputed: counts of responses before imputation, and method of imputation

OBS	Variable	Number Applicable	Before Imputation			Solved by Edtg. & Collapsing	Method of Imputation			Left As Is
			Nonmissing	Missing	Percent Missing		NDATUS	Nearest Neighbor	Hot Deck	
1	B1_ALC_A	949	462	487	51.32	462	0	24	0	1
2	B1_HI_A	226	118	108	47.79	61	0	46	0	1
3	B1_OP_A	842	504	338	40.14	245	0	89	0	4
4	B1_RS_A	373	224	149	39.95	100	0	47	0	2
5	B1_TACT	1183	1153	30	2.54	0	26	4	0	0
6	B1_TCAP	1183	998	185	15.64	0	0	175	0	10
7	C1_HI_A	197	105	92	46.7	54	0	0	0	38
8	C1_OP_A	241	93	148	61.41	54	0	0	0	94
9	C1_RS_A	112	68	44	39.29	17	0	0	0	27
10	C1_HI_B	197	106	91	46.19	53	0	0	0	38
11	C1_OP_B	259	92	167	64.48	55	0	0	0	112
12	C1_RS_B	110	68	42	38.18	14	0	0	0	28
13	C1_HI_C	198	106	92	46.46	48	0	0	0	44
14	C1_OP_C	284	92	192	67.61	51	0	0	0	141
15	C1_RS_C	116	66	50	43.1	14	0	0	0	36
16	C1_HI_D	198	107	91	45.96	44	0	0	0	47
17	C1_OP_D	289	91	198	68.51	48	0	0	0	150
18	C1_RS_D	120	65	55	45.83	13	0	0	0	42
19	C1_HI_E	198	116	82	41.41	38	0	0	0	44
20	C1_OP_E	279	95	184	65.95	38	0	0	0	146
21	C1_RS_E	120	65	55	45.83	13	0	0	0	42
22	B13A	1183	1153	30	2.54	0	0	0	26	4
23	B13B	1183	1152	31	2.62	0	0	0	26	5
24	B13C	1183	1147	36	3.04	0	0	0	27	9
25	B13D	1183	1147	36	3.04	0	0	0	26	10
26	B13E	1183	1162	21	1.78	0	0	0	19	2
27	B13F	1183	1163	20	1.69	0	0	0	18	2
28	B13G	1183	1160	23	1.94	0	0	0	20	3
29	B13H	1183	1161	22	1.86	0	0	0	19	3
30	B13I	1183	1164	19	1.61	0	0	0	17	2
31	B15A	1183	1133	50	4.23	0	0	0	45	5
32	B15B	1183	1127	56	4.73	0	0	0	53	3
33	B15C	1183	1121	62	5.24	0	0	0	57	5

Table D-1. Variables imputed: counts of responses before imputation, and method of imputation (continued)

OBS	Variable	Number Applicable	Before Imputation			Solved by Edtg. & Collapsing	Method of Imputation			Left As Is
			Nonmissing	Missing	Percent Missing		NDATUS	Nearest Neighbor	Hot Deck	
34	B15D	1183	1123	60	5.07	0	0	0	57	3
35	B15E	1183	1121	62	5.24	0	0	0	58	4
36	B16	1183	1103	80	6.76	5	0	0	67	8
37	B17	1183	1116	67	5.66	3	0	0	60	4
38	B19	1183	1180	3	0.25	2	0	0	0	1
39	B24A	86	76	10	11.63	0	0	0	7	3
40	B24B	86	76	10	11.63	0	0	0	7	3
41	B24C	86	74	12	13.95	0	0	0	9	3
42	B24D	86	74	12	13.95	0	0	0	9	3
43	B24E	86	74	12	13.95	0	0	0	9	3
44	B28A	14	10	4	28.57	2	0	0	0	2
45	B28B	14	10	4	28.57	2	0	0	0	2
46	B28C	14	10	4	28.57	2	0	0	0	2
47	B28D	14	9	5	35.71	2	0	0	0	3
48	D7A	1183	1025	158	13.36	1	79	0	65	13
49	D7B	1183	1022	161	13.61	2	82	0	63	14
50	D7C	1183	1032	151	12.76	2	74	0	63	12
51	D7D	1183	1034	149	12.6	1	73	0	64	11
51	D7E	1183	1027	156	13.19	2	75	0	65	14
53	D7F	1183	1021	162	13.69	1	83	0	65	13
54	D7G	1183	1012	171	14.45	2	86	0	68	15
55	D7H	1183	1033	150	12.68	36	49	0	54	11
56	D7I	1183	1031	152	12.85	3	74	0	63	12
57	D7J	1183	1031	152	12.85	2	75	0	63	12
58	D7K	1183	1040	143	12.09	1	68	0	63	11
59	D7L	1183	1039	144	12.17	1	69	0	63	11

- Fill in any newly defined items which are the only item missing for a particular record (missing only) using a difference function;
- Fill in the alcohol treatment modality via the answer to B15A;
- Fill in any items which are the only item missing for a particular record (missing only) using a difference function; and
- Impute missing modality totals using the nearest neighbors values in the corresponding modality totals, expressed as a percentage and applied to the imputees difference to allocate.

Imputation of Grand Total Actual and Grand Total Capacity

Table D-1 provides the rate of missing data for both grand total actual and grand total capacity. The missing rate for capacity (approximately 15%) was much larger than the missing rate for actual (approximately 3%) and suggested that consideration of the pattern of missing data within records was in order. The pattern which emerged was as follows:

- 4 cases were missing grand total actual but not grand total capacity;
- 149 cases were missing grand total capacity but not grand total actual; and
- 26 cases were missing both grand total actual and grand total capacity.

The above pattern represents a total of 30 cases missing grand total actual and 175 cases missing grand total capacity.

Several regression models with one or more independent variables were tested to identify the strongest predictor(s) for the two items out of a list of likely candidates. The dependent variable and independent variable(s) used for the models were as follows:

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable(s)
DSRS Grand Total Actual	DSRS Grand Total Capacity DSRS Staff DSRS Total Costs and Revenue NDATUS (1989, 1990) Grand Total Actual NDATUS (1989, 1990) Grand Total Capacity

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable(s)
DSRS Grand Total Capacity	DSRS Grand Total Actual DSRS Staff DSRS Total Costs and Revenue NDATUS (1989, 1990) Grand Total Actual NDATUS (1989, 1990) Grand Total Capacity

Of all models tested, the models using DSRS grand total capacity as the predictor for grand total actual and DSRS grand total actual as the predictor for grand total capacity were superior to all others in terms of their r-square and width of the confidence interval about the line of prediction. The two models were also simpler than most of the others and could be used to impute for the largest number of cases, considering the frequency with which missing values occurred on the independent variables in the model(s). Grand total capacity was therefore selected as the predictor for grand total actual and grand total actual, was selected as the predictor for grand total capacity.

The cases in the DSRS file were split into groups based on modality and ownership, with a few groups being collapsed to improve the ratio of donors to imputees. The cases in each of the resulting groups were sorted by total capacity for the imputation of total actual, and total actual for the imputation of total capacity. The case with reported data which was closest (defined as the difference on the predictor variable between the two cases) to the imputee in the sorted list was selected as the donor for the case. If more than one case with reported data was closest to the imputee, one of the potential donors was selected at random and without replacement as the donor to be used. The ratio of the donors total actual to total capacity was calculated and applied to the imputees total capacity to impute total actual. A similar procedure was used to impute total capacity for the missing cases.

Sorting the cases in each group by the predictor variable allows similar cases to be adjacent and also controls for a pattern which appeared in the reported data. The ratio of total actual to total capacity, known as utilization, was shown to vary by size (defined as total actual or total capacity) and to be much more variable for smaller facilities than for large facilities. Analysis of the reported data showed that the variance on utilization could be cut in half by controlling on size and therefore supported the decision to sort by the predictor variable.

The 26 cases which were missing both total actual and total capacity were assigned the average of their 1989 and 1990 NDATUS total actual. These cases then followed the standard procedure described above for the imputation of total capacity.

Editing Imputed Grand Totals

The imputed grand totals were then compared to the sum of the reported modality totals. Six (6) cases had an imputed grand total actual which was less than the sum of the reported modality totals and 18 cases had an imputed grand total capacity which was less than the sum of the reported modality totals. These cases were adjusted so that the grand totals were set equal to the sum of the modality totals and the remaining, missing modality totals were set equal to zero.

Imputation of Modality Totals

The imputation of the modality totals for actual clients in treatment was completed through a four step process of collapsing and filling in modality totals when only one total was missing, along with the use of another DSRS question to fill in the alcohol treatment line. After the four steps were complete and the rate of missing data had dropped considerably, a nearest neighbor procedure was used to fill in the modality totals which remained missing.

Collapsing of Original Modality Totals

The original Question B1 data items allowed for 8 separate modality totals: hospital inpatient drug detoxification, hospital inpatient drug free, residential drug detoxification, residential drug free, outpatient drug detoxification, outpatient drug free, outpatient drug maintenance, and alcohol treatment.

These data items were collapsed so that the increased item response rates for the newly defined items would minimize the nonresponse bias remaining after imputation. The newly defined data items allowed for 4 separate modality totals: hospital inpatient, residential,

outpatient and alcohol treatment. The new items were defined as the sum of their constituent parts described above.

Filling in Missing Only Records

After the collapsing of the original modality totals was completed, a few cases had only one of the four newly defined items missing. The values for these items were determined by the difference between the reported or imputed grand total and the sum of the other three non-missing modality totals.

Filling in the Alcohol Treatment Modality Total

Most of the cases with missing values in the newly defined items had more than one of the four items missing. Most of these cases, however, had reported data in Question B15A, which asked what percentage of actual clients in treatment were receiving services for alcohol abuse only. The percentage of clients indicated by B15A was used to determine how much of the grand total to allocate to the alcohol treatment modality. If, of course, the difference between the grand total and the sum of the reported modality totals (i.e., the difference to be allocated to all missing modality totals) was less than the indicated percentage of the grand total, the difference to be allocated was assigned to the alcohol treatment modality and the remaining missing modality totals were set to zero.

Filling in Missing Only Records

A large number of cases had only one of the four newly defined items missing after the alcohol treatment modality was filled in. The values for these items were determined by the difference between the reported or imputed grand total and the sum of the other three non-missing modality totals.

Imputation of Modality Total Actual

After all of the above steps were completed, the rate of missing data for all of the collapsed modality totals was below 20 percent. A total of 99 records were responsible for the remaining missing data. These records represented multi-modality facilities which could or would not separate their clients in treatment by modality.

The cases in the DSRS file were split into groups based on their specific combinations of the four modality totals and ownership, with a few groups being collapsed on ownership to improve the ratio of donors to imputees. The cases in each of the resulting groups were sorted by total actual. The case with non-missing data which was closest (defined as the difference on total actual between the two cases) to the imputee in the sorted list was selected as the donor for the case. If more than one case with reported data was closest to the imputee, one of the potential donors was selected at random and without replacement as the donor to be used. In a few of the groups the ratio of donors to imputees was low enough that a procedure was applied where the search for a donor could go as far as twenty percent away from the imputee on total actual before selecting a donor within that interval more than once. Cases which were assigned a donor for grand total actual imputation were assigned these same donors to maintain correlations across items. Cases were also assigned the same donor which was used for grand total capacity imputation, unless of course that particular donor was missing modality total actuals itself.

The difference to allocate for a given imputee was calculated as the difference between the imputees grand total and non-missing modality totals. A percentage of the difference to allocate was assigned to each imputees missing modality totals based on the donors values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the donors modality total to the sum of the donors modality totals which corresponded with the totals the imputee was missing.

D.3 Question C1 - Admissions and Discharges

Overview

No direct imputation was carried out for these items, however a collapsing scheme was followed which was similar to that described above for the modality totals on actual clients in

treatment. There is no alcohol modality total in C1 and therefore no step involving B15A or any other data item to fill in the alcohol row. Analysis of the missing data indicated that a collapsing scheme could decrease the rate of missing data and was therefore implemented.

A search was conducted for strong predictors of the grand totals for C1 but no relationship suitable for imputation was found. Among the variables tested as predictors were the following: grand total actual and grand total capacity, total costs and revenues and staffing. Although no strong predictor was found, the decrease in the missing data rate after collapsing was still sufficient enough to suggest collapsing the items.

Collapsing of Original Modality Totals

The original Question C1 data items allowed for 7 separate modality totals: hospital inpatient drug detoxification, hospital inpatient drug free, residential drug detoxification, residential drug free, outpatient drug detoxification, outpatient drug free, and outpatient drug maintenance.

These data items were collapsed into newly defined data items which allowed for three separate modality totals: hospital inpatient, residential and outpatient. The new items were defined as the sum of their constituent parts described above.

Filling in Missing Only Records

After the collapsing of the original modality totals was completed, a number of cases had only one of the three newly defined items missing. The values for these items were determined by the difference between the reported grand total and the sum of the other three, nonmissing modality totals.

D.4 Questions B13A..I and B15A..E - Distribution of Clients by Source of Referral and Type of Treatment

Overview

The 14 data items associated with these questions had low rates of item missing data. The items represent categories in which percentages of the clients are expected to fall. A technique which was widely used for these types of questions in the DSRS imputation, hotdeck proportional allocation, was used for these items.

Hotdeck Proportional Allocation

The cases in the DSRS file were split into several groups based on modality by ownership. The WESTAT SAS Macro WESDECK was used to select donors at random within each of these groups to impute for the missing data items. If the entire series of items (B13A..I or B15A..E) was missing for the imputee, the donors proportions were assigned directly. If only some of the items were missing for the imputee, then a difference to be allocated was calculated as the difference between 100 percent and the sum of the nonmissing items. A percentage of the difference to allocate was assigned to each of the imputees missing items based on the donors values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the donors value for the item to the sum of the donors values for the items which corresponded with the items the imputee was missing. The resulting imputed and nonmissing values added to 100 percent. Note that hotdeck proportional allocation is equivalent to assigning the donors values directly when the imputee is missing the entire series.

D.5 Questions B16 and B17 - Percentage of Clients Classified as IVDUs and Dual Diagnosis

Overview

The two data items associated with these questions had low rates of item missing data. The items represent categories in which percentages of the clients are expected to fall. Both items

have another questionnaire item which can serve as an edit check or logical predictor. Hotdeck proportional allocation was used for these items.

Edit Checks and Logical Imputations

The following logical imputation was used for B16:

IF B12A = 1 OR B15A = 100% THEN
B16 = 0%

The following edit check was applied after imputation of B16:

$B16 \leq 100\% - (B15A\%)$

The following logical imputation was used for B17:

IF B12F = 1 THEN
B17 = 0%

Hotdeck Proportional Allocation

The cases in the DSRS file were split into several groups based on modality by ownership. The WESTAT SAS Macro WESDECK was used to select donors at random within each of these groups to impute for the missing data items. The donors proportions were assigned directly.

D.6 Questions B19, B24A..E and B28A..D - Number of Clients Receiving Methadone, By Dosage Category and Determination of Maximum Length of Time

Overview

The ten (10) data items associated with these questions had varying rates of item missing data. The items represent categories in which counts of clients are expected to fall and a policy related question. All of the items have other questionnaire items which can serve as an edit checks or logical predictors. Hotdeck proportional allocation was used for the remaining items.

Edit Checks and Logical Imputations

The following logical imputation was used for B19:

```
IF (HIDM_A6 = 2 AND RSDM_A6 = 2 AND OPDM_A6 = 2 AND (OPD
MTACT = 0 OR inapplicable)) THEN
    B19 = 0;
    B20..B28 = inapplicable
ELSE
    left as is.
```

The following edit was used for B24:

$$B24A + B24B + B24C + B24D + B24E = B20B$$

The following control total was introduced for the imputation of missing B24A..E:

$$\text{Amount to allocate} = B20B - (\text{sum of nonmissing B24A..E})$$

The following logical imputation was used for B28:

```
IF (HIDM_A6 = 2 AND RSDM_A6 = 2 AND OPDM_A6 = 2 AND      (OPD
MTACT = 0 OR inapplicable)) THEN
    B28 = inapplicable
ELSE
    left as is.
```

Hotdeck Proportional Allocation

The cases in the DSRS file were split into several groups based on modality by ownership. The WESTAT SAS Macro WESDECK was used to select donors at random within each of these groups to impute for the missing data items. If the entire series of items (B24A..E) was missing for the imputee, the donors proportions for the items were applied to the imputees total in B20B and the resulting values were assigned directly. If only some of the items were missing for the imputee, then a percentage of the amount to allocate was assigned to each of the imputees missing items based on the donors values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the donors value for the item to the sum of the donors values for the items which corresponded with the items the imputee was missing. The resulting imputed and nonmissing values added to the imputees total in B20B.

D.7 Questions D7A..L - Distribution of Revenues by Source

Overview

The 12 data items associated with these questions had moderate rates of item missing data. The items represent categories in which percentages of the revenue sources are expected to fall. One of the items had other questionnaire items which served as logical predictors. Hotdeck proportional allocation was used for the remaining items, with a link to the 1989 NDATUS file to introduce a control total when possible.

Edit Checks and Logical Imputations

The following logical imputation was used for D7H:

IF D3 = 2 THEN

D7H = 0%

ELSE IF D4 AND D6 not missing THEN

D7H = D4 / D6

(unless $D4 / D6 > (100\% - \text{sum of nonmissing D7})$, in which case D7H was set to the remainder to allocate.)

Hotdeck Proportional Allocation

The cases in the DSRS file were split into several groups based on modality by ownership. The WESTAT SAS Macro WESDECK was used to select donors at random within each of these groups to impute for the missing data items. The 1989 NDATUS file was used to assign control totals to the DSRS categories for a particular case, when possible.

The DSRS and NDATUS categories did not correspond exactly, so the items in both data sets were collapsed into groups which did correspond. The collapsing was as follows:

New Group #	DSRS Group Letter	NDATUS Group #
1	A,C,D	1,2,4
2	B	3
3	K	5
4	L	6,10
5	H,I,J	7
6	F,G	8
7	E	9

Control totals from NDATUS were assigned to each of the groups for each case requiring imputation. If the entire series of items was missing for the imputee, the NDATUS

proportions were assigned directly. If only some of the items were missing for the imputee, then a difference to be allocated was calculated as the difference between 100 percent and the sum of the nonmissing items. A percentage of the difference to allocate was assigned to each of the imputees new group items based on the NDATUS values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the imputees NDATUS value for the new group item to the sum of the imputees NDATUS value for the new group items which the imputee was missing.

The values in the new group items were then assigned to the original DSRS items based on the values of the donor which was selected through the hotdeck procedure. The control total for the group item represented the amount to allocate across the constituent DSRS items. A percentage of the amount to allocate was assigned to each of the imputees missing constituent items based on the donors values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the donors value for the item to the sum of the donors values for the items which corresponded with the items the imputee was missing. The resulting imputed and nonmissing values added to 100 percent.

If the case could not be linked to NDATUS, the donors proportions were assigned directly if the imputee was missing the entire series. If only some of the items were missing for the imputee, then a difference to be allocated was calculated as the difference between 100 percent and the sum of the nonmissing items. A percentage of the difference to allocate was assigned to each of the imputees missing items based on the donors values in the corresponding items. The percentage used was the ratio of the donors value for the item to the sum of the donors values for the items which corresponded with the items the imputee was missing. The resulting imputed and nonmissing values added to 100 percent.

Treatment of Correctional and Alcohol Only Facilities

Fifty-eight facilities, which primarily provide alcohol treatment but also treat other drug addictions, and 15 correctional facilities participated in the DSRS study. These facilities were included in the target population, but are expected to represent such particularly unique treatment environments or types of treatment that they were excluded from the pool of cases used as donors in the imputation and were, themselves, left with missing data.